Proceedings of the 26th Polish Seminar on Positron Annihilation, Pokrzywna 1994

ELECTRON STRUCTURE OF d-TRANSITION METALS AND THEIR ALLOYS ON THE BASIS OF EELS STUDY

H.B. Kołodziej

Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University Al. Armii Krajowej 13/15, 42-201 Częstochowa, Poland

Analysis of characteristic electron energy losses in reflection from the surface provides information on electron and band structure of the solid state. Study of transition metals, their alloys (Fe-N, Zn-Ni) and amorphous alloys Fe₇₈Si₉B₁₃ show that there are two subsets of electron gas characterized by different oscillation frequency. The presence of independent components of volume plasmons corresponding to s and d electrons is an evidence that despite collectivization both the subsets preserve individual features characteristic of the structure of an isolated atom. From the energy losses the following quantities are determined: the effective mass (μ) for particular electrons subsets, the Fermi energy ($E_{\rm F}$), the band widths (δE) for s and d electrons and contribution of the subsets to the metal conductivity (γ). The obtained results for metals are compared to analogous data for alloys both in crystalline and amorphous phase.

PACS numbers: 61.43.Dq, 71.45.Gm, 78.70.Bj, 79.20.Kz, 72.30.+q

1. Introduction

According to the Pines theory [1] the energy lost by an incident electron can be expressed by the relations

$$\hbar\omega_{\rm p} = \hbar \left(\frac{4\pi n_0 e^2}{m_0}\right)^{1/2},\tag{1}$$
$$\hbar\omega_{\rm p} = B \left(\frac{n\rho}{A}\right)^{1/2},\tag{2}$$

where n_0 is the electron gas concentration, e, m are the electron charge and mass, respectively, $B = \hbar e (4\pi N_A/m_0)^{1/2} = 28.78 \text{ eV cm}^{3/2}$, N_A is the Avogadro number, ρ and A are the density and atomic mass of a sample, n is the electron number per atom.

Experimentally determined electron energy loss may be expressed in terms of the electron effective mass μ as

$$\Delta E = \hbar \omega_{\rm p} \left(m_0 / \mu \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3}$$

From Eqs. (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\frac{\mu}{m_0} = \frac{B^2 \rho n}{A(\Delta E)^2}.\tag{4}$$

This relation allows to evaluate the effective mass μ if the energy loss ΔE is known.

Following Ref. [2] the F \sim i energy $E_{\rm F}$ for electrons of a given effective mass

$$\mu$$
 is

$$E_{\rm F} = \left(\frac{m_0}{\mu}\right) \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \left(3\pi^2 n_0\right)^{2/3}.$$
 (5)

After converting Eqs. (1) and (2), and inserting to (5) we obtain a relation which allows to calculate $E_{\rm F}$ for a given energy loss ΔE

$$E_{\rm F} = B_0 \left(\frac{m_0}{\mu}\right)^{1/3} (\Delta E)^{4/3},\tag{6}$$

where $B_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\pi\hbar\right)^{2/3} e^{-4/3} m_0^{-1/3} = 0.295 \text{ eV}^{-1/3}.$

A width of an energy band is given by

$$\delta E = \frac{2\hbar^2}{\mu a^2},\tag{7}$$

where a is the interatomic distance.

On the basis of Ref. [2] and Eq. (6) upon some converting we obtain a bandwidth expressed by an energy loss ΔE

$$\delta E = B_0 \left(\frac{m_0}{\mu} \Delta E\right)^{4/3}.$$
Conductivity of a solid state can be expressed as
(8)

$$\gamma = \frac{n_0 e^2 \overline{\lambda}}{2m_0 \overline{u}},\tag{9}$$

where $\overline{\lambda}$ is the mean free path length and \overline{u} is the mean velocity.

Upon converting the obtained Eqs. (1), (3) and inserting into Eq. (9), and in view of a fact that in a classical model $\langle \overline{u}/\overline{\lambda} \rangle = \overline{d} \approx 3 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ we obtain

 $\gamma = c(\Delta E)^2,$ (10)

where $c = 1/\hbar^2 8\pi \overline{d} = 3.06 \times 10^{15} \text{ eV}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This relation allows to evaluate conductivity γ knowing the energy loss ΔE .

