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Previous ab initio super-cell calculations of the magnetic properties of
the ordered Fe\textsubscript{1-}\textit{x}Al\textsubscript{\textit{x}} alloy in the B2 structure are extended to include
the disordered state of the alloy and completed with the calculations for or-
dered and disordered Fe\textsubscript{1-}\textit{x}Si\textsubscript{\textit{x}} alloys. The configurations of the Fe atoms
in the anti-site (AS) positions of Fe–X super-cell with the antiferromagnetic
order were found. The ground state of Fe\textsubscript{1-}\textit{x}Al\textsubscript{\textit{x}} with \textit{x} \simeq 0.3 shows the
antiferromagnetic order of the Fe–AS magnetic moments. The concentration
dependence of the equilibrium lattice parameter and magnetic moment of
Fe\textsubscript{1-}\textit{x}X\textsubscript{\textit{x}} in the ordered and disordered state differ qualitatively.

PACS numbers: 71.15.La, 71.15.Fv, 71.20.Lp, 75.50.Bb, 75.30.–m

The ordered Fe–Al alloys with the B2-type structure display a complicated
structural and magnetic behavior. In the concentration range of \textit{x} = 0.25–0.5
the B2 \longleftrightarrow DO\textsubscript{3} transitions are observed and the magnetic phase diagram for the
Fe\textsubscript{1-}\textit{x}Al\textsubscript{\textit{x}} shows unusual magnetic features \cite{1, 2}. The magnetic state of Fe\textsubscript{1-}\textit{x}Al\textsubscript{\textit{x}}
with \textit{x} \simeq 0.3 was found paramagnetic for \textit{T} \geq 400 K, ferromagnetic in tempera-
tures 170 K \leq \textit{T} \leq 400 K, paramagnetic for 92 K \leq \textit{T} \leq 170 K and goes to
the spin-glass behavior below 92 K. Neutron-spectroscopy studies \cite{3} prove that
the magnetic moment of iron is strictly related to the number of Al in the first
coordination sphere. The magnetic moment of Fe atoms with only Fe near neigh-
bors is equal to \simeq 2.2\mu\textsubscript{B} and falls down to \simeq 1.5\mu\textsubscript{B} when 4Al atoms are on the
first coordination sphere. Arrot et al. and Shiga et al. \cite{5} postulated the antifer-
romagnetic coupling between the iron magnetic moments to be responsible for
the unusual magnetic behavior of the Fe–Al ordered alloys. The electronic struc-
ture calculations revealed that the FeAl equiatomic compound has the metastable
antiferromagnetic state with energy close to the ferromagnetic state \cite{6}. The anti-
ferromagnetic alignment of Fe magnetic moments in Fe–Al finite clusters was also
found by Elzain et al. \cite{7}. Despite the fact that the alloys were studied widely with
the use of both experimental and theoretical methods the microscopic mechanism
of the anomalous structural and magnetic behavior is still not known.
The aim of the investigations is to explain the influence of the structural order on the structural and magnetic properties of Fe–Al and Fe–Si alloys. The previously presented results [8] are extended to wider concentration range of Al in Fe_{1-x}Al_x (x = 0.0 ÷ 0.5). In order to study the effect of the atomic order the calculations for the disordered state were performed. For the purpose of comparison the results for the ordered and disordered Fe_{1-x}Si_x alloys are also reported.

For the case of the ordered alloys the super-cell approach was applied and the electronic structure calculations were performed with the use of the tight-binding linear muffin tin orbital (TB-LMTO) method in the atomic sphere approximation (ASA) [9]. The calculations were scalar-relativistic (the spin–orbit coupling is neglected). The local spin density exchange-correlation potential [10] with gradient corrections [11] was used. The details of data preparations are presented in [8]. The calculations for the disordered alloys were performed within the Korringa–Kohn–Rostoker formalism with the use of coherent potential approximation approach developed for binary alloys by Tatarchenko et al. [12]. The crystal potential was taken within the ASA, and the calculations were non-relativistic.

The results of the super-cell calculations are displayed in Figs. 1 and 2. Both figures present the variation of the lattice constants and average magnetic moments with metalloid concentrations in Fe_{1-x}X_x. Figure 1 shows the results of the super-cell approach.

