Reflection Time Difference as a Probe of $S$-Matrix Zeroes in Chaotic Resonance Scattering
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Motivated by recent interest in the zeroes of $S$-matrix entries in the complex energy plane, the Heidelberg model of resonance scattering is used to introduce the notion of reflection time difference. As is shown, it plays the same role for the zeroes as the Wigner time delay plays for the $S$-matrix poles.
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1. Introduction

The phenomenon of chaotic resonance scattering of quantum waves (or their classical analogues) has attracted considerable theoretical and experimental interest for the last three decades, see e.g. articles in [1] and recent reviews [2–5]. The resonances manifest themselves via fluctuating structures in scattering observables, and understanding their statistical properties as completely as possible remains an important task.

2. Model

The main object in such an approach is the energy-dependent $M \times M$ random unitary scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$, $S(\lambda)^{-1}S(\lambda) = 1_M$, which relates amplitudes of incoming and outgoing waves at a given value of the spectral parameter (energy) $\lambda$. Here the integer $M$ stands for the number of open channels at a given value of energy, the dagger denotes the Hermitian conjugation and $1_M$ is the $M \times M$ identity matrix. As is well-known, statistics of fluctuations of the scattering observables over an energy interval comparable with a typical separation $\Delta$ between positions of the resonances can be most successfully achieved in the framework of the so-called “Heidelberg approach” going back to the pioneering work [6], and reviewed from different perspectives in [7–9]. In such an approach the resonance part of the $S$-matrix describing $N \gg M$ interacting resonances is expressed via the Cayley transform in terms of the resolvent of the Hamiltonian $H_N$. The $M \times M$ matrix $K$ is known in the literature as the Wigner reaction $K$-matrix. To study fluctuations induced by chaotic wave scattering one then follows the paradigm of relying upon the well-documented random matrix properties of the underlying Hamiltonian operator $H$ describing quantum or wave chaotic behaviour of the closed counterpart of the scattering system. Within that approach one proceeds with replacing $H_N$ with a random matrix taken from one of the classical ensembles: Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE, $\beta = 2$), if one is interested in the systems with broken time reversal invariance or Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE, $\beta = 1$), if such invariance is preserved and no further geometric symmetries are present in the system. The columns $w_a$ of the coupling matrix $W$, where $a = 1, \ldots, M$, can be considered either as fixed orthogonal vectors [6] (complex for $\beta = 2$ or real for $\beta = 1$), or alternatively as independent Gaussian-distributed random vectors orthogonal on average [10]. The results turn out to be largely insensitive to the choice of the coupling as long as inequality $M \ll N \to \infty$ holds in the calculation. The approach proved to be extremely successful, and quite a few $S$-matrix or $K$-matrix characteristics were thoroughly investigated in that framework in the last two decades, either by the variants of the supersymmetry method or related random matrix techniques, see e.g. [6, 11], as well as more recent results in [12–15]. Such calculations are found in general to be in good agreement with available experiments in chaotic electromagnetic resonators (“microwave billiards”), dielectric microcavities, and acoustic reverberation cameras (see reviews [2–5]) as well as with numerical simulations of scattering in such paradigmatic model as quantum chaotic graphs [16] and their experimental microwave realizations [17–20]. It is important however to stress that theoretical results based on the random matrix description of $H_N$ are universal, i.e., insensitive to details of the model (and hence meaningful for description of the experiments), provided
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the energy scales involved in the processes are comparable with the mean level spacing $\Delta \rho$ in the closed counterpart of the scattering system, with all channels detached or closed. Only such scales correspond in time-domain to long enough processes of resonance character.

Equivalently, entries $S_{ab}(\lambda)$ of the scattering matrix can be rewritten as [6]:

$$S_{ab}(\lambda) = \delta_{ab} - 2i w_a^\dagger \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda I_N - \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}} \right] w_b,$$

with an effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{H}_N - i \Gamma, \quad \Gamma = WW^\dagger \geq 0,$$

whose $N$ complex eigenvalues $\lambda_n = E_n - i \Gamma_n$ provide poles of the scattering matrix in the complex energy plane $\lambda$, commonly referred to as the resonances. Note that the scattering matrix of a system without gain or loss is unitary, and necessarily has all poles in the lower half of the complex energy plane $\text{Im}(\lambda) < 0$. Such poles are accompanied by the corresponding zeroes in the upper half of the complex energy plane $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$, whose positions are exact mirror images of the poles in the real axis. This fact is most clearly illustrated by the relation

