EFFECT OF ANNEALING AND IRRADIATION ON THE OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF OXIDE CRYSTALS

S.M. Kaczmarek^{a*}, M. Berkowski^b, Z. Moroz^c and S. Warchol^d

 ^aMilitary University of Technology, Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warsaw, Poland
 ^bInstitute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland
 ^cSołtan Institute of Nuclear Studies, 05-400 Otwock-Świerk, Poland
 ^dInstitute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology Dorodna 16, 03-195 Warsaw, Poland

(Received April 16, 1999; revised version June 25, 1999)

We described results of the effect of annealing and irradiation treatments on the optical properties of $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$, $YAlO_3$, $SrLaGa_3O_7$, $LiNbO_3$, $Gd_3Ga_5O_{12}$, $LaGaO_3$, ZnSe, and LiF single crystals. Changes in absorption and luminescence are presented. Recharging processes of uncontrolled impurities (e.g. Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺, and Mn²⁺), and active ions (e.g. Nd³⁺, Dy³⁺, Cr⁴⁺, Cr^{3+} , and Ce^{3+}), as well as types of color centers produced in the crystals after a particular irradiation or annealing treatment are presented.

PACS numbers: 61.72.Ji, 61.80.Ed

1. Introduction

Studies on the influence of the irradiation by various types of ionizing particles on the efficiency of solid state lasers are motivated by a need of using them in many applications, e.g. as range finders, altimeters and others, in intense external radiation fields [1]. On the other hand, a positive influence of the ionizing radiation on the laser performance is also known. In particular, such phenomenon was observed in lasers based on Cr, Tm, Ho:Y₃Al₅O₁₂ and Er:Y₃Al₅O₁₂ crystals [2, 3].

Optical characteristics of other optoelectronic elements and devices based on oxide crystals also changes during their staying inside radiation field. Common methods of characterization of the oxide crystals may not give a precise answer

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: skaczmar@wat.waw.pl

to the question: what is the performance of the devices in conditions of strong external radiation fields.

The aim of this paper is to determine the ability of the method of ionizing radiation treatment of optoelectronic materials to characterize the compounds and, may be, to change their optical properties.

2. Experimental

The following crystals were investigated: $Y_3Al_5O_{12}$ (YAG), YAlO₃ (YAP), SrLaGa₃O₇ (SLGO), LiNbO₃ (LN), Gd₃Ga₅O₁₂ (GGG), LaGaO₃ and ZnSe and LiF. They were obtained in the Institute of Electronic Materials Technology, Institute of Physics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, and Institute of Physics of the Military University of Technology in Warsaw.

Using various 60 Co sources the crystals were irradiated by γ -rays in the Institute of Chemistry and Nuclear Technology (ICNT) in Warsaw and the Institute of Atomic Energy in Świerk, Poland. For the electron irradiation a 300 keV or 1 MeV beams from the Van de Graaf accelerator of the ICNT were used, while for irradiation with 21 MeV protons a beam from compact isochronous proton cyclotron installed in the Soltan Institute of Nuclear Studies, Świerk, was applied.

The dose of γ -irradiation was varied from 10^2 to 10^7 Gy, fluency of electrons was varied from 10^{14} to 5×10^{16} particles/cm², and protons fluences from 5×10^{12} to 10^{16} particles/cm² were applied.

Annealing was performed in three regimes: (i) thermal relaxation by annealing in air at 400°C for YAG and at 800°C for LN, for 3 h in order to remove radiation defects, (ii) annealing at 1400°C (or 1100°C) for 3 h in air (an oxidizing atmosphere) in order to change the defect structure of a crystal, and (iii) annealing in a mixture of hydrogen and nitrogen (a reducing atmosphere) at 1200°C for 0.5 h.

Optical transmission spectra were recorded before and after each irradiation or thermal treatment of the samples using LAMBDA-2 Perkin-Elmer, ACTA VII Beckman and FTIR 1725 Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometers. The induced additional absorption (AA) was calculated from the formula

$$\Delta K = (1/d) \ln(T_1/T_2),$$

(1)

where K is the absorption, d is the sample thickness, and T_1 and T_2 are the optical transmissions of a sample before and after irradiation or annealing treatment, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Absorption

Figure 1 shows additional absorption of Cr doped SLGO (Fig. 1a) and Pr, Er, and Nd doped YAP (Fig. 1b, c) crystals after four different kinds of treatments: γ -irradiation of as-grown crystals (Fig. 1a, curve 2; Fig. 1b, curve 1; Fig. 1c, curves 1 and 2), annealing of as-grown crystals in air (Fig. 1a, curve 1; Fig. 1b, curve 3), annealing in reducing atmosphere (Fig. 1b, curve 2) and proton irradiation (Fig. 1a, curve 3; Fig. 1c, curve 3).



