ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE OF Cr^{3+} IN $Rb_x(NH_4)_{1-x}Al(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$

S. TAKHUR AND V.K. JAIN

Department of Physics, M.D. University, Rohtak, Rohtak-124001, India

(Received March 3, 1994)

The EPR of Cr^{3+} in mixed alum $Rb_x(NH_4)_{1-x}Al(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$ has been studied at *ca.* 300 K and *ca.* 9.45 GHz. The EPR spectrum for x between 30 to 70% shows more than one kind of chromium complexes. The variation in magnitude of zero-field splitting parameter *D* indicates that the monovalent ions probably play an important role in the trigonal distortion of the water octahedron around the trivalent metal ion in alums.

PACS numbers: 76.30.Fc

1. Introduction

The alum with the general formula $M^{1+}M^{3+}(XO_4)_2 \cdot 12II_2O$ (X = S, Se), where M^{1+} is a monovalent cation (NII₄,K,Rb,Cs,Tl) and M^{3+} is a trivalent cation (Al,Ga,In,Cr,Fe), forms an interesting isomorphous series of salts. It is easy to prepare a solid solution of the paramagnetic salts in diamagnetic ones over a wide range (0-100%) of relative concentration. There has been a considerable interest in the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) of these salts because they contributed significantly to understanding of the location of a paramagnetic ion, high order transitions and phase transitions [1-5]. In this paper we report the EPR of Cr³⁺ diluted in a solid solution of RbAl(SO₄)₂·12II₂O and NII₄Al(SO₄)₂·12II₂O. The present study has been undertaken in order to understand the effect of the monovalent ion on the zero-field splitting (ZFS) parameter D. The EPR of Cr³⁺ has been previously studied in NII₄Al(SO₄)₂·12II₂O [1] and RbAl(SO₄)₂·12II₂O [2].

2. Crystal structure

The alums belong to the cubic system with a space group $T_h^6(Pa3)$. Because of different atomic arrangements they exist in three types, namely α , β and γ [6]. The Rb alum and the NH₄ alum belong to α type. The lattice constant *a* of Rb alum is 1.2243 nm [7] and of NH₄ alum is 1.2240 nm [7]. The unit cell contains four formula units. The Al³⁺ has six water molecules as nearest neighbour forming a nearly regular octahedron. On the other hand the octahedron of water molecules about the M^{1+} is strongly distorted. The six near neighbour M^{1+} sites about an M^{3+} are octahedrally disposed while the second neighbour M^{1+} sites are eight-fold cubic. The [111] axis of the octahedron surrounding Al^{3+} coincides with the [111] axis of the axes of the octahedron deviate from the cubic axes of the crystal by an angle of rotation *ca*. 9.5° about the [111] direction.

3. Experimental

Single crystals of $\text{Rb}_x(\text{NII}_4)_{1-x}\text{Al}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 12\text{II}_2\text{O}$ (x = 0.1 to 0.9) were grown at $\simeq 300 \text{ K}$ by slow evaporation of the saturated aqueous solution of the component alums. Cr^{3+} was introduced in to the host lattice by adding chromic sulphate (1% by weight).

The EPR experiments were performed on a JEOL FE-3X homodyne spectrometer operating at $\simeq 9.45$ GHz equipped with a TE₀₁₁ cylindrical cavity and 100 kHz field modulation. A speck of powdered DPPH used as a field marker (taking $g_{\rm DPPH} = 2.0036$) was inserted simultaneously into the sample cavity. The crystals were mounted on quartz rods. The angular variation studies were made using a JES-UCR-2X sample rotating device. Powder spectra were recorded by taking powder in quartz tubes. Each powdered EPR sample was obtained by grinding an individual untwinned crystal.

4. Results and discussion

For an arbitrary orientation of the crystal, the EPR spectrum consists of a 1 umber of intense lines besides some weak lines at low magnetic field side of the spectrum in all the crystals studied. Angular variation studies reveal the presence of four magnetically inequivalent but otherwise identical Cr^{3+} complexes (formed by the substitution of Al^{3+} by Cr^{3+}) with their z axes along the $\langle 111 \rangle$ direction. The EPR spectrum shows an axial symmetry about the z axis. The samples having rubidium concentration between 30–70% show the evidence of another chromium complexe (Fig. 1). It is observed that along the z axis, $M = \pm 3/2 \rightarrow \pm 1/2$ transitions are not single lines but there is a second broader spectrum in the wings which would give a ZFS different from the $M = \pm 3/2 \rightarrow \pm 1/2$ of intense spectrum. The weak lines at low magnetic field side of the spectrum are identified as high order EPR transitions of Cr^{3+} [1]. Powdered spectra have also been recorded for all the samples.

