LII Zakopane School of Physics, International Symposium Breaking Frontiers, Zakopane, Poland, May 22–27, 2017

Spectroscopic Properties and Quantum Chemical Calculations of Novel Diimine Molecules and their Mononuclear Cu(II) Complexes

B. $DEDE^{a,*}$ AND G. $GORGULU^b$

^aFaculty of Science and Arts, Süleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey

 $^b\mathrm{Faculty}$ of Education, Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Burdur, Turkey

Two novel Schiff base ligands, 2, 2'-((pentane-2,4-diylidene)bis(azanylylidene))bis(4-chlorophenol) and 2, 2'-((pentane-2,4-diylidene)bis(azanylylidene))bis(4-methylphenol) were prepared by condensation reaction of acetylacetone with 2-amino-4-chlorophenol and 2-amino-4-methylphenol, respectively. Mononuclear Cu(II) complex of each ligand was also synthesized. These compounds were characterized by elemental analyses, inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry, the Fourier transform infrared spectra, UV-Vis, molar conductivity, and magnetic susceptibility measurements. The ligands have been further characterized by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR. The results suggest that the metal complexes of the ligands have a metal:ligand ratio of 1:1 and the Cu(II) ions are coordinated by the phenolic oxygen atoms and imine nitrogen atoms of the ligands. Moreover, the quantum chemical calculations of the compounds have been performed using the density functional theory. It has been found that the calculated spectroscopic values are in a good agreement with experimental data.

DOI: 10.12693/APhysPolA.133.256

PACS/topics: Schiff base, diimine, metal complex, spectroscopy, copper(II), DFT

1. Introduction

The Schiff bases are considered as an important class of organic compounds which have numerous applications in biological fields [1]. These applications of the Schiff bases have generated a great deal of interest in metal complexes. The Schiff base derived transition metal complexes are one of the most adaptable and thoroughly studied systems [2, 3] applied in clinical [4] and analytical fields [5]. Some of the Schiff base complexes are used as model molecules for biological oxygen carrier systems [6]. Tetradentate Schiff base complexes are well known to form stable complexes, where the coordination takes place through the N_2O_2 donor set [7–9]. Quantum chemical calculations give crucial information to contribute a full description on spectroscopic, energetic, and molecular properties of the Schiff bases. Studies on this field are still being studied by many researchers [10–12].

In the present work, the synthesis and characterization of two novel Schiff bases and their mononuclear Cu(II) complexes were described and molecular geometries were fully optimized by using DFT/B3LYP method with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. Vibrational wavenumbers, isotropic chemical shifts (¹H- and ¹³C-NMR), electronic transition absorption wavelengths, highest occupied (HOMOs) and lowest unoccupied (LUMOs) molecular orbitals analyses and molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) properties of the synthesized molecules were also calculated.

2. Experimental

All chemicals used were of high purity grade and were used without further purification.

2.1. Physical measurements

¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL NMR-400 MHz spectrometer using CDCl₃ as the solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The IR spectra of the ligands and metal complexes were recorded in KBr pellets using a Shimadzu IRPrestige-21 FTIR spectrophotometer in the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹. The electronic spectra of the compounds were recorded in dry ethanol, on a PG T80+ double-beam spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed in a LECO 932 CHNS analyzer and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) analyses were done on a Perkin Elmer Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES spectrometer. Molar conductance measurements were made in N, N-dimethylformamide at room temperature using a Optic Ivymen System conductivity meter. Melting points of the compounds were measured using an Electrothermal model IA 9100. The magnetic moment values were carried out from a Sherwood Scientific Magnetic Susceptibility Balance (model MX1) at room temperature.

2.2. Synthesis of ligands $(H_2L^1 \text{ and } H_2L^2)$

2-amino-4-chloro phenol or 2-amino-4-methyl phenol (10 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (20 ml) and added to a solution of acetylacetone (5 mmol) in 20 ml of methanol. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h and left overnight at 25 °C. The precipitate was filtered off, washed several times with methanol and finally dried in desiccator over P_2O_5 .