Fig. 1. AA bands in (a) Cr:SLGO after: (1) 1150°C annealing in air for 17 h, (2) 10^5 Gy irradiation with γ -rays, and (3) 10^{12} cm⁻² irradiation with protons, (b) Pr:YAP after (1) 10^5 Gy irradiation with γ -rays, (2) 1200°C annealing in H₂+N₂ mixture for 0.5 h, and (3) 1400°C annealing in air for 2 h, and (c) Nd:YAP irradiated with γ -rays with a dose of 10^5 Gy (1) and Er:YAP for different types of treatments: (2) γ -rays with a dose of 10^5 Gy, and (3) protons with a fluency of 10^{15} cm⁻².

For both types of crystals (YAP and SLGO) a large additional absorption (large ΔK values) is seen after different treatments. As is seen from Fig. 1a, the influence of temperature (annealing in air) and ionizing rays (gamma and protons) on the absorption spectrum of Cr:SLGO crystal is of the same type in the range of Cr transitions (500-800 nm). Negative value of AA in this range suggests that ionization of active Cr³⁺ ions takes place during both types of treatments, resulting in an increase in concentration of Cr⁴⁺ ions. However, these two types of treatments differ from each other in producing radiation defects.

Irradiation with gamma and proton rays leads to an increase in AA in color center (CC) region with a maximum at about 380 nm but gamma gives larger AA values. As was found earlier [4], this CC is associated with oxide vacancies in Cr:SLGO single crystal. Moreover, for both types of the above named treatments the AA band appears at about 270 nm. This CC is attributed to $Ga^{3+} \rightarrow Ga^{2+}$ reaction leading to the shift of a short-wave absorption edge [4].

Figure 1b shows that annealing of Pr:YAP crystal in different atmospheres leads to AA bands comparable to that which occur after γ -rays irradiation. Usually, additional absorption occurring after annealing and irradiation (especially, for annealing performed after irradiation) have opposite values. Annealing in air leads to an increase in the valency of uncontrolled or active impurity, while gamma irradiation and annealing in reducing atmosphere leads to a decrease in the valency of the impurity due to recombination of ions with Compton secondary electrons (or reduction) [2].

Figure 1c shows changes in the absorption of Er:YAP and Nd:YAP crystals after gamma irradiation with the same dose 10^5 Gy (curves 1 and 2) and changes after proton irradiation with a fluency of 10^{15} protons/cm² for Er:YAP crystal (curve 3). Clearly, all the changes are of the same type, i.e. the appearance of CC is of the same type.

Figure 2 shows the absorption (K) and changes in the absorption (ΔK) (compare curves 1 and 2) for Cr^{4+} (Cr^{4+} :YAG crystal, curve 1) and Cr^{3+} doped YAG crystals (after annealing of Cr^{4+} :YAG crystal in reducing atmosphere). The as-grown Cr^{4+} :YAG crystal was black in color, while the Cr^{3+} :YAG one was green. As is seen from absorption curves both crystals contain a dopant of second type (Cr^{4+} :YAG crystal contains also Cr^{3+} and Cr^{3+} :YAG crystal contains also Cr^{4+}). The dashed lines mark the positions of absorption bands characteristic of a given type of the active dopant.



Fig. 2. (a), (b) Absorption (curves 1 and 6) and additional absorption (curves 2–5 and 7) of Cr^{4+} doped YAG crystal after subsequent treatments: (2) γ -irradiation with a dose of 10⁵ Gy, (3) annealing at 1100°C in air for 3 h, (4) γ -irradiation with the same dose as previously, (5) annealing at 1200°C in N₂+H₂ mixture for 0.5 h, and (7) proton irradiation with a fluency of 10¹² protons/cm²; AG \equiv as grown.

420

Thus, γ -irradiation of as-grown crystal (curve 2) and annealing of the crystal in reducing atmosphere (curve 5) lead to a decrease in concentration of Cr^{4+} ions, due to ion recombination effect ($\operatorname{Cr}^{4+} \to \operatorname{Cr}^{3+}$) and reduction, respectively. Annealing in air (curve 3) and subsequent γ -irradiation (curve 4) lead to an increase in Cr^{4+} ions concentration due to the ionization effect ($\operatorname{Cr}^{3+} \to \operatorname{Cr}^{4+}$). However, the explanation of proton interactions with crystals is more complicated. After annealing of the crystal in the reducing atmosphere (when majority of Cr ions are trivalent), protons interact similarly as gamma quanta, producing more Cr^{3+} ions due to δ -electrons emitted along the proton trajectory. As mentioned above, some amount of Cr^{4+} ions exists in the Cr^{3+} :YAG crystal. These Cr^{4+} ions recombine with δ -electrons in the same way as secondary electrons created by gamma rays in Compton process.

