KINETICS OF "ORDER-ORDER" RELAXATIONS IN Ni$_3$Al STUDIED BY COMPUTER SIMULATION
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The experimental investigations of "order-order" kinetics in Ni$_3$Al-based L1$_2$-ordered intermetallic compounds revealed the relaxation curves composed of two parallel processes considerably differing in relaxation rates. A simple Ising-type model based on a vacancy mechanism of atomic jumps was used to carry the Monte Carlo simulations of long-range-order relaxations in a binary A$_3$B system with L1$_2$-type superstructure. The simulated relaxation curves fitted weighted sums of two exponentials with significantly different relaxation times. It was found out that the fast relaxation process is controlled by the dynamics of the minority B-atom jumps.

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q, 05.20.Dd, 66.30.—h, 81.30.Hd

1. Introduction and general assumptions

By means of resistometry, two simultaneous processes were observed in "order-order" long-range-order (LRO) relaxations in Ni$_3$Al. The relaxation times of both processes significantly differed, but the related activation energies (reaching 4.6 eV) were nearly equal [1].

In the present study the Monte Carlo computer simulation technique (MC) was used in order to explain the origin of two LRO relaxation processes in L1$_2$ ordered A$_3$B binary systems.

The simulated L1$_2$ superlattice was composed of 256000 sites of A- and B-atoms. The fixed number of vacancies (usually 10) was initially distributed at random (vacancy formation process was not considered). The degree of LRO was determined by the parameter

$$\eta(t) = 1 - \frac{N_A^{(B)}(t)}{0.75 \times N_B^{(B)}},$$

where $N_B^{(B)}$ is the number of B-type sublattice sites, and $N_A^{(B)}$ is the number of A-antisites (A-atoms residing on the B-type sublattice).
The applied relaxation algorithm simulated the vacancy mechanism of elementary atomic jumps: an A- (or B-) atom chosen at random among the nearest-neighbours (nn) of a vacancy, jumps to this vacancy with a probability $P(A(B))$, defined by the system configuration energy change $\Delta E$ due to a jump (standard Glauber-type term), and an appropriate saddle-point energy $E_{A(B)}^+$ assigned exclusively to the jumping atom

$$P(A(B)) = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k_BT}\right)}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k_BT}\right)} \times \exp\left(-\frac{E_{A(B)}^+}{k_BT}\right),$$

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the absolute temperature. The energy $E_{A(B)}^+$ selectively slows down the migration of A- (B-) atoms.

The configuration energy of the system is approximated by an Ising Hamiltonian with six energy parameters $V_{AA}^{(1)}, V_{BB}^{(1)}, V_{AB}^{(1)}, V_{AA}^{(2)}, V_{BB}^{(2)}$ and $V_{AB}^{(2)}$ describing pair-interactions of atoms in two coordination zones (marked by superscripts). Atom–vacancy and vacancy–vacancy pair-interaction energies are not taken into account. In order to approach the reality of Ni$_3$Al the parameters are evaluated according to the following criteria [2-4]:

$$V_{AA}^{(j)} = 2 \times V_{BB}^{(j)} \quad [2],$$

$$W^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \left[V_{AA}^{(1)} + V_{BB}^{(1)} - 2 \times V_{AB}^{(1)}\right] = 0.035 \text{ [eV]} \quad [3],$$

$$W^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} \left[V_{AA}^{(2)} + V_{BB}^{(2)} - 2 \times V_{AB}^{(2)}\right] = -0.0165 \text{ [eV]} \quad [4].$$

The sets of the pair-interaction energy parameters are thus definitely parameterized by two independent quantities: e.g. $V_{BB}^{(1)}$ and $V_{BB}^{(2)}$.

Each computer experiment began with a perfectly-ordered A$_3$B system (showing no antisite atoms) containing 10 vacancies. The perfect LRO corresponds to $T = 0 \text{ K}$ and $\eta = 1$. According to the jump algorithm the atomic configuration of the system is then relaxed to its equilibrium state at temperature $T_f > 0$. The MC-time dependence of $\eta$, and MC-time dependence of effective probabilities $P_{A(B):i\rightarrow j}$ of A- or B-atom jumps from “$i$”- to “$j$”-type sublattice, are monitored. The $P_{A(B):i\rightarrow j}$ is defined as a number of jumps executed within a fixed MC-time period (usually 5000 MC steps per vacancy). About 75 snap-shots of the lattice per a single program run were saved on a disk for later use. All results were averaged over 20 independent lattices.

Thermal vacancies in Ni$_3$Al preferentially occupy the Ni-sublattice [2]. It was found out [4] that this behaviour is reproduced in the simulations only for a narrow range of pair-interaction parameters fulfilling the criteria (3). Consequently, the following values of $V_{ij}^{(1,2)}$ were assumed:

set 1: $V_{BB}^{(1)} = -0.05 \text{ eV}$ and $+0.01 \text{ eV} \leq V_{BB}^{(2)} \leq +0.08 \text{ eV}$, or

set 2: $V_{BB}^{(1)} = -0.15 \text{ eV}$ and $-0.06 \text{ eV} \leq V_{BB}^{(2)} \leq +0.08 \text{ eV}$.

The simulations were carried out with saddle-point energies assigned either to A (majority) atoms ($E_A^+ > 0$, $E_B^+ = 0$) or to B (minority) ones ($E_A^+ = 0$, $E_B^+ > 0$).
$E^+_B > 0$) — the same value of the saddle-point energy assigned to both atomic species results exclusively in an exponential slowing-down of the process.