^{*}corresponding author; e-mail: bulentdede@sdu.edu.tr

2.3. Synthesis of Cu(II) Schiff base complexes $(CuL^{1}(H_{2}O) \text{ and } CuL^{2}(H_{2}O))$

The complexes were prepared by adding an ethanol solution (20 ml) of Cu(II) acetate (1 mmol) to a 1 mmol ligand $[H_2L^1 \text{ or } H_2L^2]$ dissolved in 20 ml of ethanol. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h. The complexes were precipitated after cooling. The solid complexes were filtered, washed with ethanol and diethyl ether and finally dried in a desiccator over P_2O_5 .

2.4. Computational methods

Gaussian 09 [13] and GaussView 5.0.9 [14] software package were used for theoretical calculations and molecular visualizations. Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed using density functional theory (DFT) method at the B3LYP level using basis set 6-311++G(d,p). UV-Vis spectra and electronic properties like HOMO and LUMO energies were determined by time dependent DFT (TD-DFT) method at the B3LYP level with same basis set. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR shielding constants were calculated by applying gaugeinvariant atomic orbital (GIAO) method.

3. Results and discussion

The Schiff base ligands were synthesized from the condensation of the respective aromatic amines with acetylacetone in a molar ratio of 2:1. The synthesis reactions of the ligands H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 were given in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.



Fig. 1. Synthesis reaction of the ligand H_2L^1 .



Fig. 2. Synthesis reaction of the ligand H_2L^2 .

 N_2O_2 units were available in the structure of the ligands for the coordination to the Cu(II) ions. Mononuclear metal complexes of tetradentate Schiff base ligands were precipitated by the addition of metal salts of Cu(II) to the solution of the ligands dissolved in ethanol. The metal:ligand ratio was found to be 1:1 by elemental analyses and ICP-OES. Analytical results of the ligands and their complexes were listed in Table I. 257

Physical properties and elemental analysis of the ligands $H_2L^1 - \#1$, $H_2L^2 - \#2$ and their Cu(II) complexes $CuL^1(H_2O) - \#3$, $CuL^2(H_2O) - \#4$. Λ_M — molar conductivity [cm²/ Ω mol].

	μ_{eff}	Λ_M	Color	m.p.	Yield	Analysis calc./(meas.)			
	$[\mu_{\rm B}]$			[°C]	[%]	С	Н	N	Cu
#1	_	_	yellow	165	82	58.14	4.59	7.98	
						(58.45)	(4.67)	(7.74)	
#2	-	-	yellow	188	78	73.52	7.14	9.03	
						(73.83)	(7.29)	(9.28)	-
#3	1.85	15	green	270	65	47.40	3.74	6.50	14.75
						(47.21)	(3.64)	(6.76)	(14.53)
#4	1.71	12	green	261	76	58.52	5.69	7.18	16.30
						(58.36)	(5.58)	(7.37)	(16.61)

3.1. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra

The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of the ligands recorded in CDCl₃ were given in Figs. 3–6, while the spectral data were presented in Tables II and III, respectively.



Fig. 6. Experimental ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the H_2L^2 .

110 100

TABLE II

Experimental and theoretical ¹H-NMR spectral data of the Schiff base ligands [ppm].

		O-H	C-H _{arom}	$C-H_{aliph}$
#1	exp.	4.92	6.90-7.23	$4.17(-CH_2-)(s,2H)$
		(s, 2H)	(m, 6H)	$2.04(-CH_3)(s, 6H)$
	th.	1.83	6 85-7 29	$4.13(-CH_2-)(s,2H)$
		4.00	0.00-1.25	$2.36(-CH_3)(s, 6H)$
		4 65	6 80-7 21	$4.02(-CH_2-)(s,2H)$
#2	exp.	(s 2H)	(m 6H)	$2.32(Ar-CH_3)(s, 6H)$
		(3,211)	(111,011)	$2.12(-CH_3)(s, 6H)$
	th.	4.49		$4.09(-CH_2-)(s,2H)$
			6.71 - 7.27	$3.06(Ar-CH_3)(s, 6H)$
				$2.19(-CH_3)(s, 6H)$

TABLE III

Experimental and theoretical ¹³C-NMR spectral data of the Schiff base ligands [ppm].