2. Results

The aim of the present work was to calculate the effective mass μ , the bandwidth δE , the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$ and conductivity γ for 3d- and 4d-transition metals, crystalline alloys of the metals and the amorphous alloy Fe₇₈Si₉B₁₃. Values of characteristic energy losses ΔE were published in Refs. [3-9]. The calculations were made using relations (4), (6), (8) and (10) and the obtained results are given in Tabs. I-IV. Some values for the calculated quantities taken from literature [10-17] are also given for comparison.

щ	etals.					0,		0,			
	Samples	A	P	ΔE	n	μ/m_0	δE	δE [lit.]	$E_{ m F}$	$\gamma \times 10^{17}$	2
	electr. conf.	[amu]	[g/cm ³]	[eV]			[eV]	[eV]	[eV]	[s ⁻¹]	S.
1	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Titanium} \\ 4s^2 3d^2 \end{array} (\text{Ti})$	47.90	4.51	$11.9 \\ 14.0$	$1.9 \\ 2.5$	1.10±0.09 0.80±0.09	7.05 ± 1.18 13.50 ± 1.93	7.0 [10]	9.25土0.71	3.43±0.29 3.60±0.26	
2	Vanadium (V) 4s ² 3d ³	50.94	5.96	13.8 17.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9\\ 3.1 \end{array}$	1.02±0.07 0.93±0.05	9.54±1.38 15.03±1.70	10.0 [10]	11.83±0.75	4.61±0.33 4.64±0.26	
ట	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Chromium (Cr)} \\ 4s^1 3d^5 \end{array}$	52.00	7.2	$10.45 \\ 23.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.96\\ 4.8\end{array}$	1.05±0.10 1.06±0.10	6.31 ± 1.21 6.31 ± 1.21	6.2 [12]	12.96土0.73	5.23±0.50 5.20±0.22	
4	Manganese (Mn) 4s ² 3d ⁵	54.94	7.2	15.8 18.76	$2.15 \\ 3.2$	0.87±0.06 1.54±0.08	14.09±1.78 8.25±0.88	12.2 [13]	12.49土0.73	5.34±0.34 5.05±0.27	
сл	Iron (Fe) 4 <i>s</i> ² 3 <i>d</i> ⁶	55.85	7.86	13.7 14.75	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6\\ 1.85\end{array}$	1.24±0.09 3.21±0.22	7.24 ± 1.06 2.25 ± 0.31	8.0 [10]	9.00±0.66	5.39±0.39 5.40±0.37	
6	Cobalt (Co) $4s^23d^7$	58.93	8.9	11.0 15.8	0.97 2.0	2.07±0.19 3.51±0.22	2.74 ± 0.50 2.19 ± 0.28	2.5 [13]	5.66 ± 0.51	5.73±0.52 5.74±0.36	
7	Nickel (Ni) $4s^23d^8$	58.71	8.9	11.75 17.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 2.4\end{array}$	1.82±0.15 3.36±0.19	$3.55 {\pm} 0.60$ $2.63 {\pm} 0.30$	3.3 [14]	6.46 ± 0.55	5.77±0.49 5.73±0.33	
~	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Copper (Cu)} \\ 4s^1 3d^{10} \end{array}$	63.54	8.96	10.9 18.9	$1.0 \\ 3.1$	0.98±0.09 3.27±0.17	7.29 ± 1.34 3.06 ± 0.32	6.9 [10]	7.17±0.66	5.46±0.50 5.30±0.28	
9	$\frac{\text{Zinc }(\text{Zn})}{4s^2 3d^{10}}$	65.37	7.14	13.0 14.1	$1.9 \\ 2.2$	1.07±0.82 4.55±0.32	8.23 ± 1.27 1.33 ± 0.19	8.6 [15]	8.81±0.68	4.09±0.31 4.15±0.30	

TABLE I Collection of the values of the effective mass μ , the energy bandwith δE , the Fermi energy E_F and conductivity γ for 3*d*-transition metals.

