Proceedings of the European Conference "Physics of Magnetism 96", Poznań 1996

SPIN-LATTICE RELAXATION EFFECTS IN CRITICAL SOUND PROPAGATION

A. PAWLAK

Institute of Physics, A. Mickiewicz University Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland

The nonasymptotic critical properties of sound propagation are studied in compressible Ising system above $T_{\rm C}$. In the present paper we analyse a model where in addition to the coupling to two order-parameter fluctuations the sound mode couples linearly to the fluctuations of spin-energy and lattice-energy densities. Both subsystems exchange energy with the rate determined by the bare spin-lattice relaxation time. The total energy may be conserved or not. The crossover between insulator-like behaviour $\sim t^{-2\alpha}$ and metal-like behaviour $\sim t^{-(z\nu+\alpha)}$ in ultrasonic attenuation is investigated according to the value of ultrasonic frequency, the reduced temperature t, bare relaxation times and various coupling constants.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Jk, 62.65.+k

In typical ultrasonic experiments near the magnetic phase transition temperature we observe strong anomalies of sound attenuation in magnetic metals such as some rare-earth metals, whereas in magnetic insulators only very weak anomaly is observed [1, 2]. Many theories have been proposed to describe the strong anomaly in various substances [3-6]. They assume that the sound mode is coupled to two spin fluctuations above $T_{\rm C}$. On the other hand, the weak anomalies have been qualitatively explained by postulating the dominance of the linear coupling to the spin-energy density [7]. In our recent study we have investigated a model where both couplings were present [8]. In this paper we present more extensive discussion of the problem including also the lattice energy mode and transfer of energy between spin and lattice subsystems. We consider the acoustic phonon Q coupled to the scalar spin S and to the fluctuations of energy of spin and lattice subsystems. The Hamiltonian of the elastically isotropic system may be specified as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \left[rS^2 + (\nabla S)^2 + uS^4 + C_{12} \left(\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha\alpha} \right)^2 + 2C_{44} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} e_{\alpha\beta}^2 \right. \\ \left. + g \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha\alpha} S^2 + fe_{\rm S} S^2 + w(e_{\rm S} + ae_{\rm L}) \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha\alpha} + \frac{e^2_{\rm S}}{C_{\rm S}} + \frac{e^2_{\rm B}}{C_{\rm L}} \right], \tag{1}$$

(449)

A. Pawlak

where $e_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ denotes the strain tensor and $e_{\rm S}(x)$ and $e_{\rm L}(x)$ are the spin- and lattice-energy densities, respectively. The symbols $C_{\alpha\beta}$ stand for the bare elastic constants; g, w, aw, f are the bare coupling constants, and $C_{\rm S}$ and $C_{\rm L}$ are the spin and lattice specific heats, respectively.

The dynamics of the system is described by the coupled Langevin equations

$$\dot{S} = -\Gamma \frac{\delta H}{\delta S} + \xi, \tag{2}$$

$$\ddot{Q} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta Q} - \Gamma_Q \dot{Q} + \eta, \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{e}_{\rm S} = -(\gamma_{\rm S} - \lambda_{\rm S} \nabla^2) \frac{\delta H}{\delta e_{\rm S}} + \gamma \frac{\delta H}{\delta e_{\rm L}} + \varphi, \tag{4}$$

$$e_{\rm L} = -(\dot{\gamma}_{\rm L} - \lambda_{\rm S} \nabla^2) \frac{\delta H}{\delta e_{\rm L}} + \gamma \frac{\delta H}{\delta e_{\rm S}} + \psi, \qquad (5)$$

where ξ, η, φ and ψ are Gaussian white noises with variances related to the bare damping terms $\Gamma, \Gamma_Q, (\gamma_S - \lambda_S \nabla^2)$ and $(\gamma_L - \lambda_L \nabla^2)$ by the Einstein relations.