		C_{imine}	C_{arom}	C_{aliph}
	exp.	172.43	118.48-154.76	$52.38(-CH_2-)$
-#1	onp.	112.10	110110 101010	$19.82(-CH_3)$
#1	th.	173.72	122 28-156 95	$54.32(-CH_2-)$
			122.20 100.00	$24.53(-CH_3)$
#2	exp.	172.15		$51.75(-CH_2-)$
			117.69 - 150.13	$24.86(Ar-CH_3)$
				$19.63(-CH_3)$
	th.			$53.79(-CH_2-)$
		170.56	120.99 - 151.55	$25.34(Ar-CH_3)$
				$22.79(-CH_3)$

The ¹H-NMR spectra of the ligands H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 showed D_2O exchangeable two protons at 4.92 and 4.65 due to the phenolic protons [15]. The C–H protons of the aromatic groups were observed in 6.80–7.23 ppm range as multiplet peaks. The chemical shifts for the methylene protons of acetylacetone in the ligands were recorded at 4.17 and 4.02 ppm for the H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 , respectively. Furthermore, signals observed in the 2.04– 2.32 ppm range are attributable to the methyl group protons of the ligands.

In the ¹³C-NMR spectra of the ligands the signals at about 172 ppm are attributed to the imine carbon atom which also confirms the structure of ligands [16]. All the signals in the 117.69–154.76 ppm range are assigned to the carbon atoms of the aromatic rings. Aliphatic carbons are found in their expected region.

¹H- and NMR ¹³C-NMR chemical shift calculations were performed by using B3LYP functional with 6-311++G(d,p) basis sets in CDCl₃ solution and given in Figs. 7–10. The molecular geometries of the ligand H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 were optimized prior to the calculation of theoretical chemical shifts. The GIAO [17, 18] method was used to define isotropic nuclear magnetic shielding tensors. The isotropic shielding values were used to calculate the isotropic chemical shifts with respect to tetramethylsilane (TMS). As can be seen from Table II and Table III, experimental and theoretical results are quite compatible.



Fig. 7. Calculated ¹H-NMR spectrum of the H_2L^1 .



Fig. 8. Calculated ¹H-NMR spectrum of the H_2L^2 .



Fig. 10. Calculated ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the H_2L^2 .

3.2. FT-IR spectra

The IR spectra of the free Schiff base ligands and their mononuclear Cu(II) complexes were carried out in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹ and the FT-IR spectra of the ligands are given in Figs. 11 and 12. Furthermore, IR spectra of the ligands H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level. Calculated spectra of the ligands are given in Figs. 13 and 14 and significant vibrational wavenumbers were selected. Theoretically obtained vibrational frequencies are generally



Fig. 11. Experimental FT-IR spectrum of the H_2L^1 .



Fig. 12. Experimental FT-IR spectrum of the H_2L^2 .

higher than the experimental ones, if they are unscaled. The reason for the higher frequencies may be the systematic errors, exclusion of anharmonicity, partially involved correlation energy and trimmed basis sets [19]. Therefore, values of 0.983 and 0.958 were used for frequencies lower and higher than 1700 cm⁻¹, respectively, for scaling the calculated values with B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) basis set [20, 21].



Frequency (cm⁻¹) Fig. 14. Calculated FT-IR spectrum of the H_2L^2 .

The most important experimental and calculated IR spectral bands of the compounds are listed in Table IV. Both of the ligands H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 show broad bands at 3354 and 3316 cm⁻¹ region assigned to the stretching vibrations of phenolic O–H group [22]. The corresponding calculated values are 3462 and 3423 cm⁻¹. The phenolic O-H vibrations of the ligands disappeared in the IR spectra of the Cu(II) complexes indicated that the phenolic protons were separated upon complex formation and bonded to the Cu(II). Furthermore, O-H stretching vibrations of hydrated water molecule in the complexes appeared at about 3500 cm^{-1} . C–O stretching vibrations for the synthesized ligands are recorded at 1258 and 1261 cm⁻¹ for the ligand H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 , respectively. The same vibrations appear in the theoretical calculations at 1265 and 1267 cm^{-1} . In the IR spectra of the metal complexes, C–O stretching vibrations are shifted to lower frequencies and this further confirms that the oxygen atom of the phenol is coordinated to the metal ion. The strong stretching bands at about 1600 cm⁻¹ due to the imine groups of the free ligands are shifted to lower frequencies after the complex formation which indicates the coordination of imine nitrogen atom to the Cu(II) ion [23]. Coordination of the metal and ligand in the complexes is also supported by the appearance of new bands about 520 and 430 cm⁻¹ which are assigned to ν (M–O) and ν (M–N), respectively.

