Theoretical Evaluation of Thermal Properties of TiO₂ Anatase and Rutile by using Einstein–Debye Approximation

T. Mehmetoglu*

Amasya University, Taşova Vocational School, Amasya, Turkey

(Received June 19, 2017; revised version October 20, 2017; in final form December 11, 2017)

In this work, we propose a new approach to accurate calculation of heat capacities at constant volume and pressure of TiO_2 anatase and rutile. The evaluation model is based on the Einstein–Debye approximation which has been extensively used in solid state physics. The application of proposed approach to anatase and rutile titanium dioxide computations results is shown to be well numerically satisfactory. This approach is valid in wide temperature ranges and can be suggested for accurate evaluation of thermal properties of solids. The calculation results are in well agreement with the literature values reported by other studies.

DOI: 10.12693/APhysPolA.133.126

PACS/topics: specific heat capacities, Einstein-Debye model, Debye temperature, thermal properties

1. Introduction

The titanium dioxide TiO_2 plays important roles in fundamental studies, such as solar cells, biosensors, photocatalysis and thermoelectric devices [1–7]. Titanium dioxide is found in nature in three forms as anatase, rutile, and brookite polymorphs. Note that anatase has more applications despite is less stable than other states. The accurate evaluation problem of thermodynamic properties in various temperature ranges is extremely important for its application area to the conversion of heat into electric power [1, 2]. In the studies dedicated to investigate the thermal properties of titanium dioxide, the various methods have been applied for the whole range of temperature [6–14]. Especially, in study [1], there are some significant numerical results of the isothermal bulk modules, heat capacities, entropy, thermal expansion coefficient and vibrational Helmholtz free energy for titanium dioxide. Also, the some efficient solution approaches are also given in Refs. [12, 13]. In spite of these progress, an alternative new approach is required for accurate evaluation of thermal properties of anatase and rutile TiO_2 .

The Einstein and Debye models separately play an important role in evaluation of thermal properties of solids. The Einstein model convoluted with the Debye approximation is one of the most important ingredients for accurate implementation of the heat capacity of solids [15, 16]. The Einstein–Debye model, where all acoustic waves have the same phase velocity and all optical branches have the same frequency, can be used to approximate both acoustic and optical parts of the phonon spectrum. With this in mind, the authors [15, 16] have obtained the general analytical expression which is dependent both on the Einstein temperature and the on Debye temperature. The application of proposed method for calculation of heat capacities of TiO_2 in wide range of temperature should be evaluation of the *n*-dimensional integer and noninteger Debye functions rapidly and accurate. A general formula has been established for the Debye functions with the integer and noninteger values of n [17]. By using this method, we can calculate the heat capacities of TiO_2 in wide range of temperature.

In this study, we propose an alternative and basic method for calculation of heat capacities of anatase and rutile TiO_2 based on the Einstein–Debye model. The obtained results are compared with the literature data and calculation results provide good agreement for arbitrary values of temperature. Our results could be used as a theoretical support for experimental studies of TiO_2 such as the study of phonon densities [14] and entropy [18].

2. Definition and analytical expressions

Based on a Debye–Einstein model, formulae for evaluation of heat capacities C_V and C_P can be written as, respectively [15, 16, 19]:

$$C_V(T) = 3N_{\rm A}k_{\rm B}M\left(T,\theta_{\rm D},\theta_{\rm E}\right),\tag{1}$$

$$C_P(T) = C_V(T) \left(1 + \frac{A_0 T_m}{T} C_V(T) \right).$$
⁽²⁾

Here, $\theta_{\rm D}$ is the Debye temperature, $\theta_{\rm E}$ is the Einstein temperature, $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, $N_{\rm A}$ is the Avogadro number, T is the absolute temperature, T_m is the melting temperature, $A_0 = 5.1 \times 10^{-3} \, {\rm J}^{-1}$ K mol and the quantity $M(T, \theta_{\rm D}, \theta_{\rm E})$ is determined as

 $M(T, \theta_{\rm D}, \theta_{\rm E}) = L_V(T, \theta_{\rm D}) + (s-1)A(T, \theta_{\rm E}), \quad (3)$ where $L_V(T, \theta_{\rm D})$ is the isochoric heat function and s is the number of atoms in one crystalline lattice point. The $L_V(T, \theta_{\rm D})$ functions for n-dimensional crystal are defined as [15, 16]:

$$L_V(T,\theta_{\rm D}) = n \left(\frac{T}{\theta_{\rm D}}\right)^n \int_0^{\frac{\theta_{\rm D}}{T}} \frac{t^{n+1} \,\mathrm{e}^t \,\mathrm{d}t}{(\mathrm{e}^t - 1)^2} \tag{4}$$

and the function $A(T, \theta_{\rm E})$ is the Einstein function [15]:

