1. Introduction

Transition metal oxides have acquired much attention owing to their wide potential technological applications [1–3]. In addition, the nanostructured oxides show better electronic and optical properties compared with those of the corresponding bulk materials [4–6]. For example, copper nanodots smaller than 50 nm are considered super hard materials that do not exhibit the same malleability and ductility as bulk copper [7]. Among these oxides, Zinc oxide nanodots (ZnO) are of special interest, because of electrical, optical and magnetic properties can be deposit by doping. ZnO can be deposited easily n-type, but the realization of stable p-type ZnO is rather difficult [8]. Whereas oxides of copper show p-type conductivity. So, Cu doped ZnO nanostructured oxides are attracting renewed interest as promising Transparent Conductive Oxide (TCO) materials in the fabrication of a wide range of optoelectronic devices. Both Cu and zinc are nontoxic, economic and abundant availability.

The cuprous oxide (Cu₂O, cuprite), cupric oxide (CuO, tenorite) and Cu₄O₃ (paramelaconite) are the oxidized form of copper. All three are semiconductor features. But cuprous oxide (Cu₂O) and cupric oxide (CuO) are the two main semiconductor phases of copper oxide with narrow band gap [9]. Several synthesis techniques are available for the CuO nanodots such as sol-gel, precipitation, thermal oxidation and microwave assisted solvothermal method [10–12]. DC magnetron sputtering, rf magnetron sputtering, PLD and vacuum arc plasma evaporation (VAPE) are also available for Cu₂O. The spark discharge technique, was first used by Schwyn in 1988 [13], is the one of the simple, compact, and a versatile method to produce nanodots (NDs) of different types of materials. In addition, the synthesis can be performed at atmospheric pressure which is quite economic in comparison with vacuum methods and has the potential of being scaled up. This method was used for depositing ZnO nanodots [14] and Co doped ZnO nanodots using as high purity Zn and Co metal by our group [15].

According to the synthesis techniques of copper nanodots, it is not envisaged that copper will emerge from which phase. In order to contribute to clarification of the uncertainty in the phases, spark discharge technique in vertical geometry were used. To see the effects of Zn on the copper nanodots Zn–Zn, Zn–Cu, and Cu–Cu electrode pairs were used as the metal source. Then the optical and structural properties ZnO and Cu doped ZnO nanodots on the glass substrates have been investigated. The structural and morphological properties of the films were characterized by grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The photoluminescence measurement (PL) and optical transmission measurements were used to obtain band gap and film thickness of the samples.

2. Materials and equipment

The metal nanodots were produced using by the spark discharge system with the vertical geometry (See reference [15] for details). Two different metals such as zinc wire (Ø0.38 mm, purity 99.97%, Advent Research Materials Ltd.) and copper wire (Ø0.38 mm) were used.
as a source of electrode pairs (Zn–Zn, Zn–Cu, and Cu–Cu). The microscope glasses (10 × 10 × 1 mm³) were used as the substrate which was sonically cleaned in distilled water, ethanol and acetone, and then dried. In the vertical geometry, the anode placed vertically at 3 mm spacing above the cathode placed horizontally at 1 mm above the substrate. The distance between the electrodes is kept constant using by linear actuator controlled by step motor driver unit during the deposition. Discharge of the stored electric potential energy between the electrodes causes abrasion at the tips of the electrodes. The sparking occurs when 0.1 μF capacitor, charged to 4 kV, is connected to the electrodes by the rotating switch. The experiment was done repeatedly for 200 times with the sparking time of 3 s/spark at atmospheric pressure in ambient air.