Electron Structure of d-Transition Metals ...

H.B. Kołodziej

	∞	7	6	U.	4 M	ట	2				Collec
Cadmium (Cd)		Palladium (Pd) 5 <i>s</i> ¹ 4 <i>d</i> ⁹	Rhodium (Rh) 5s ¹ 4d ⁸	Ruthenium (Ru) 5 <i>s</i> ¹ 4 <i>d</i> ⁷	lolybdenum (Mo) 5 <i>s</i> ¹ 4d ⁵	Niobium (Nb) 5 <i>s</i> ¹ 4 <i>d</i> ⁴	Zirconium (Zr) 5 <i>s</i> ² 4 <i>d</i> ²	$\frac{\text{Yttrium}(\text{Y})}{5s^2 4d^1}$	electr. conf.	Samples	ction of the values o s.
112.4	107.9	106.4	102.9	101.1	95.94	92.91	91.22	88.92	[amu]	A	f the eff
8.64	10.5	11.97	12.4	12.06	10.2	8.57	6.45	5.51	[g/cm ³]	þ	ective mas
11.6	9.1 19.1	9.4 16.2	9.6 15.2	9.8 14.4	9.2 20.8	8.8 17.5	10.4 11.4	9.2 6.5	[eV]	ΔE	ss μ , the
2.11	$1.02 \\ 4.53$	$0.99 \\ 2.32$	0.98 1.91	0.98 2.05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.96\\ 4.9 \end{array}$	$1.0 \\ 3.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 2.2 \end{array}$	$2.0 \\ 1.0$		n	eenerg
0.0土CA 80.0千56.0	0.97±0.11 2.21±0.12	1.05 ± 0.11 3.20 ± 0.20	1.08±0.11 3.46±0.23	1.03±0.10 3.34±0.23	1.04±0.11 1.02±0.05	0.99±0.11 1.00±0.11	1.08 ± 0.10 0.90 ± 0.08	1.21 ± 0.13 1.21 ± 0.19		μ/m_0	y bandwith (
8.34 ± 1.44	5.81±1.28 5.23±0.55	5.45±1.16 2.57±0.32	5.41 ± 1.13 2.13 ± 0.28	5.95±1.21 2.07±0.29	5.39±1.17 16.48±1.58	5.46±1.24 13.44±1.54	6.02 ± 1.16 8.69 ± 1.52	4.40土0.97 2.76土0.85	[eV]	δE	E, the Fermi
8.7 [15]	5.8 [10]	3.0 [17]	3.8 [17]	4.2 [17]	4.8 [17]	5.7 [16]	6.7 [16]	2.7 [16]	[eV]	δE [lit.]	energy <i>E</i> _F
7.89土0.68	$5.65 {\pm} 0.62$	5.75土0.61	$5.86 {\pm} 0.61$	6.13 ±0.63	11.20 ± 0.71	9.40土0.69	7.18±0.66	4.34±0.5 5	[eV]	$E_{ m F}$	and conducti
2.93 ± 0.25 2.93 ± 0.19	3.73±0.41 3.70±0.19	4.10±0.44 5.20±0.32	4.32±0.45 5.56±0.37	4.50±0.46 4.65±0.32	4.05±0.44 4.06±0.20	3.56±0.40 3.61±0.21	2.76±0.27 2.71±0.24	1.95 ± 0.21 1.94 ± 0.30	[s ⁻¹]	$\gamma \times 10^{17}$	vity γ for 4 <i>d</i> -
1.34	5.99	2.93	3.1	2.18	2.79	2.61	1.22	2.29	[s ⁻¹] [11]	$\gamma \times 10^{17}$	TABLE II transition

