PROPERTIES OF NORMAL AND SUPERCONDUCTING STATES OF DIFFERENTLY DOPED REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$
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Temperature dependence of resistivity, $\rho(T)$, thermopower, $S_{300}$, superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, and lower critical field, $H_{c1}(0)$, of differently doped REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ ceramics have been measured. The hole doping of the CuO$_2$ plane is determined by the $T_c$, the oxygen concentration and/or the value of the thermopower. The temperature dependence of the resistivity above 200 K and the values of the lower critical field $H_{c1}(0)$ show clear dependence on the hole concentration. Below 200 K, in contrast to simple metals, the resistivity of all samples decreases faster than linearly with the decreasing temperature. Classical electron–phonon scattering mechanism is not appropriate to describe the $\rho(T)$ curves of REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ compounds. Some observed features of the $\rho(T)$ dependence are predicted by mean field phase diagram for the CuO$_2$ plane.

PACS numbers: 74.72.-h, 74.25.Fy

1. Introduction

Transport properties in the normal state of high-$T_c$ superconductors are inconsistent with a description by the conventional electron–phonon scattering mechanism. These properties are one of the most attractive subjects for investigation, since the excitations which interact with carriers might play an important role in superconductivity [1]. Especially the normal state resistivity reveals an anomalous feature. Hole concentration in CuO$_2$ plane depends mainly on doping from carriers reservoir, i.e., the CuO chains [2]. The doping of CuO$_2$ plane increases with oxygen concentration. Opposite change in carriers concentration could be caused by substitution of some cations (e.g. replacement of Ba by Sm in Sm$_{1+y}$Ba$_{2-y}$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$). Three regions with different doping are usually distinguishable [3]; in overdoped and optimal doped regions the superconducting
transition temperature, $T_c$, changes slightly (plateau at $T_c \approx 90$ K), however in underdoped region $T_c$ falls quickly with decreasing doping. For YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ the overdoped region lies above oxygen concentration of $\approx 6.90$, the optimal doped region lies around 6.85 and below concentration $\approx 6.8$ the underdoped region lies. Of course, the location of these regions is slightly different for compounds with other RE, however $T_c$-plateau range contains optimally doped and overdoped regions, too. Transports properties of REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ compounds are significantly different in these three regions, e.g., therimoelectric power is negative in overdoped region, but at lower oxygen concentration it changes sign into positive [4–6].

In this paper, we present temperature dependence of the resistivity and some parameters which characterize normal and superconducting states for REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ ceramic samples with different doping of CuO$_2$ plane. All our samples have the superconducting transition temperature $T_c \approx 90$ K or slightly lower. The elements: Y, Er, Eu, Gd, Ho, Dy and solid solutions Y$_{0.75}$Er$_{0.25}$, Y$_{0.5}$Er$_{0.5}$ have been used as RE, and samples with substitution Sm$_{1+y}$Ba$_{2-y}$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ [7] have also been investigated. The ceramic samples are textured (as X-ray measurements show), $ab$ plane is mainly in pellet surface and therefore temperature dependence of the resistivity $\rho(T)$ is close to that of single crystals in $ab$ plane, as it is often observed in the cuprates [8]. However, the $\rho$ values of ceramics are higher because of carriers scattering on grain boundaries. Temperature dependence of the normal state resistivity of these high-$T_c$ superconductors changes its character with doping of CuO$_2$ plane. At low temperatures distinct deviation from the linear dependence of the resistivity is always observed. The $\rho(T)$ dependence is exactly linear only for optimally doped samples above 200 K. The Bloch–Grüneisen formula, which represents the temperature dependence of resistivity based on the electron–phonon scattering, is not sufficient to describe these experimental courses. Lately, the most frequently discussed mechanism is scattering due to spin fluctuation in the CuO$_2$ plane (referred to as “spin gap”) [3, 9, 10].