It is convenient to represent Eqs. (2)-(4) in functional form [9] with Lagrangian given by

$$L\left(Q,\tilde{Q},S,\tilde{S},e_{S},\tilde{e}_{S},e_{L},\tilde{e}_{L}\right) = \int_{\omega} \sum_{k} \left\{ \Gamma \tilde{S}_{k,\omega} \tilde{S}_{-k,-\omega} + \Gamma_{Q}(k) \tilde{Q}_{k,\omega} \tilde{Q}_{-k,-\omega} + \Gamma_{S}(k) \tilde{e}_{k,\omega}^{S} \tilde{e}_{k,\omega}^{S} + \Gamma_{L}(k) \tilde{e}_{k,\omega}^{L} \tilde{e}_{-k,-\omega}^{L} - 2\gamma \tilde{e}^{S}_{k,\omega} \tilde{e}_{-k,-\omega}^{L} - 2\gamma \tilde{e}^{S}_{k,\omega} \tilde{e}_{-k,-\omega}^{L} - \tilde{Q}_{k,\omega} \left[(-\omega^{2} + i\omega) Q_{-k,-\omega} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_{k,\omega}} \right] - \tilde{S}_{k,\omega} \left(i\omega S_{-k,-\omega} + \Gamma \frac{\partial H}{\partial S_{k,\omega}} \right) - \tilde{e}^{S}_{k,\omega} \left[i\omega e^{S}_{-k,-\omega} + \Gamma_{S}(k) \frac{\partial H}{\partial e^{S}_{k,\omega}} - \gamma \frac{\partial H}{\partial e^{L}_{k,\omega}} \right] - \tilde{e}^{L}_{k,\omega} \left[i\omega e^{L}_{-k,-\omega} + \Gamma_{L}(k) \frac{\partial H}{\partial e^{L}_{k,\omega}} - \gamma \frac{\partial H}{\partial e^{S}_{k,\omega}} \right] \right\}, \qquad (6)$$

where $\Gamma_i(k) = \gamma_i + \lambda_i k^2$ for i = S, L and $\tilde{S}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{e_S}$ and \tilde{e}_L are auxiliary "response" fields. With the Lagrangian all correlation and response functions can be computed as path integrals weighted with density exp L.

Next we apply series of Gaussian transformations

$$e_{\rm L} \rightarrow e_{\rm L} + Ae_{\rm S} + B\tilde{e}_{\rm S}, \qquad \tilde{e}_{\rm L} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{\rm L} + C\tilde{e}_{\rm S},$$
(7)

which decouples the energy density modes

$$Q \rightarrow Q + DS^2 + Ee_{\rm S} + Fe_{\rm L} + GS^2 + H\tilde{e}_{\rm S} + J\tilde{e}_{\rm L},$$

 $\tilde{Q} \to \tilde{Q} + K\widetilde{S^2} + L\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\rm S} + M\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\rm L},\tag{8}$

decoupling the sound mode from the energy density modes,

$$e_{\rm L} \rightarrow e_{\rm L} + NS^2 + Pe_{\rm S} + RS^2 + T\tilde{e}_{\rm S},$$

$$\tilde{e}_{\rm L} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{\rm L} + U\widetilde{S^2} + V\tilde{e}_{\rm S},$$

and

$$e_{\rm S} \rightarrow e_{\rm S} + WS^2 + XS^2,$$

 $\tilde{e}_{\rm S} \rightarrow \tilde{e}_{\rm S} + Y \widetilde{S^2},$

 $\tilde{e}_{\rm S} + YS^2, \tag{10}$

decoupling e_S , e_L and S^2 , where A, B, C... are frequency and wave-vector dependent coefficients.

We then obtain expression for the acoustic self-energy

$$\Sigma^{AC} = \frac{k^2 \left[g^2(\hat{\omega}) - \mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}b(\hat{\omega})g^2\right] \langle \Gamma \widetilde{S^2} S^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{eff}}}}{1 - \mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}b(\hat{\omega}) \left(1 + v \langle \Gamma \widetilde{S^2} S^2 \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{eff}}}\right)},\tag{11}$$

where

$$b(\hat{\omega}) = \frac{1-\mathrm{i}\hat{\omega}}{m-\mathrm{i}\hat{\omega}}, \quad v = f^2 C_{\mathrm{S}}, \quad m = 1 - \gamma^2 / \Gamma_{\mathrm{S}} \Gamma_{\mathrm{L}},$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega C_{\rm S} / \Gamma_{\rm S}, \quad \hat{\omega} = \omega C_{\rm L} / \Gamma_{\rm L}$$