The phase analysis has been carried out using grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD: Rigaku Ultima-IV; grazing angle of 0.03°, CuKα1 X-ray source). Compositional and morphological information of the samples have been gained using both Zeiss Scanning electron microscope (SEM) column equipped with an energy dispersive in-lens detector and a SEM (JEOL JSM-7001F) coupled with EDX (Oxford Instruments INCA Energy 350). The PL measurement was performed on a HORIBA Jobin-Yvon Triax 550 system with continuous wave He–Cd laser (325 nm) with power 100 mW as the excitation source. The optical transmittance measurements of the samples were carried out at room temperature using T70 Model Spectrophotometer (PG Instrument) in the wavelength range 300–800 nm. A special mask with 2 mm diameter was centered on the spark affected region.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural properties

The SEM analysis was carried out at the center of the spark-affected area with a high magnification. Figure 1 shows the SEM images of undoped and Cu-doped ZnO NDs for the vertical geometry of the electrodes in spark discharge system. Among the particles examined, it was found that the surface is almost completely covered with grains (≈ 50 nm) and some of the grains are in the form of islands for Zn–Zn electrodes. However, smaller grains (≈ 25 nm) homogeneously distributed over the 95% of the average sample surface area of the Cu–Cu electrodes. ND size distributions for Zn–Zn electrodes and Cu–Cu electrodes are shown in Fig. 2a and b. However, when the Zn–Cu electrodes were used (Fig. 1c), the grains appear to be double phase such as the mixture of nanowires and nanodots. The synthesized nanowires have diameters nearly 10 nm, while their average length lies in the range of 10 to 40 nm. As a result, the shape and size of Cu doped ZnO nanostructures depend on Cu. The chemical composition of the nanodots was measured using EDX. The atomic percentage of zinc and oxygen were found as 75% and 25% for pair of Zn–Zn electrodes. The atomic percentage of copper and oxygen were observed as 60% and 40% for pair of Cu–Cu electrodes, respectively. In addition, when the Zn-Cu electrodes were used, it was obtained that Zn and Cu ions were dominant compared with the oxygen in the nanodot thin film.

The GI-XRD patterns of the prepared sample are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The spectrum of ZnO for Zn–Zn electrode pairs shows peaks corresponding to the (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112) and (201)
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It was clearly seen that there was also a considerable shift in (100), (002) and (101) peaks for the Cu doped ZnO NDs. This shift corresponds to the strain of the compound and replacement of some Zn$^{2+}$ ion with Cu$^{2+}$ ions because of their comparable ionic radii such as 0.096, 0.072 and 0.074 nm for Cu$^{+}$, Cu$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$, respectively. In addition, the peaks intensities were increased after replacing the copper electrode with Zn electrode and crystalline size calculated as 20 nm. Similar behavior reported in literature \[16–18\]. This behavior is an indication that the shorter Cu–O bonds length than the Zn–O bonds length can be calculated using the formula given by [19]. However, The XRD analysis demonstrates that the basic structure of ZnO nanodots remains the same as hexagonal wurtzite structure and the particle size decreases for the Cu doped ZnO NDs. However, it is also found that the (111) peak is related cubic phase of copper (Fig. 3). In addition, when copper electrode pairs were used, some copper oxide phases such as (101) and (200) for CuO and (111) and (200) for metallic copper and (301) for parameleaconite were observed (Fig. 4).

3.2. Optical Properties

The effects of electrode materials on the optical transmission are shown in Fig. 5. When Zn–Zn electrodes were used in vertical geometry an optical transmittance was observed above 80% on average at wavelengths greater than 400 nm. When Zn–Cu electrodes were used, a reduction in grain size was observed. The decrease in grain size causes scattering of the light passing through the ND deposited region in which leads to a decrease in the optical transmittance. In addition, an increase in optical transmittance was observed when Cu–Cu electrodes were used instead of Zn–Cu electrodes. This may be due to irregularities in the structure consisted of mixed grains.
Fig. 5. Experimental and theoretical optical transmission spectra are shown by lines (green line for Zn–Zn, red line for Zn–Cu and black line for Cu–Cu electrode pairs) and symbols respectively.

Taking into account the surface coated by nanodots can be accepted in the form of thin film. Hence optical response such as optical transmittance, \( T(\lambda) \), can be used to determination of the thickness and optical constants with classical or iterative methods. When interference is not observed or insufficient, the classical method cannot be used [20, 21]. Instead, iterative methods can be used [22, 23]. Thicknesses of films coated with Zn, Zn–Cu and Cu nanodots were determined at around 160 nm by applying the pointwise unconstrained minimization algorithm (PUMA) onto the optical transmission spectra. There are excellent agreement with the experimental spectra and theoretical spectra (Fig. 5).