2. Experimental

REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ compounds were prepared by the standard solid state reaction procedure from high purity RE$_2$O$_3$, BaCO$_3$ and CuO powders. A well mixed powder was calcinated in air at 950°C for 12 h. Next, the material was grinded, cold-pressed into a pellet and sintered again at 950°C in flowing oxygen. Only samples of Sm$_{1+y}$Ba$_{2-y}$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$, where the Sm ions partially substitute Ba, were prepared by the process of citrate pyrolysis [7]. Finally, all the pellets were heated at 400–460°C for 20 h in flowing oxygen and then cooled to room temperature. The oxygen concentration in the samples was determined by the thermogravimetric or iodometric method with the accuracy of $\pm 0.05$ and it was in the range 6.7–7.0. The X-ray powder analysis of the samples proved them to be of single-phase orthorhombic structure. The resistivity was measured by the conventional dc four-contact method on bar-shaped samples with dimensions of about $1 \times 2 \times 10$ mm$^3$, cut out from pellets parallel to their surface and with Cu wires attached to the samples by silver paste. The superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, was obtained from resistive transition curve as a temperature of 0.5 normal state resistivity value. The
resistivity of the samples was measured in a range from $T_c$ to 300 K. The thermopower, $S$, was measured by the method described in Ref. [11]. Magnetization measurements in low magnetic fields (at $T = 4.2$ K) allowed to determine the lower critical field, $H_{c1}(0)$ as a field at which deviation from linearity of magnetization begins [12] (the $H_{c1}(T = 4.2$ K) is very close to $H_{c1}(0)$). In ceramic samples two such characteristic points appear. First point can be related to the lower critical field in the intergrain area or weakly coupled Josephson junctions, $H_{c1}^J$ [13]. The other one, well above the former, is the intragrain lower critical field, $H_{c1}$.

3. Results and discussion

Twelve different samples were investigated and the six most characteristic ones were chosen for presentation. The basic data for the chosen samples are displayed in Table. Samples have been classified as belonging to different regions of doping of the CuO$_2$ plane (underdoped, optimally doped or overdoped) according to values of $T_c$, oxygen concentration, thermopower and Hall carrier concentration for some samples (e.g. Sm$_{1+y}$Ba$_{2-y}$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$). The values of the resistivity at 300 K, $\rho_{300}$, for ceramic samples are not related to oxygen concentration because of important role of the scattering of carriers on the grain boundaries. However, the resistivity ratio $\rho_{300}/\rho_{100}$ and the derivative $(d \ln \rho/dT)_{300}$ values are clearly a function of the sample composition.

### Table

Basic parameters characterizing the REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ samples. Superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, width of the transition, $\Delta T_c$, values of $\rho_{300}/\rho_{100}$, and $(d \ln \rho/dT)_{300}$ have been obtained from resistivity measurements, $\rho(T)$. $S_{300}$ is the value of the thermopower at 300 K. $H_{c1}^J(0)$ and $H_{c1}(0)$ are intergrain and intragrain lower critical field, respectively, extrapolated to $T = 0$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$T_c$ [K]</th>
<th>$\Delta T_c$ [K]</th>
<th>$\rho_{300}$ [m$\Omega$ cm]</th>
<th>$\rho_{300}/\rho_{100}$</th>
<th>$(d \ln \rho/dT)_{300} \times 10^3$ [K$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$S_{300}$ [$\mu$V/K]</th>
<th>$H_{c1}^J(0)$ [Oe]</th>
<th>$H_{c1}(0)$ [Oe]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>+4.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>+7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>+23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*X = Composition, A = ErBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.95}$, B = YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.92}$, C = Y$_{0.75}$Er$_{0.25}$Ba$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.86}$, D = EuBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.83}$, E = EuBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.75}$, F = Sm$_{1.15}$Ba$_{1.85}$Cu$_3$O$_{7.0}$.*

The group No. 1 in Table consists of two samples with oxygen concentration above 6.9 and with low values of the thermopower at 300 K, $S_{300}$ (comparison with the dependence of $S$ on $x$ presented in [4] would indicate a little higher concentration), i.e., the samples are in the overdoped region [6]. The values of the $T_c$ for the next two samples (group No. 2) are still close to 90 K but they show lower oxygen concentration, considerably higher $S_{300}$ values, lower values of the resistivity ratio $\rho_{300}/\rho_{100}$ and the derivative $(d \ln \rho/dT)_{300}$ than those from
solid solution $Y_{0.75}Er_{0.25}$ as RE element does not clearly differentiate the sample. The last two samples in Table (group No. 3) exhibit $T_c$ below the plateau value, therefore they are in underdoped region. The $EuBa_2Cu_3O_{6.75}$ sample lies in this region due to low oxygen concentration, whereas the $Sm_{1.15}Ba_{1.85}Cu_3O_{7.0}$ sample is underdoped due to partial substitution of $Ba^{2+}$ by $Sm^{3+}$ cations. Comparison of $S_{300}$ values for these three groups (Table) indicates also that the last group of samples (No. 3) lies in the underdoped region. This means that both oxygen nonstoichiometry and cation substitution result in a similar change of the doping level of CuO$_2$ plane.