and

$$g(\hat{\omega}) = g - wfC_{\rm S} \frac{m - i\hat{\omega}(1 - a\gamma/\Gamma_{\rm S})}{m - i\hat{\omega}}$$

is a frequency-dependent effective spin-phonon coupling constant. The effective spin Lagrangian used for calculation of the expectation value in (11) contains strain- and energy density-mediated four-spin non-local interactions as a result of transformations (7)-(10). From the acoustic self-energy the sound attenuation coefficient can be easily found. Near the phase transition it can be written as

$$\frac{\alpha(\omega,t)}{k^2} \propto \left\{ \frac{1}{2y\Gamma} \operatorname{Re}(g^2(\hat{\omega})) t^{-z\nu-\alpha} \operatorname{Im}\Phi(y) + \frac{1}{2y\Gamma} g^2 |b(\hat{\omega})|^2 \tilde{\omega}^2 t^{-z\nu-\alpha} \operatorname{Im}\Phi(y) + \frac{C_{\rm S}}{\Gamma_{\rm S}} \operatorname{Re}\left[g^2(\hat{\omega})\overline{b(\hat{\omega})}\right] t^{-2\alpha} |\Phi(y)|^2 \right\} \times \left|1 - \mathrm{i}\tilde{\omega}b(\hat{\omega})t^{-\alpha}\Phi(y)\right|^{-2},$$
(12)

where Re and Im denote real and imaginary part of a function, $t = \frac{T-T_c}{T_c}$ is the reduced temperature and $y = \frac{\omega}{2\Gamma}t^{-z\nu}$ is the reduced frequency with α, ν and z being the critical exponents. The scaling function $\Phi(y)$ in the "weak-coupling" limit [5] can be written as

$$\Phi(y) = \left[1 + (y/2)^2\right]^{-\alpha/4\nu} \left\{1 + \frac{i\alpha}{y\nu} \left[i\left(1 - iy/2\right) \arctan(y/2) - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(1 + (y/2)^2\right)\right]\right\}$$

(9)

Depending on the relative size of t, Γ_S/C_S , Γ , Γ_L/C_L and ω , Eq. (12) shows many different regimes. Asymptotically i.e. for $\omega, t \to 0$ strong singularity term always dominates [3, 10]

$$\alpha(\omega,t) \propto \omega^2 t^{-(z\nu+\alpha)} \mathrm{Im} \Phi(y)/y.$$

It is rather unexpected that a similar singularity dominates also for high frequencies, $\tilde{\omega} \gg 1$, where

$$\alpha(\omega, t) \propto \omega^2 t^{-(z\nu-\alpha)} \mathrm{Im} \Phi^{-1}(y)/y.$$

This new behaviour can be obtained from the asymptotic behaviour by simple replacement $\alpha \to -\alpha$ and $\Phi \to \Phi^{-1}$. The weak singularity term,

$$\alpha(\omega,t) \propto \omega^2 t^{-2\alpha} \left| \Phi(y) \right|^2,$$

can be dominant for $t > t_{cross}$ only if there exists a frequency window $\omega \ll \Gamma_S/C_S \ll \Gamma$, with the crossover reduced temperature $t_c ross \propto (\frac{\alpha m \gamma_S}{C_S \Gamma})^{1/(z\nu-\alpha)}$. This regime is believed to take place in magnetic insulators [1, 2].

References

- K. Kawasaki, in: Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Vol. 5a, Eds. C. Domb, M.S. Green, Academic Press, New York 1976, p. 165.
- [2] B. Lüthi, in: Dynamical Properties of Solids, Vol. 3, Eds. G.K. Horton, A.A. Marudin, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980, p. 245.
- [3] K.K. Murata, Phys. Rev. B 13, 4015 (1976).

[4] J.O. Fossum, J. Phys. C, Solid State Phys. 18, 5531 (1985).

[5] A. Pawlak, J. Phys., Condens. Matter 1, 7989 (1989).

[6] A. Pawlak, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5296 (1991).

[7] K. Kawasaki, Phys. Lett. A 29, 406 (1968).

[8] A. Pawlak, Acta Phys. Pol. A 85, 385 (1994).

[9] H.K. Janssen, Z. Phys. B 23, 377 (1976).

[10] II. Iro, F. Schwabl, Solid State Commun. 46, 205 (1983).