The EPR measurements on $Rb_x(NII_4)_{1-x}Al(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12II_2O$ were analysed using the spin-Hamiltonian appropriate for Cr^{3+} in an axial crystalline field [1]

 $\mathcal{H} = \beta_{\rm e} SgB + D \left[S_z^2 - (1/3)S(S+1) \right],$

where the terms have their usual meaning. The g-factor is isotropic within the experimental error and S = 3/2 for Cr^{3+} .

The parameter D and g have been evaluated using the resonance field positions [1] of the above spin-Hamiltonian. The g values in all the samples studied lie in the range of 1.977 ± 0.005 . The sign of D was taken to be positive in accordance with the results of Manoogian and Leclerc [2]. The value of D for different



Fig. 1. The z axis room temperature (300 K) spectrum of Cr^{3+} in $Rb_x(NH_4)_{1-x}Al(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$ single crystals (x = 0.5). The lines marked a, a', e, e', are single, b and d triple and c consists of four lines. The lines belonging to z axis of intense chromium complex are a, e and one of c, a' and e' belong to z axis of the weak chromium complex. The letter f represent high order EPR transitions of the intense Cr^{3+} centres different from the one whose three fine structure lines are a, e and c. The amplified lines are recorded as a second derivative.



Fig. 2. Zero-field splitting parameter D for Cr^{3+} in $Rb_x(NH_4)_{1-x}Al(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$ vs. x at 300 K.

composition of the samples are shown graphically in Fig. 2. A straight line been drawn through the points representing the value of D of Cr^{3+} in the pure alums [1, 2]. It is found that the ZFS parameter D of all the Cr^{3+} complexes in mixed

alums lies between those of pure rubidium and ammonium alums. The results suggest that we observe a series of discrete spectra corresponding to different local crystalline environment. Since local distortion of the primary coordination shell of the paramagnetic ion controls the ZFS, one would expect a different spectrum for each set of mixed neighbours and perhaps further differentiation due to the second neighbour substitution. The variation of the EPR spectra with composition is probably a sequential growth and diminuting of discrete spectra corresponding to the specific configuration of near neighbours.

Chand et al. [8] have studied the EPR of Cr^{3+} in mixed alums $(NII_4)_x M_{1-x} Al(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12II_2O$ (M = Na, K) and have observed only one specie. This would imply that the trigonal distortion about the chromium ion (at the Al site) depends on the average occupancy of neighbouring M^{1+} sites. However, this supposition is not in accordance with the assumption that the local distortion of the primary coordination shell of the paramagnetic ion controls the ZFS. Thus one would expect a different EPR spectrum for each set of mixed near neighbours and perhaps further differention due to the second neighbour substitution. One reason for not observing the multiplet spectra by Chand et al. [8] is probably due to the fact that the component of species is not resolved because of a small difference in the values of the ZFS of Cr^{3+} in pure alums and a large linewidth.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by C.S.I.R. New Delhi.

References

- [1] S. Dhanuskodi, N. Hariharan, Acta Phys. Pol. A 70, 347 (1986).
- [2] A. Manoogian, A. Leclerc, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 4450 (1976); A. Leclerc,
 A. Manoogian, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 4456 (1975); V.K. Jain, P. Venkateswarlu, Mol.
 Phys. 36, 1577 (1978).
- [3] P.J. Brandt, Phys. Rev. B 2, 4366 (1970); S. Radhakrishna, T. Bhaskar Rao, Phys. Status Solidi A 35, 715 (1976).
- [4] R. Büsher, G. Lehmann, Z. Nat.forsch. A 42, 67 (1987).
- [5] D.E.O. Reilly, T. Tsang, *Phys. Rev.* 157, 417 (1967); S. Sinha, R. Srinivasan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 88, 115 (1982); S. Sinha, R. Srinivasan, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 45, 665 (1984); S. Sinha, R. Srinivasan, *Pramana* 22, 345 (1984); K. Bielecki, Z. Kruczynski, S.I. Farcas, *Phys. Status Solidi B* 141, K67 (1987).
- [6] H. Lipson, C.A. Beevers, Proc. R. Soc. (Lond.) A 148, 664 (1935); H. Lipson, Proc. R. Soc. (Lond.) A 151, 347 (1935).
- [7] R.W.G. Wyckoff, Crystal Structures, 2nd ed., Vol. 3, Interscience, New York 1965, p. 875; A.C. Larson, D.T. Cromer, Acta Crystallogr. 22, 793 (1967).
- [8] P. Chand, R.M. Krishna, S.V.J. Lakshman, Solid State Commun. 71, 537 (1989).