Temperature dependences of the resistivity, $\rho(T)$, of all samples exhibit some deviations from linear courses and they are analysed below. The peculiarities of $\rho(T)$ dependences are better visible on plots of $d\rho/dT$ versus $T$. Figure 1 shows the $T$-dependence of the derivative, $d\rho/dT$, for samples which are described in Table. Values of the derivative are calculated from experimental points distanced

Fig. 1. The temperature dependence of the derivative, $d\rho/dT$, for RE$Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ ceramics, with variously doped CuO$_2$ plane: (a) overdoped, (b) optimally doped, (c) underdoped. The continuous lines are guides for eye only.
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by $\Delta T \approx 2 \div 4$ K. The shapes of $d\rho/dT$ above 200 K differ for these three groups. For overdoped samples (Fig. 1a) the derivatives, $d\rho/dT$, increase linearly with the increasing temperature ($d^2\rho/dT^2 > 0$) in the range of 190–300 K, therefore a component of the resistivity proportional to $T^2$ appears here. The presence of the component $\rho \sim T^2$ is predicted in overdoped region by the mean field phase diagram of CuO$_2$ plane [3, 9] (i.e., a Fermi-liquid behaviour becomes important). Both samples from group No. 2 (Fig. 1b), which are in optimally doped region show exactly constant values of the $d\rho/dT$ in the range of 210–300 K ($d^2\rho/dT^2 = 0$). Hence, $\rho(T)$ could be described by a simple relation $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + aT$, in agreement with the phase diagram [3, 11], which predicts a linear $T$-dependence of the resistivity in optimally doped region and defines the material as strange metal. The derivatives, $d\rho/dT$, for samples from group No. 3 (Fig. 1c), which are in underdoped region, decrease with increasing temperature ($d^2\rho/dT^2 < 0$). Therefore, the deviation from linearity of the $\rho(T)$ is opposite to that for overdoped samples. In the underdoped region the phase diagram [3, 9, 10] predicts electron scattering mechanism due to spin fluctuations.

Below 200 K the derivative, $d\rho/dT$, changes similarly for all samples (Fig. 1), strongly increasing with decreasing temperature ($d^2\rho/dT^2 < 0$), i.e., the decrease in resistivity is considerably faster than linear.

Temperature dependence of the resistivity for simple metals is essentially different than for REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$compounds. Namely, the derivative $d\rho/dT$ for metals is almost constant in a wide temperature range, whereas for these compounds the derivative changes in different way, depending on the doping level of the CuO$_2$ plane. At low temperatures (considerably below the Debye temperature) the derivative for metals decreases very fast with the decreasing temperature ($d^2\rho/dT^2 > 0$), whereas for the compounds the $d\rho/dT$ value increases ($d^2\rho/dT^2 < 0$). Therefore, the Bloch–Grüneisen formula describing the dependence $\rho(T)$ for metals is not appropriate for the high-$T_c$ superconductors.

Change of the doping of CuO$_2$ plane strongly influences the values of lower critical field $H_{c1}$ diminishing it several times when the doping decreases (see Table) and this effect shows that some degradation of superconductivity appears in the compounds when the hole concentration is decreased. Thus, large differences in the values of the lower critical field $H_{c1}$ for 90 K YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$-type samples presented in literature [14] might be caused by small differences in oxygen concentration or other defects which influence the doping of CuO$_2$ plane.

4. Conclusion

The temperature dependence of the normal state resistivity, $\rho(T)$, of REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$compounds exhibits clear deviation from linearity. We have revealed that at temperatures above 200 K this deviation apparently depends on doping of the CuO$_2$ plane. The derivative, $d\rho/dT$, for overdoped samples increases linearly with the increasing temperature, whereas it decreases when the temperature increases for underdoped samples. The optimally doped samples show exactly linear $\rho(T)$ dependence. At low temperatures, below 200 K, the deviation from the linear $\rho(T)$ dependence is similar for all samples. The derivative $d\rho/dT$ increases very fast when temperature decreases.
These dependences of the $\rho(T)$ differ considerably from the temperature dependence of the resistivity of simple metals, therefore the classical electron–phonon scattering model is not sufficient for high-$T_c$ superconductors. Some features of the $\rho(T)$ dependence of the REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ compounds are in qualitative agreement with predictions of mean field phase diagram for the CuO$_2$ plane [3, 9], namely, the linear dependence of $\rho(T)$ in optimally doped region and the appearance of the resistivity component proportional to $T^2$ in the overdoped region.

The lower critical field $H_{c1}$ shows strong dependence on the hole concentration, i.e., value of $H_{c1}$ strongly decreases when the doping level of the CuO$_2$ plane decreases.
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