174

	Samples	A	ρ	ΔE	n	μ/m_0	δE	$E_{ m F}$	$\gamma \times 10^{17}$
		[amu]	[g/cm ³]	[eV]			[eV]	[eV]	[s ⁻¹]
1	Fei Voo	50.99	5 98	11.3	1.31	1.52 ± 0.13	4.27 ± 0.76	6 61 + 0 57	4.48 ± 0.40
	1 - 39	00.00	0.00	12.3	1.56	1.95 ± 0.16	3.45 ± 0.56	0.01±0.57	4.46±0.36
2	FerrVar	51.68	6.25	10.3	1.06	1.89 ± 0.18	2.84 ± 0.55	6 69 10 55	4.60 ± 0.45
	1015 + 85	01.00	0.20	13.8	1.90	1.81 ± 0.13	4.42 ± 0.64	0.08±0.95	4.61 ± 0.33
3	Fear of Var as	59 59	6 57	9.8	0.93	2.16 ± 0.22	2.22 ± 0.45		4.75 ± 0.48
	1 032.21 07.79	02.02	0.07	12.6	1.53	$2.59 {\pm} 0.21$	2.43 ± 0.39	5.55±0.50	4.77±0.38
4	FeroVro	53 30	6.01	8.9	0.74	2.71 ± 0.30	1.44 ± 0.32	E 09±0 47	4.92 ± 0.55
	1050 + 50	00.00	0.51	13.2	1.63	2.77 ± 0.21	2.37 ± 0.36	5.23±0.47	4.91±0.37
5	Fear Van a	54 21	7 23	11.0	1.10	1.83 ± 0.17	3.23 ± 0.59	6 80 10 54	5.06 ± 0.46
		01.21	1.20	14.8	1.98	2.52 ± 0.17	3.12 ± 0.42	0.89±0.94	5.08±0.34
6	FearVir	55 11	759	9.6	0.81	2.47 ± 0.26	1.80 ± 0.38	4 70 10 44	5.23 ± 0.55
	1085 15	55.11	1.00	12.2	1.31	4.25 ± 0.35	1.21 ± 0.20	4.79±0.44	5.22 ± 0.43
7	ZnasNias	50.38	8 79	11.75	1.14	1.76 ± 0.15	3.70 ± 0.63	6 50 10 56	5.57±0.47
•	201014090	09.00	0.72	17.0	2.38	3.45 ± 0.20	2.47 ± 0.30	0.32±0.30	5.58 ± 0.33
- 8	Znao Nico	60.04	8 55	12.0	1.22	1.64 ± 0.14	4.20 ± 0.70	6 87+0 57	5.42 ± 0.45
	2112011180	00.04	0.00	16.7	2.36	3.55 ± 0.21	2.32 ± 0.28	0.87±0.07	5.43 ± 0.33
<u>م</u>	ZnazNiza	60.51	8 4 2	12.25	1.30	1.54 ± 0.13	4.70 ± 0.77	7 22 - 0 50	5.31 ± 0.43
3	21127 11173	00.01	0.42	16.5	2.36	3.62 ± 0.22	2.23 ± 0.27	1.2220.39	5.30 ± 0.32
10	Znee Nies	60.84	8 34	11.0	1.07	1.88 ± 0.17	3.12 ± 0.57	5 85+0 59	5.20 ± 0.47
10	2/1321168	50.04	0.04	15.0	1.98	4.36±0.29	1.53 ± 0.20	0.00±0.00	5.22 ± 0.35

Collection of the values of the effective mass μ , the energy bandwith δE , the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$ and conductivity γ for metal alloys.

TABLE IV Collection of the values of the effective mass μ , the energy bandwith δE , the Fermi energy E_F and conductivity γ for the amorphous alloy Fe₇₈Si₉B₁₃ annealed for 4 h as a function of temperature.