$$\det(S(\lambda)) = \frac{\det(\lambda I_N - \mathcal{H}_N - i \Gamma)}{\det(\lambda I_N - \mathcal{H}_N + i \Gamma)} = e^{i \delta(\lambda)},$$

where real $\delta(\lambda)$ is known as the scattering phase shift. The statistics of real positions $E_n$ and widths $\Gamma_n$ of resonant poles, as well as statistics of the associated residues related to non-orthogonal eigenvectors of non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}$ has been subject of considerable research activity in this framework for a few decades theoretically [10, 21–38] and in the last decade became also accessible experimentally [39–46]. At the same time, as due to unitarity all information about zeroes is redundant, those hardly attracted any attention until recently.

The situation changed with the recent advent of the phenomenon of coherent perfect absorption (CPA) [47]. This phenomenon is also commonly referred to as “anti-lasing” because it corresponds in a certain sense to reversing the process of coherent emission of radiation at the lasing threshold, with the goal being to ensure that there is no outgoing waves for some nonzero input into the system. The particular challenge is to realize such effect in chaotic or disordered media without special symmetries.

To this end it was suggested in [48, 49] that CPA may be engineered by adding spatially-localized losses making the scattering system non-flux-conserving/nonunitary. Such operation moves $S$-matrix zeroes and poles around in the complex energy plane so that they lose a simple relation to each other. To illustrate this in the framework of the Heidelberg approach let us consider a single point-like local absorber and treat it as additional unobservable absorbing channel. Such a channel can be most naturally characterized by

$$\tau_W(\lambda) := \frac{1}{M} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \delta(\lambda) = - \frac{1}{M} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \ln \det(S(\lambda)) =$$

$$\frac{2}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma_n}{(\lambda - E_n)^2 + \Gamma_n^2}.$$
Statistics of Wigner time delays and related quantities in wave-chaotic scattering attracted a considerable interest for about three decades and is still an active research topic, see [53–64] and references therein. Interestingly, \( \tau_W(\lambda) \) naturally appears in characterization of systems with spatially-uniform absorption. In fact, such absorption is always present in any realistic system, e.g., due to losses in resonator walls in microwave cavities. It can be very simply accounted for by allowing the spectral parameter \( \lambda \) to have a small imaginary part \( \epsilon = \text{Im}(\lambda) > 0 \). It is easy to see that such a replacement makes the full scattering matrix subunitary: \(|\det S(\lambda + i\epsilon)| < 1\). Moreover, expanding Eq. (4) for weak enough uniform losses \( \epsilon \ll \Delta \) shows that the degree of non-unitarity is exactly controlled by the Wigner time-delay as

\[
|S(\lambda + i\epsilon)| \approx e^{-\epsilon M T_W(\lambda)}. \tag{9}
\]

This fact has been recognized long ago in an early paper [65] and the relation (9) used there to efficiently extract Wigner time delays from unitary deficit of experimentally measured scattering in a single channel \( M = 1 \) wave-chaotic system.

To see how a similar construction may help to get access to \( S \)-matrix zeroes, we consider a guiding example of a flux-conserving two-channel time-reversal invariant scattering system characterized by the unitary \( 2 \times 2 \) scattering matrix which we write as

\[
\hat{S}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} R_1(\lambda) & t(\lambda) \\ t(\lambda) & R_2(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Flux conservation implies unitarity which then imposes the following relations between complex entries of the matrix (suppressing the argument \( \lambda \) for simplicity):

\[
R_{1,2} = |R_i|^2 e^{i\phi_{1,2}}, \quad t = |t| e^{i\theta}, \quad e^{2i\theta} = -e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)}. \tag{10}
\]

On the other hand, \( R_{1,2} \) can be easily represented in the Heidelberg approach:

\[
R_{1,2}(\lambda) = \frac{1 - i K_{1,2}}{1 + i K_{1,2}},
\]

\[
K_1 = w_1^\dagger \frac{1}{\lambda I_N - H_N + i \Gamma_2 w_1}, \quad K_2 = w_2^\dagger \frac{1}{\lambda I_N - H + i \Gamma_1 w_2}, \tag{11}
\]

where as before we used the notations: \( \Gamma_1 = w_1 \otimes w_1^\dagger \) and \( \Gamma_2 = w_2 \otimes w_2^\dagger \). By using straightforward algebraic manipulations it is then easy to show that

\[
\frac{R_1(\lambda)}{R_2(\lambda)} = e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} = \frac{\det(\lambda I_N - H_N - i \Gamma_1 + i \Gamma_2)}{\det(\lambda I_N - H_N + i \Gamma_1 - i \Gamma_2)}. \tag{12}
\]