The optical band gap \( (E_g) \) of the semiconductor materials that is related to the optical absorption coefficient \( (\alpha) \) and the incident photon energy \( (h\nu) \) by using Tauc equation [24];

\[
h\nu = A(h\nu - E_g)^n \tag{1}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is absorption coefficient, \( A \) is the probability parameter for the transition (independent from \( \nu \)) and \( n \) the exponent that depends on the kind of optical transition \( (n = 1/2, 2 \text{ when the transition is direct-allowed, indirect-allowed respectively}) \).

The functional relationship between \( (\alpha h\nu)^2 \) and photon energy for the samples can be given by Tauc plot and is presented in Fig. 6a–c. The energy gaps, obtained by extrapolating the linear portion to the photon energy axis, are 3.35 eV, 3.24 eV and 3.14 eV for the Zn–Zn, Zn–Cu and Cu–Cu electrode pairs, respectively. Band gap decrease can be attributed to the substitution of \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) by \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) ions in the ZnO lattice. In addition, the reduction in copper nanodot size that causes structural disorder in zinc oxide lattice. This behavior is in agreement with the reported studies in literature [25, 26].

Fig. 6. \( (\alpha h\nu)^2 - h\nu \) behavior of oxide nanodot thin films by using Zn–Zn (a), Zn–Cu (b) and Cu–Cu electrode pairs (c).

Fig. 7. PL spectra of oxide nanodot thin films by using Zn-Zn, Zn-Cu and Cu-Cu electrode pairs (a) and Cu-Cu electrode pairs (b) for different spark number (\( n = 100 \) and \( n = 200 \)).

Figure 7a shows the photoluminescence spectrum of samples deposited using Zn–Zn, Zn–Cu and Cu–Cu electrode pairs. We can see that the luminescence of all samples have a major peak is associated with near band-edge emission. The intensity of major peak located at 373 nm (3.32 eV) for Zn–Zn electrode pairs decreased with Cu addition. The slight shifting in band edge peak located at 377 nm (3.29 eV) confirms the existence of doping states of ZnO due to the Zn–Cu electrode pairs. In addition, when considering the Cu–Cu electrode pairs, sample has two emission peaks at wavelengths of 390 nm and 455 nm. The first peak is well known due to radiative transition from recombination of electrons with holes in the valence band. The other peak at 455 nm can be attributed to \( \text{Cu}^{2+} - \text{Cu}^+ \) transitions (Fig. 7b). Even though the number of sparks increased, the peak intensity reduced was observed. The PL peak originating from the defect has also been observed in the literature [27–29].
4. Conclusion

Undoped ZnO and Cu doped ZnO bimetallic nanodots were successfully prepared by the spark discharge system with the vertical geometry. The Cu doping effect on the structural and optical properties of ZnO nanodots was observed. XRD analysis shows that the basic crystal structure of ZnO nanodots remains the same as hexagonal wurtzite structure. But, the structural deformation of ZnO host material in the presence of Cu$^{2+}$ ions was monitored by shifting in the peak positions of the ZnO structure. The average crystallite size of ZnO and Cu doped ZnO nanodots calculated from XRD patterns was 22 nm and 20 nm, respectively. The SEM images showed that the prepared Zn-Cu bimetallic nanodots consist of mixture of spherical nanodots and nanorods originated from Zn and Cu, respectively. The UV-visible spectrum of Cu doped ZnO nanodots shows a red shift compared to the ZnO and the optical transmittance decreased due to decreased the grain sizes. The thicknesses of the bimetallic nanodot layers coated using with the Zn–Zn, Zn–Cu and Cu–Cu electrode pairs were determined as about 160 nm. PL measurements taken on Cu doped ZnO and undoped ZnO samples show that, the band-edge UV emission is red-shifted by $\sim 4$ nm. The decrease in the band-edge emission can be attributed to the substitution of Zn$^{2+}$ by Cu$^{2+}$ ions in the ZnO lattice.
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