TABLE IV

Selected experimental and theoretical significant bands in the IR spectra of the the Schiff base ligands and their complexes (theoretical vibrational wavenumbers are scaled).

		ν (O–H)	$\nu(C=N)$	ν (C–O)	ν (C–Cl)	ν(M–O)	ν (M–N)
#1	exp.	3354b	1600s	1258s	775m	-	-
	th.	3462	1608	1265	764		
#2	exp.	3316b	1598s	1261s	-	-	-
	th.	3423	1605	1267		-	-
#3		3547b	$1581 \mathrm{m}$	1233m	773m	524w	428w
#4		3517b	1584m	1226m	-	519w	434w

s: strong, m: medium, w: weak, b: broad

3.3. UV-Vis measurements

Maximum absorption bands in the electronic spectra of the ligands and their Cu(II) complexes are given in Table V. Calculated electronic transition absorption wavelengths for the ligands H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 are also presented in Table V. Peaks at about 290 nm in the UV-Vis spec-

TABLE V

Experimental (calculated) UV-Vis absorption band data [nm] of the Schiff base ligands and their complexes [nm].

		$\begin{array}{c} \pi \to \pi^* \\ \text{(benzene)} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \pi \to \pi^* \\ \text{(imine)} \end{array}$	$n \rightarrow \pi^*$	Charge transfer	$d \rightarrow d$
#1	exp.	292	302	313	-	-
	th.	295	309	316	-	-
#2	exp.	294	307	318	-	-
	th.	297	312	322	-	-
#3		293	287	345	462	547
#4		295	290	351	441	556

tra of the ligands were attributed to $\pi \to \pi^*$ transitions of the benzene and these bands were not significantly affected by complexation. Obtained electronic transitions at 302 and 307 nm resulting from calculation correspond to experimental peak at 309 and 312 nm which are assigned $\pi \to \pi^*$ transitions of imine group for H₂L¹ and H₂L², respectively. These peaks were shifted to a shorter wavelength significantly after chelation. These shifts can be attributed to the coordination of the nitrogen atom of the imine group with the Cu(II) ion. This coordination mode is further supported by shifting the peak at 313 nm for H_2L^1 (calc. 316 nm) and 318 nm for H_2L^2 (calc. 322 nm) to a longer wavelength which were attributed to the $n \to \pi^*$ transitions.

Another difference observed in the electronic spectra of the synthesized Cu(II) complexes, compared to the spectra of the corresponding free ligands, were associated with the appearance of a broad low intensity band at about 550 nm. These bands are attributed to the $d \rightarrow d$ transitions of the Cu(II) ions. The appearances of a strong band at 462 and 441 nm were assigned to charge transfer bands.

3.4. Molar conductance

The molar conductance of the complexes is useful for proposing their formulae. Conductivity measurements were carried out in 10^{-3} M N, N-dimethylformamide solutions at room temperature. The measured molar conductance values for the synthesized complexes are 15 and $12 \ \Omega^{-1} \ \mathrm{cm}^2 \ \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{CuL}^1(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{CuL}^2(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})$, respectively, which indicated that complexes are non-electrolytes [24].

3.5. Magnetic studies

The room temperature magnetic moment measurements of the complexes (Table I) showed that both of the Cu(II) complexes are paramagnetic. The measured magnetic moments of the CuL¹(H₂O) and CuL²(H₂O) were obtained as 1.85 and 1.71 B.M., respectively. It was found that acquired magnetic moment values of the complexes are quiet close to the values expected. The Cu(II) complexes fit a spin value of 1.73 B.M. which is consistent with an octahedral or square pyramidal geometry.