In the case of chromium doped YAG crystals we observed mainly recharging processes of chromium ions. Akhmadulin et al. [5] showed that depending on the applied annealing process of YAG crystals (annealing in oxidizing or reducing atmosphere) γ -irradiation may produce two different types of defects: $V_{\rm O}$ -type vacancies and O⁻-type holes (the band with a peak at 385 nm) in a crystal annealed in an oxidizing atmosphere, while the F⁻ centers in a crystal annealed in a reducing atmosphere.

Figure 3a shows changes in absorption spectra (ΔK) after two types of annealing treatments for Ce:Y₃Al₅O₁₂ (Ce:YAG) single crystal. Clearly, the influence of both types of annealing is opposite to each other (curves 1 and 2). Moreover,



Fig. 3. AA bands in (a) Ce:YAG (0.2 at. % and 0.05 at. %) single crystals after different types of annealing procedures (curves 1 and 2) and electron irradiation with a fluency of 5×10^{16} cm⁻² (curve 3) and in Ce, Nd:YAG (0.05 at. % and 1 at. %), (b) after γ -irradiation with a dose of 10^5 Gy.

the recharging effect is seen for Fe^{3+} (a band centered at 253 nm) and Ce^{3+} (bands centered at 338 and 458 nm, Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b) ions. Radiation can influence the absorption in opposite way depending on the previous annealing treatment for irradiated crystal, as seen in Fig. 3b (curves 1 and 2). Electrons interact with active (Ce) and uncontrolled (Fe) ions recharging them as is seen in Fig. 3a (curve 3). The Ce:YAG crystal doped with a high concentration of Ce (0.2 at. %) is strongly defected.



Fig. 4. AA bands in (a) Nd:GGG and (b) YAG single crystals after γ -irradiation (curve 1 in (a) and curve 1 and 3 in (b)) as compared to annealing in reducing atmosphere (curve 2 in (a) and curve 2 in (b)).

Figure 4 presents changes in absorption spectrum of (a) Nd:GGG and (b) pure YAG single crystals under irradiation with γ -rays as compared to annealing in reducing atmosphere (after annealing of Nd:GGG crystal in reducing atmosphere secondary polishing was necessary). As seen from Fig. 4, if no oxide vacancies are present in a crystal, the AA bands (which appear in the absorption spectra of the crystals after treatment with γ -rays and annealing in reducing atmosphere) have the same shape (see curves 1 and 2, Fig. 4b and, for comparison, curves 1 and 2, Fig. 4a). The oxygen vacancies in YAG crystal are seen as CC with a weak maximum at about 450 nm (see curve 3, Fig. 4b), while in GGG crystal the oxygen vacancies are seen as CC with a maximum at about 340 nm.

Figure 5 shows the changes in the absorption spectrum of LiNbO₃ single crystal doped with (a) 1 at. % Dy and (b) 0.03 at. % Er after γ -irradiation with a dose of 10⁵ Gy. LiNbO₃ single crystals are in general less affected by gamma or proton irradiation than YAP, SLGO, YAG, or GGG crystals. Thus, the additional absorption is only 0.4 cm⁻¹ in contrast to other crystals where this may be equal to even 25 cm⁻¹ (see, for example, Fig. 1a).



Fig. 5. AA bands in (a) Dy doped and (b) Er doped LiNbO₃ single crystals after γ -irradiation treatment before and after annealing in air at 400°C. 1 — just after crystal growth, 2 — after annealing at 400°C for 3 h.

As may be seen from Fig. 5, for a crystal which is thermally unstable, γ -irradiation of the previously annealed crystal gives greater values for AA intensity than that for as-grown crystal. One of the possible reason of this behavior may be that annealing of LN crystals was performed at a relatively low temperature.

Arizmendi et al. [6] have shown that annealing and irradiation create different defects in LiNbO₃ crystal. In both types of treatments, polarons of energy of 1.6 eV were observed. In the case of irradiated crystals trapped holes and in the case of reduced crystals F and F⁺ centers were observed.

In Fig. 5a recharging process of dysprosium ions is also seen for $Dy^{3+}:LN$ crystal (see changes in absorption spectrum for wavelengths greater than 800 nm). This suggests that Dy^{2+} ions are probably present in the as-grown crystals [7].