Fig. 1. Equilibrium lattice parameter a_0 (left panel) and the average magnetization per atom μ_{ave} (right panel) of the ordered Fe_{1-x}Al_x and Fe_{1-x}Si_x. For a given concentration the solid and open symbols in (a) and (b) represent the Fe–AS atoms’ configurations with the minimal and higher total energies, respectively. Solid symbols in (a) and (c) represent the Fe–AS atom configurations with the minimum total energy for a given concentration. Solid lines in (c) and (d) display the simple dilution law.
The super-cell calculations for Fe_{1-x}Al_x give the variation of the lattice constant with the aluminum content which qualitatively reproduce the experimental results obtained for Fe–Al alloys quenched from 1000°C [5]. The dependence of the average magnetic moment on Al concentration also follows the measured magnetization of the ordered Fe–Al alloys [13]. The changes of \(a_0\) shown in Fig. 1a may be related to that of the average magnetic moment \(\mu_{\text{ave}}\) by the empirical relation similar to that proposed in [5]. Based on the results displayed in Figs. 1a and c the fitting procedure gives the coefficient of the \(a_0(x, \mu_{\text{ave}})\) versus \(\mu_{\text{ave}}\) dependence equal 0.0477 Å/μB, close to that obtained by Shiga (0.0355 Å/μB) [5].

A completely different behavior shows the lattice parameter calculated for the ordered Fe_{1-x}Si_x alloys Fig. 1b. In this alloys \(a_0\) decreases with increasing Si contents. The effect is analyzed and the results will be published elsewhere. According to the results obtained for ordered Fe_{1-x}Si_x (Fig. 1d) the average magnetic moment for small concentrations of Si decreases faster than the simple dilution law predicts but the decrease is constant without any abrupt changes like in Fe_{1-x}Al_x.

The calculations performed for the disordered Fe_{1-x}X_x alloys are summarized in Figs. 2a,b. The calculated increase in the lattice parameters can be explained as due to the increasing number of larger volume metalloid atoms. The magnetic moment of disordered Fe_{1-x}X_x decreases faster than it could be expected from the dilution law because the Al (Si) atoms have small negative magnetic moments.

The most interesting result of the super-cell approach was the finding of the antiferromagnetic coupling between the magnetic moments on the Fe atoms at anti-site positions (Fe–AS). Figure 3 shows some of the calculated configurations with anti-parallel alignment of Fe–AS magnetic moments. The antiferromagnetically ordered Fe–AS moments are almost of the same magnitude. The construction of the super-cell and the translational invariance cause that the sites of the Fe-sublattice remain equivalent which prohibits any magnetic disorder. Therefore the magnetic moments of Fe–AS atoms are immersed in the uniform, magnetically ordered iron sublattice. Calculations of different configurations of the Fe–AS atoms support the hypothesis that the interaction responsible for such antiferromagnetism is the RKKY-type interaction between the magnetic moments of next

![Fig. 2](image-url). Lattice parameter \(a_0\) (a) and the iron (\(\mu_{\text{Fe}}\)) and the average (\(\mu_{\text{ave}}\)) magnetic moments per atom (b) calculated for the disordered Fe_{1-x}Al_x and Fe_{1-x}Si_x. Solid line in (b) shows the simple dilution law.
Fig. 3. Configurations of Fe$_{1-x}$Al$_x$ super-cell with antiferromagnetic alignment of Fe–AS magnetic moments. Configuration (a) belongs to a concentration of $x = 0.3125$ while (b) and (c) to $x = 0.25$. The effect occurs in both the investigated alloys. The difference is that in the case of Fe$_{1-x}$Al$_x$ the low-magnetic (LM) solutions are close in energy to the high-magnetic (HM) ones. In the case of $x \simeq 0.3$ such LM solution is the ground state of the super-cell. In Fe$_{1-x}$Si$_x$ the LM solutions with anti-parallel Fe–AS magnetic moments always lie above the HM ones and never occur as a ground state. In conclusions it can be stated that a certain unusual magnetic and structural behavior can be explained as an effect of the atomic order. The result of antiferromagnetic coupling between the Fe–AS magnetic moments is surely related to the geometry of the investigated super-cell. The problem is under consideration. The presented results should be completed by the calculations using different methods allowing for the fixed moment approach. Such calculations within the full potential LAPW method are in progress.
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