^{*}e-mail: turalmehmetoglu@yahoo.co.uk

$$A(T, \theta_{\rm E}) = \left(\frac{\theta_{\rm E}}{T}\right)^2 \frac{{\rm e}^{\frac{\theta_{\rm E}}{T}}}{\left({\rm e}^{\frac{\theta_{\rm E}}{T}} - 1\right)^2}.$$
(5)

The $L_V(T, \theta_D)$ isochoric heat function is expressed in terms of the n-dimensional integer and non-integer Debye functions as

$$L_V(T,\theta_{\rm D}) = (n+1)D_n\left(1,\frac{\theta_{\rm D}}{T}\right) - \frac{\theta_{\rm D}}{T}\frac{n}{{\rm e}^{\frac{\theta_{\rm D}}{T}} - 1},$$
 (6)

where $D_n(1,x)$ are the *n*-dimensional Debye functions and generally defined as

$$D_n\left(\beta,\mathbf{x}\right) = \frac{n}{x^n} \int_0^x \frac{t^n}{(\mathbf{e}^t - 1)^\beta} \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{7}$$

Recently, the general analytical formula for the ndimensional Debye function is given by [17]:

 $D_n(\beta, x) =$

$$\frac{n}{x^n} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^N (-1)^i F_i(-\beta) \frac{\gamma(n+1, (i+\beta)x)}{(i+\beta)^{n+1}}, \quad (8)$$

where N is summation limit and $F_i(-\beta)$ are binomial coefficients defined as [20]:

$$F_m(n) = \frac{1}{m!} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (n-i).$$
(9)

3. Numerical results and discussion

Based on the Einstein–Debye approximation, we have calculated the heat capacities of anatase and rutile TiO_2 for integer and noninteger values of n. The use of the present method for evaluation shows, in its simplicity, a well accuracy. In Tables I–IV our calculation results obtained from Eqs. (1) and (2) for the integer and noninteger values of n in the range (0.4–3.0) compared with the experimental data in Refs. [7–9, 21] and significant matches have been achieved. It is understood from Tables I–IV that the results are satisfactory with experiment for various values of n.



Fig. 1. The temperature dependence of C_P heat capacity of TiO₂ for $\theta_D = 760$ K, $\theta_E = 670$ K.

| TABLE I | [|
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

The comparative results of $C_V(T)$ heat capacities of rutile titanium dioxide TiO₂ for $\dot{\theta}_{\rm D} = 760$ K, $\theta_{\rm E} = 673$ K, $T_m = 1843 \text{ K}, N = 200 \text{ (in J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$

| n | T [K] | Eq. (1) | Exp. [9] |
|-----|-------|---------|----------|
| 1.2 | 30 | 2.235 | 1.941 |
| 1.2 | 50.5 | 4.192 | 5.822 |
| 0.9 | 60 | 7.361 | 8.305 |
| 0.8 | 80.4 | 11.183 | 12.778 |
| 0.4 | 100 | 18.261 | 18.048 |
| 0.4 | 120 | 22.540 | 24.118 |
| 0.4 | 140 | 27.357 | 28.829 |
| 0.4 | 160 | 32.247 | 33.541 |
| 0.5 | 180 | 36.903 | 37.694 |
| 0.5 | 200 | 41.469 | 41.847 |
| 2 | 220 | 41.425 | 45.201 |
| 2 | 239 | 45.357 | 47.996 |
| 2 | 250 | 47.430 | 49.673 |
| 2 | 270 | 50.851 | 52.388 |
| 2 | 290 | 53.870 | 54.065 |
| 2 | 310 | 56.543 | 56.301 |
| 3 | 330 | 57.962 | 57.659 |
| 3 | 350 | 60.159 | 59.096 |
| 3 | 370 | 62.129 | 60.694 |
| 3 | 400 | 64.729 | 62.371 |

TABLE II

The comparative results of $C_P(T)$ heat capacities of rutile titanium dioxide TiO₂ for $\theta_{\rm D} = 760$ K, $\theta_{\rm E} = 673$ K, $T_m = 1843$ K, N = 200 (in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)