3.6. Computational details

The ground state optimizations of the ligands H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 were performed using DFT with Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) exchange-correlation function [25, 26] in combination with 6-311++G(d,p) basis set. Optimized structures are shown in Figs. 15 and 16. Calculated single bond length for C10–N6 was 1.417 Å. The double bond length for C4–N7 and C2–N6 in the imine group of H_2L^2 were found as 1.289 and 1.292 Å



Fig. 15. Optimized geometry and atomic numbering of H_2L^1 .



Fig. 16. Optimized geometry and atomic numbering of H_2L^2 .

while C2–N14 and C7–N15 double bonds in H_2L^1 were found as 1.289 and 1.285 Å, respectively. It was found that calculated double bond lengths are shorter than single bond lengths as expected.

Moreover, the calculated value of the C2–N14–C16–C17 and C7–N15–C28–C29 dihedral angle between benzene and acetylacetone moiety for H_2L^1 were found as 62.28° and 63.17°, respectively. In addition to this 148.62° and 36.87° were observed for C10–C11–N6–C2 and C15–C16–N7–C4 dihedral angle in ligand H_2L^2 which indicates that benzene and acetylacetone moiety were not on the same plane.

Molecular electrostatic potentials of the synthesized Schiff base ligands were calculated by using B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p). The plots of MEP of title compounds are displayed in Figs. 17 and 18 which shows the electrostatic potential at the surfaces. As it is known, MEP diagram provides a visual method to understand the relative polarity of the molecule besides red and blue color parts represent the area of negative and positive electrostatic potential, respectively.



Fig. 17. Molecular electrostatic potential surface of $\rm H_2L^1.$

As can be seen from Figs. 17 and 18, the region around phenolic oxygen atoms represents the most negative potential region (red) and the region around the hydrogen atom attached to the oxygen atom represents the maximum positive charge (blue) in ligand H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 .



Fig. 18. Molecular electrostatic potential surface of $\rm H_2L^2.$

But the negative potential area around the oxygen atom of H_2L^2 was slightly intense and more than H_2L^1 . The active sites of the free ligands are on the oxygen atom of phenol and partially nitrogen atom of imine group. This situation is the critical reason why ligands bound to the Cu(II) ion via oxygen and nitrogen atoms. On the other hand, light green region in the molecular electrostatic potential surfaces corresponds to a potential halfway between the two extremes red and dark blue colour.

The HOMO and LUMO of the H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 were computed in the gaseous phase using B3LYP method with 6-311++G (d,p) basis set. The surface plots of HOMO and LUMO are shown in Fig. 19 and 20.



Fig. 19. Frontier molecular orbitals of H_2L^1 (energy values are given in eV).

Basically, HOMO describes the electron donating ability and LUMO describes the electron accepting ability of a molecule. The energy gap between the HOMO and LUMO determines the chemical stability of a



Fig. 20. Frontier molecular orbitals of H_2L^2 (energy values are given in eV).

molecule [27] and also explains the charge transfer interactions within the molecule. HOMO–LUMO energy gap for H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 were found as 4.778 and 4.233 eV, respectively. According to the HOMO–LUMO energy gap, ligand H_2L^2 was softer than H_2L^1 molecule. Moreover, the ligand H_2L^2 with the lower HOMO–LUMO gap causes the molecule to have lower stability and becomes more reactive. When two molecules are compared in terms of stability and electronic distribution, ligand H_2L^1 seems more stable and has uniformly distributed electronic charges than H_2L^2 . This may be due to the existence of chloride atom in H_2L^1 which balance the electronegativity of phenolic oxygen atom in *para* position resulting in a more stable molecule.