=	Anneal. temp. [K]	A [amu]	ρ [g/cm ³]	ΔE [eV]	n	μ/m_0	δ <i>E</i> [eV]	$E_{ m F}$ [eV]	$ \begin{array}{c} \gamma \times 10^{17} \\ [s^{-1}] \end{array} $
1	579			7.8	0.51	3.81±0.49	0.77 ± 0.20	2 92+0 37	5.48 ± 0.70
1	575			10.4	0.82	5.02 ± 0.48	0.78 ± 0.15	2.0210.01	6.06 ± 0.58
	070			8.0	0.52	3.62 ± 0.45	0.85 ± 0.21	9 07 4 0 99	5.66 ± 0.71
2	673			10.8	0.85	4.65 ± 0.43	0.91 ± 0.17	3.07±0.38	6.31 ± 0.58
	700	47.49	6.65	7.6	0.50	4.02 ± 0.53	0.69 ± 0.18	9 77 - 0 96	5.31 ± 0.70
3	723			10.2	0.80	5.22 ± 0.51	0.72 ± 0.14	2.77±0.30	5.98 ± 0.59
	770			7.2	0.47	4.47 ± 0.62	0.56 ± 0.15	2 40 - 10 35	5.07±0.70
4 773				9.4	0.73	6.14 ± 0.65	0.52 ± 0.11	2.49±0.35	5.56 ± 0.59

3. Conclusions

Among transition metals we may distinguish a group of metals where both s and d electrons behave as free electrons. Ti, V, Cr, Zr, Nb, and Mo belong to this group. In the rest metals d electrons are more strongly bonded than s electrons. In the latter group we may distinguish Fe, Co, and Ni. The effective mass μ in them is remarkably different from the electron rest mass.

Also in alloys a mean free path length of s electrons is restricted and d electrons are more strongly bonded than s electrons. Particularly in the amorphous

alloy $Fe_{78}Si_9B_{13}$ the interaction of s and d electrons with atomic cores is quite strong although they are not as strongly bonded as core electrons.

From the above consideration it is concluded that d electrons in all investigated samples, despite their restricted freedom, still do not belong to an atomic core. They may participate in conduction.

The values of conductivity for transition metals and their alloys suggest that contribution of s and d electrons to conduction is comparable.

Thus metals with poorly filled d band and those with d band completely filled are characterized by smaller conductivity.

In majority of cases the obtained results for the bandwidth δE and conductivity γ are in good agreement with literature data. This allowed us to apply similar calculations of the above quantities for alloys of those metals in polycrystalline and amorphous phase (Tables III and IV).

References

- [1] D. Pines, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 62, 399 (1957).
- [2] W.A. Harrison, Solid State Theory, Mc Graw-Hill, New York 1970.
- [3] H.B. Kołodziej, J. Wesołowski, B. Rozenfeld, Acta Phys. Pol. A 47, 751 (1975).
- [4] H.B. Kołodziej, B. Rozenfeld, Acta Phys. Pol. A 48, 765 (1975).
- [5] H.B. Kołodziej, J. Olesik, U. Madałkiewicz, Acta Phys. Pol. A 59, 443 (1981).
- [6] H.B. Kołodziej, Acta Phys. Pol. A 66, 261 (1984).
- [7] H.B. Kołodziej, Acta Phys. Pol. A 64, 617 (1983).
- [8] H.B. Kołodziej, B. Rozenfeld, A. Kolarz, R. Szczęsny, Acta Phys. Pol. A 50, 21 (1976).
- [9] H.B. Kołodziej, E. Jakubczyk, Z. Mandecki, B. Całusiński, Acta Phys. Pol. A 83, 327 (1993).
- [10] S. Toudaard, J. Kraaer, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1651 (1991).
- [11] F.J. Blatt, Physics of Electronic Conduction in Solids, McGraw-Hill, New York 1972, p. 217.
- [12] E. Bertel, Surf. Sci. 152/153, 776 (1985).
- [13] J. Fink, Th. Müller-Heinzerling, B. Scheerer, Phys. Rev. B 32, 4899 (1985).
- [14] T. Okuri, H. Ishioka, H. Kukuda, M. Irako, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 55, 414 (1986).
- [15] V.D. Gorobchenko, M.V. Zharnikov, E.G. Maksimov, S.N. Rashkeyev, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 88, 677 (1985).
- [16] Y. Baba, T.A. Sasaki, Surf. Interface Anal. 6, 171 (1984).
- [17] I.I. Mazin, E.G. Maksimov, S.N. Rashkeyev, Yu.A. Uspensky, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 90, 1092 (1986).