Thus for the flux-conserving scattering system the ratio \( R_1(\lambda)/R_2(\lambda) \) is unimodular, and its poles in the complex \( \lambda \)-plane are eigenvalues of the effective Hamiltonian \( \lambda \gamma^{(-)} = H_N + i (\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2) \). The latter after straightforward re-interpretation is nothing but exactly the same object as one featured in our description of the CPA, see (7) for the particular choice \( M = 1 \). Moreover, motivated by Eq. (8) one may introduce the notion of reflection time differences via the associated energy derivatives

\[
\delta T(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\phi_1 - \phi_2) = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\text{Im} Z_n}{(\lambda - \text{Re} Z_n)^2 + (\text{Im} Z_n)^2}, \tag{13}
\]

with \( Z_n = \text{Re}(Z_n) + i \text{Im}(Z_n) \) being exactly the complex zeroes of \( R_1 \). This construction makes it obvious that \( \delta T(\lambda) \) plays for the \( S \)-matrix zeroes the same role as the Wigner time delay plays for the \( S \)-matrix poles. The only essential difference is that due to presence of zeroes in both halves of the complex plane each Lorentzian term enters in the sum in (13) with its own sign, which can be either positive or negative.

In particular, consider an interval of (real) energies \( [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \), such that \( |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \gg \Delta \rho \). Then,

\[
\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \delta T(\lambda) d\lambda = \pi (N_+ - N_-), \tag{14}
\]

where \( N_+ \) and \( N_- \) are numbers of complex zeroes whose real parts \( \text{Re}(Z_n) \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] \) and imaginary parts \( \text{Im}(Z_n) \) are respectively positive/negative. Moreover, incorporating now into our model weak uniform losses \( \epsilon \ll \Delta \), one has the relation

\[
\frac{R_1}{R_2} \approx e^{-2\epsilon \delta T(\lambda)} \tag{15}
\]

fully analogous to (9) for the Wigner time delay. Such a relation clearly provides a possibility to extract \( \delta T(\lambda) \) from experimental measurements of the unitary deficit of the ratio of reflection coefficients in weakly absorbing microwave cavities with two open channels. Extension to arbitrary number of open channels is very straightforward, and basically amounts to using \( \det(R_1) \) and \( \det(R_2) \) instead of \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) in the definition of \( \delta T(\lambda) \).

Fig. 1. RMT simulations of two-channel Heidelberg model with \( N = 400, \gamma_1 = 0.1, \gamma_2 = 0.05, \epsilon = 10^{-5} \). Blue circles are true zeroes, red + signs are extracted using Eq. (13), and red x signs using Eq. (15) (courtesy of M. Osman).
Finally, the use of the reflection time difference $\delta T(\lambda)$ opens a possibility to extract positions of complex zeroes from the sum of (sign-weighted) Lorentzians in (13), e.g., by using the harmonic inversion method, see [39] and references therein. To test this possibility in principle, a particular realization of the Heidelberg model corresponding to $N = 400$ zeroes has been numerically generated. Two open channels were chosen with particular values of coupling parameters $\gamma_{1,2} = w_{1,2}^\dagger w_{1,2}$, and the poles were extracted by employing harmonic inversion from the signal $\delta T(\lambda)$ generated in two different ways: via the energy derivative according to (13) and from the unitary deficit in presence of a small uniform absorption as in (15). The extracted zeroes were compared with their true values obtained by the direct diagonalization of the associated non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}^{(\lambda)}$. The results are presented in Fig. 1.

3. Conclusion

In this paper I suggest to use the reflection time difference $\delta T(\lambda)$ as a probe of S-matrix zeroes in the complex energy plane. It would be certainly interesting to develop RMT approach further in order to characterize the statistical properties of $\delta T(\lambda)$ in systems with chaotic wave scattering (such as distributions, correlation functions, etc.). This task as well as experimental studies of both $\delta T(\lambda)$ and the associated S-matrix zeroes for real systems remain among the outstanding challenges.
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