3.2. Luminescence

Figure 6 shows absorption (curve 1), additional absorption after γ -irradiation with a dose of 10⁵ Gy (curve 2), and 10⁶ Gy (curve 3) and luminescence after this irradiation (curve 4) observed for LiF single crystal. After γ -irradiation of as-grown LiF crystal, CC's peaks appear at 259, 329, 385, 455, and 550 nm. The band at 455 nm is attributed to the F₂ center, [-|2e|], while the band at 550 nm is attributed to the F²⁺ center, [-|e|] [8]. The intensity of AA related to these CC's



Fig. 6. (a) Absorption (curve 1), additional absorption after γ -irradiation with a dose of 10⁵ Gy (curve 2), additional absorption after γ -irradiation with a dose of 10⁶ Gy (curve 3) and (b) excited with 442 nm photoluminescence of LiF single crystal (curve 4).

is much greater than that for other crystals (e.g. for 259 nm band it is as high as 160 cm⁻¹). It depends also on the dose value (compare curves 2 and 3), moreover, for γ -irradiated crystals strong emission at 536 and 664 nm is found after exciting the crystal with $\lambda_{ex} = 442$ nm (laser excitation). Exciting with 455 nm gives two bands equal in intensity, while exciting with 385 nm gives much higher intensity of 536 nm band in comparison to 664 one. CC's in LiF crystal are often applied as Q-switches in laser systems [9]. Such a behavior of the crystals suggests that ionizing radiation can radically change optical properties of a crystal.

Figure 7 presents absorption (curve 1), additional absorption (curve 2) and photoluminescence (curve 3) of Co:LaGaO₃ single crystal. The absorption spectrum shows transitions in Co²⁺ and Co³⁺ mixed system seen in the range of VIS and near IR. Co³⁺ has two excited states of the energies corresponding to visible part of the spectrum, ${}^{1}T_{1}$ (about 667–769 nm) and ${}^{1}T_{2}$ (about 455–500 nm) and one in IR (about 1600 nm). The as-grown Co:LaGaO₃ crystal does not show any luminescence in the visible range. After γ -irradiation with a dose of 4×10^{5} Gy additional absorption bands appear with maxima at 450, 540, and 680 nm. They can be attributed to Co³⁺ ions arising due to the ionization of Co²⁺ ions present in the as-grown crystal, as is seen from the absorption curve. Excitation with $\lambda_{ex} = 442$ nm gives strong luminescence of these ions with a peak at about 650 nm. This behavior suggests that γ -irradiation can dramatically change the emission properties of the crystal.



Fig. 7. (1) Absorption of as-grown crystal in the range 200-8000 nm, (2) additional absorption in the range 200-1100 nm, and (3) photoluminescence in the range 350-850 nm of γ -irradiated Co:LaGaO₃ single crystal (dose 4×10^5 Gy).



Fig. 8. (a) Absorption (curve 1), (b) additional absorption after γ -irradiation with a dose of 4×10^5 Gy (curve 2) and (c) photoluminescence (curve 3) of MnSe(1%):ZnSe single crystal.

ZnSe single crystal codoped with 1% MnSe exhibits a similar behavior (Fig. 8). The absorption spectrum of Mn:ZnSe crystal does not show any electron transition bands in the range from 200 to 20000 nm (curve 1). After γ -irradiation with a dose of 4×10^5 Gy an additional absorption band appears at 520 nm, which is connected probably with Mn³⁺ ions created by gamma ionization of Mn²⁺ ions (curve 2). Luminescence of the crystal with green light ($\lambda_{ex} = 530$ nm), seen after gamma irradiation, has a maximum at about 650 nm (see Fig. 8b, curve 3).

All crystals, whose optical characteristics are presented in Figs. 6–8, exhibit strong red luminescence at about 650 nm, but only in the case of LiF crystals it is due to CC. Other crystals show also new luminescence bands connected with the creation of new types of active dopants coming from recharged active ions (Co^{3+} and Mn^{3+}).

4. Conclusions

Generally, annealing in air leads to an increase in valency of uncontrolled or active impurity (Cr^{3+} :YAG), while γ -irradiation (or annealing in reducing atmosphere) (Cr^{4+} :YAG), due to ion recombination with secondary Compton electrons (or reduction), leads to a decrease in valency (Fig. 2) [2]. However, there are some ions, which are ionized by gamma quanta (Cr^{3+} :YAG) due to the Compton interaction. In particular case recharging depends on a previous treatment, local symmetry of ion, and crystal field. Protons can also interact similarly as gamma rays. The only difference in the mechanisms of their interaction with oxide crystals is the source of secondary electrons, or ionization mechanism.