2. Results

The simulation results reproduced the two experimentally observed parallel relaxation processes: weighted sums of two single exponentials fitted the best the $\eta(t)$ curves

$$\frac{\eta(t) - \eta_{EQ}}{\eta(t = 0) - \eta_{EQ}} = C\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_s}\right) + (1 - C)\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_a}\right), \quad \tau_s \ll \tau_a. \quad (4)$$

Contrary to the experiment, however, only the long relaxation times $\tau$ obeyed the Arrhenius law.

The contribution of the fast relaxation process (i.e. the value of $C$) varied when particular parameters of the simulations were changed.

3.1. Simulations without saddle-point energies $E^+_A(B) = 0$

Figure 1 shows that the weight-factor $C$ was generally lower in the case of $V_{BB}^{(1)} = -0.15$ eV than in the case of $V_{BB}^{(1)} = -0.05$ eV. Moreover, for both values of $V_{BB}^{(1)}$, $C$ gradually decreased with an increase in $V_{BB}^{(2)}$.
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Fig. 1. The $C$ factor as a function of $V_{BB}^{(2)}$. The results correspond to $E^+_A = E^+_B = 0$, the energy parameters arbitrary chosen from set 1 (•) and set 2 (▽) (see Sec. 3).
Fig. 2. The parameter $D$ defined by Eq. (5) as a function of $V_{BB}^{(2)}$. The results correspond to $E_A^+ = E_B^+ = 0$, the energy parameters arbitrary chosen from set 1 (●) and set 2 (▽) (see Sec. 3).

It was observed that in parallel with the systematic decrease in $C$, an increase in $V_{BB}^{(2)}$ resulted in a gradual decrease in a parameter $D$ defined as

$$D = \frac{P_{B:B \rightarrow A}}{P_{A:A \rightarrow B}}$$

(see Fig. 2).

In the case of $C > 0.1$ the corresponding value of $D$ exceeded 1, which meant a domination of B-atom jumps over the A-atom ones in the respective relaxations. On the other hand, the lowest values of $C$ accompanied the domination of A-atom jumps ($D < 1$).

The decrease in $D$ with increasing $V_{BB}^{(2)}$ was naturally caused by a systematic variation of the averaged configurational energy changes $\Delta E$ corresponding to particular types of atomic jumps [4]: an increase in $V_{BB}^{(2)}$ caused an increase in $\Delta E$ for B-atom jumps and a decrease in $\Delta E$ for A-atom jumps. Obviously, $P_{A(B):i \rightarrow j}$ is a decreasing function of $\Delta E$ (Eq. (2)).

3.2. Simulations with saddle-point energies: $E_{A(B)}^+ > 0$

Figure 3 shows the values of the weight-factors $C$ resulting from the simulations performed for $V_{BB}^{(1)} = -0.05$ eV and $V_{BB}^{(2)} = 0.01$ eV at various combinations of $E_A^+$ and $E_B^+$ represented by their difference $\delta^+ = E_B^+ - E_A^+$. It is clearly visible that $C$ decreased with decreasing $\delta^+$ (when A-atoms were immobilised).
Fig. 3. The $C$ factor as a function of $\delta^+$. 

Fig. 4. The $E_0^A$ (●) and $E_0^B$ (▽) as a function of $\delta^+$. 
It was found out that in parallel with the effect on $C$, the variation of $\delta^+$ influenced the efficiency of B-atom jumps determined by the parameter $E_0^B$:

$$E_0^B = \frac{P_{B:B\rightarrow A} - P_{B:A\rightarrow B}}{P_{B:B\rightarrow A}},$$

which, in the same way as $C$, appeared an increasing function of $\delta^+$ (Fig. 4). It is interesting that no effect was observed on the similarly defined A-atom jump efficiency parameter $E_0^A$. On the other hand, slowing-down of B-atoms ($\delta^+ > 0$) increased the value of the weight factor $C$, with respect to the case of $E_{A(B)}^+ = 0$ (Fig. 1), and significantly improved the efficiency $E_0^B$.

According to the recently proposed model [4], the sign and value of $\delta^+$ controls directly the probability $P_{B:A\rightarrow B}$ of the reversed jumps of B-atoms back to their own sublattice. This probability, in turn, determines the value of $E_0^B$.

### 3.3. A- and B-antisite pair correlation (APC)

On the basis of the saved snap-shots of the lattice the value of APC defined as a probability of an A-antisite being a nearest-neighbour of a B-antisite was evaluated as a function of $\eta$ and MC time. The results obtained for $T = 1500$ K and $E_{A(B)}^+ = 0$ and three different sets of energy (resulting in different weight factors $C$), are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. The APC factor as a function of $\eta$: $C = 15.5\%$ ($\circ$), $C = 6\%$ ($\triangledown$), $C = 0\%$ ($\triangle$). Simulations done with $E_A^+ = E_B^+ = 0$. 

The APC reached always the same equilibrium value, but its kinetic paths were noticeably different in each case. During the relaxation the fraction of antisite pairs always decreased, but remained the larger, the larger was the value of the factor $C$. Similar result was obtained when $C$ changed due to the variation of saddle-point energy values (Fig. 6).

4. Conclusions

The results of the MC simulations definitely indicated the correlation between the B-atom-jump dynamics and the contribution of the fast process to the "order-order" relaxations following an increase in temperature in an L12-ordered A$_3$B system. The simulated $\eta(t)$ curves showed different values of the weight factor $C$ when the dynamics of B-atom jumps was varied by an appropriate change of the system parameters.

The previously proposed model [4] together with the present analysis of the evolution of the antisite-pair-correlations suggest that in the A$_3$B L12-ordered systems the degree of LRO decreases in two simultaneous processes: (i) nn pairs of A- and B-antisites form in a fast process controlled by B-atom jumps to nn vacancies, (ii) in a slow and complex cooperative process, involving all kinds of atomic jumps, further antisites are created and homogeneously distributed over the crystalline lattice (the nn antisite-pair density decreases).
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