4. Conclusion

We prepared and characterized two novel Schiff base ligands and their mononuclear Cu(II) complexes. From the elemental analyses, stoichiometric and spectroscopic studies discussed above, ligands have been shown to act as a tetradentate which coordinate through the nitrogen atom of the imine groups and oxygen atom of the phenol groups (Fig. 21). Furthermore, molecular geometries of



Fig. 21. Proposed structure for the complexes of H_2L^1 and H_2L^2 .

the synthesized ligands were optimized and vibrational frequencies ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra, electronic transition absorption wavelengths of the title molecules were calculated by using the DFT/B3LYP method with the 6-311++G(d,p) basis set found in good agreement with that of the experimental results. Chloride atom in ligand H_2L^1 makes a difference between two ligands in terms of stability and reactivity which is revealed by the theoretical studies.

References

- B.S. Tovrog, D.J. Kitko, R.S. Drago, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 98, 5144 (1976); A. Rauf, A. Shah, A.A. Khan, A.H. Shah, R. Abbasi, I.Z. Qureshi, S. Ali, *Spectrochim. Acta A* 176, 155 (2017); C.M. Sharaby, M.F. Amine, A.A. Hamed, *J. Mol. Struct.* 1134, 208 (2017).
- [2] P.S. Dixit, K. Srinivasan, *Inorg. Chem.* 27, 4507 (1988).
- [3] J. Liu, B. Wu, B. Zhang, Y. Liu, Turk. J. Chem. 30, 41 (2006).
- [4] A. Mohindru, J.M. Fisher, M. Rabinovitz, *Nature* 303, 64 (1983).
- [5] P.R. Patel, B.T. Thaker, S. Zele, Indian J. Chem. A 38, 563 (1999).
- [6] R.E. Hester, E.M. Nour, J. Raman Spectrosc. 11, 43 (1981).
- [7] E.M. Nour, A.A. Taha, I.S. Alnaimi, *In-org. Chim. Acta* 141, 139 (1988).
- [8] E.M. Nour, A.M. Al-Kority, S.A. Sadeek, S.M. Teleb, Syn. React. Inorg. Met. 23, 39 (1993).
- [9] W. Wang, F.L. Zeng, X. Wang, M.Y. Tan, *Polyhedron* 15, 1699 (1996).
- [10] Z. Parsaee, K. Mohammadi, J. Mol. Struct. 1137, 512 (2017).

- [11] L. Touafri, A. Hellal, S. Chafaa, A. Khelifa, A. Kadri, J. Mol. Struct. 1149, 750 (2017).
- [12] M. Habibi, S.A. Beyramabadi, S. Allameh, M. Khashi, A. Morsali, M. Pordel, M. Khorsandi-Chenarboo, J. Mol. Struct. 1143, 424 (2017).
- [13] M.J. Frisch et al., Gaussian 09 (now Gaussian 16), Gaussian Inc., Wallingford (CT) 2016.
- [14] GaussView, Revision 5.0.9, R. Dennington, T.A. Keith, J.M. Millam, Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS, 2009.
- [15] H. Kamogawa, Y. Haramoto, T. Nakazawa, H. Sugiura, M. Nanasawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **54**, 1577 (1981).
- [16] P.E. Aranha, M.P. dos Santos, S. Romera, E.R. Dockal, *Polyhedron* 26, 1373 (2007).
- [17] R. Ditchfield, J. Chem. Phys. 56, 5688 (1972).
- [18] K. Wolinski, J.F. Hinton, P. Pulay, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 8251 (1990).
- [19] A. Abbas, H. Gokce, S. Bahceli, M.M. Naseer, J. Mol. Struct. 1075, 352 (2014).
- [20] K. Balcı, S. Akyuz, Vibrat. Spectrosc. 48, 215 (2008).
- [21] N. Sundaraganesan, S. Ilakiamani, H. Saleem, P.M. Wojciechowski, D. Michalska, *Spectrochim. Acta* A 61, 2995 (2005).
- [22] K. Nakamato, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Organic Compounds, Wiley, New York 1978.
- [23] K.P. Deepa, K.K. Aravindakshan, Syn. React. Inorg. Met. 30, 1601 (2000).
- [24] W.J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev. 7, 81 (1971).
- [25] A.D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 3098 (1988).
- [26] C. Lee, W. Yang, R.G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 785 (1988).
- [27] D.F.V. Lewis, C. Ioannides, D.V. Parke, *Xenobiotica* 24, 401 (1994).