Different treatments (annealing in reducing or oxidizing atmospheres, irradiation) produce different characteristic defects. They may be CC's, such as F, F⁺, F⁻, F²⁺, F²⁻, polarons, trapped holes [6], AA bands attributed to recharged dopants (Ce³⁺, Cr³⁺, Dy³⁺, Nd³⁺), or uncontrolled ions (Mn²⁺ [10], Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺). Effects of gamma irradiation performed for the same crystal, previously annealed in different manner, can be of opposite sign (see Fig. 3).

If a crystal does not contain the oxygen vacancies, the AA bands after both types of treatments, i.e. gamma rays and annealing in reducing atmosphere, have the same shape (Fig. 4).

The level of crystal defecting after irradiation depends strongly on its initial quality and also on concentration of active dopant. The quantity of point defects, which may be recharged due to ionizing irradiation, is for the investigated crystals of the order of 10^{17} cm⁻³ [2]. Also annealing at too low temperatures can create new defects (Fig. 5).

For γ -rays with energies ≈ 1.25 MeV recharging processes, due to the Compton effect and ionization of active ions, were mainly observed.

For electrons with energies $<1~{\rm MeV}$ (doses $10^{14}-10^{16}~{\rm cm}^{-2})$ ionizing processes of active ions were dominant.

For dose of protons $< 10^{14}$ cm⁻² mainly recombination with delta electrons takes place, while for doses of protons $> 10^{14}$ cm⁻² Frenkel defects also arise (whose quantity increases linearly with the dose [4]). The latter effect is caused by nuclear scattering of protons.

426

Changes in luminescence spectrum after gamma irradiation were observed in LiF, Co:LaGaO₃, and Mn:ZnSe single crystals (Figs. 6-8). Such behavior indicates that γ -irradiation can dramatically change emission properties of the crystals.

Thus, irradiation and annealing treatments appear to be the effective tools for characterization and alteration of properties of oxide single crystals.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Profs. M. Demianiuk, T. Łukasiewicz, Dr. A. Majchrowski, Mrs. I. Pracka, and Mr Z. Frukacz for preparation of crystals for investigations.

References

- T.S. Rose, M.S. Hopkins, R.A. Fields, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* 31, 1593 (1995).
- [2] A.O. Matkovskii, D.J. Sugak, A.N. Durygin, S.M. Kaczmarek, K. Kopczyński,
 Z. Mierczyk, Z. Frukacz, T. Łukasiewicz, A.P. Shakhov, Opt. Mater. 6, 353 (1996).
 See also: A.O. Matkovskii, D.J. Sugak, S.B. Ubizskii, O.I. Shpotiuk, E.A. Chernyi,
 N.M. Vakiv, V.A. Mokshyckii, Vozdestvie ioniziruyushchikh izluchenij na materialy elektronnoy tekhniki, Svit, Lvov 1994, p. 132.
- [3] S.M. Kaczmarek, W. Zendzian, T. Łukasiewicz, K. Stępka, Z. Moroz, S. Warchoł, Spectrochim. Acta A 54, 209 (1998).
- [4] S.M. Kaczmarek, R. Jabłoński, I. Pracka, G. Boulon, T. Łukasiewicz, Z. Moroz, S. Warchoł, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 142, 515 (1998). See also: S.M. Kaczmarek, J. Kisielewski, R. Jabłoński, Z. Moroz, M. Kwaśny, T. Łukasiewicz, S. Warchoł, J. Wojtkowska, Biul. WAT 7/8, 113 (1998).
- [5] I.Sh. Akhmadulin, S.A. Migachev, S.P. Mironov, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 65, 270 (1992).
- [6] L. Arizmendi, J.M. Cabrera, F. Agullo-Lopez, J. Phys. C, Solid State Phys. 17, 515 (1984).
- [7] S.M. Kaczmarek, T. Łukasiewicz, I. Pracka, R. Jabłoński, G. Boulon, B. Kaczmarek, S. Warchoł, J. Alloys Comp. 275-277, 105 (1998).
- [8] J.L. Gusev, S.I. Marennikov, C.J. Novozilov, Kvantova Elektronika 5, 1685 (1978).
- [9] Z. Mierczyk, J. Czeszko, S.M. Kaczmarek, Biul. WAT 3, 95 (1986).
- [10] S.M. Kaczmarek, R. Jabłoński, I. Pracka, M. Świrkowicz, J. Wojtkowska, S. Warchoł, Cryst. Res. Technol. 34, 729 (1999).