| \overline{n} | T [K] | Eq. (2) | Exp. [7, 8] |
|----------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| 1.2 | 32.85 | 2.098 | 2.019 |
| 1.2 | 41.55 | 3.305 | 3.764 |
| 0.9 | 57.05 | 7.005 | 7.483 |
| 0.8 | 69.25 | 9.482 | 10.461 |
| 0.7 | 77.96 | 11.763 | 12.651 |
| 0.6 | 84.46 | 13.844 | 14.35 |
| 0.6 | 91.55 | 15.116 | 16.20 |
| 0.5 | 100.5 | 17.756 | 18.44 |
| 0.3 | 113.14 | 20.815 | 22.05 |
| 0.3 | 123.86 | 23.132 | 24.93 |
| 0.4 | 134.66 | 26.047 | 27.75 |
| 0.4 | 150.96 | 30.052 | 31.82 |
| 0.4 | 161.86 | 32.693 | 34.38 |
| 0.4 | 173.15 | 35.347 | 36.73 |
| 0.5 | 180.45 | 37.167 | 38.33 |
| 0.5 | 192.70 | 39.917 | 40.68 |
| 0.5 | 200.68 | 41.611 | 42.08 |
| 0.5 | 212.15 | 43.924 | 43.99 |
| 2 | 223.65 | 42.217 | 45.72 |
| 2 | 235.43 | 44.653 | 47.36 |
| 2 | 247.34 | 46.941 | 48.89 |
| 2 | 259.30 | 49.074 | 50.40 |
| 2 | 265.38 | 50.098 | 51.04 |
| 2 | 274.18 | 51.513 | 52.02 |
| 2 | 286.57 | 53.378 | 53.34 |
| 2 | 298.37 | 55.028 | 54.54 |
| 2 | 306.15 | 56.053 | 55.28 |
| 2 | 319.26 | 57.677 | 56.45 |
| 3 | 332.36 | 58.234 | 57.54 |
| 3 | 345.80 | 59 718 | 58 20 |

TABLE III

The comparative results of $C_V(T)$ heat capacities of anatase titanium dioxide TiO₂ for $\theta_{\rm D} = 740$ K, $\theta_{\rm E} = 650$ K, $T_m = 1843$ K, N = 200 (in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹).

| n | T [K] | Eq. (1) | Exp. [21] |
|------|-------|---------|-----------|
| 1.32 | 36 | 2.371 | 2.260 |
| 1.0 | 50.5 | 5.604 | 5.534 |
| 0.81 | 60.4 | 8.427 | 8.305 |
| 0.6 | 80 | 13.444 | 13.576 |
| 0.3 | 100 | 18.720 | 19.406 |
| 0.38 | 120 | 23.206 | 24.437 |
| 0.4 | 140 | 28.082 | 29.708 |
| 0.5 | 170 | 35.320 | 35.937 |
| 0.5 | 190 | 39.715 | 40.250 |
| 0.8 | 220 | 44.929 | 44.801 |
| 1.5 | 250 | 48.309 | 48.795 |
| 1.5 | 280 | 52.482 | 52.787 |
| 1.5 | 300 | 54.626 | 54.864 |
| 1.5 | 320 | 56.639 | 56.062 |
| 1.5 | 340 | 58.385 | 58.138 |
| 1.5 | 360 | 59.907 | 59.336 |
| 1.5 | 400 | 62.405 | 61.891 |
| | | | |

TABLE IV

The comparative results of $C_P(T)$ heat capacities of an atase titanium dioxide TiO₂ for $\theta_D = 740$ K, $\theta_E = 650$ K, $T_m = 1843$ K, N = 200 (in J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹).

| n | T [K] | Eq. (1) | Exp. [9] |
|------|--------|-----------|----------|
| 1.6 | 30.21 | 1.160 | 1.109 |
| 1.16 | 45.727 | 4.051 | 4.018 |
| 1.03 | 53.62 | 5.754 | 5.998 |
| 0.89 | 60.153 | 7.672 | 7.732 |
| 0.5 | 96.02 | 17.419 | 17875 |
| 0.37 | 115.9 | 22.409 | 23.350 |
| 0.44 | 141.12 | 28.676 | 29.813 |
| 0.44 | 176.80 | 37.496 | 37832 |
| 0.44 | 192.17 | 40.916 | 40.799 |
| 0.4 | 204.98 | 43.338 | 43.067 |
| 0.35 | 220.41 | 45.721 | 45.509 |
| 0.35 | 246.16 | 49.859 | 49.197 |
| 0.3 | 259.03 | 50.796 | 50.881 |
| 0.3 | 271.96 | 52.444 | 52.465 |
| 0.3 | 282.30 | 53.665 | 53.546 |
| 0.3 | 290.02 | 54.526 | 54.361 |
| 0.3 | 300.37 | 55.615 | 55.381 |
| 0.3 | 310.00 | 56.567 | 55.280 |

In the case where integer and noninteger n is applied the results obtained was found to much closer to the experimental data. As seen from the results the main advantages of the analytic approach we analyzed here are, first, that it is valid for an arbitrary temperature range and, in the sense that, it has no insufficiency.

Figure 1 shows temperature dependence of calculated heat capacity of TiO_2 compared with another reported literature values. We can see a satisfactory agreement between the calculated and literature results of anatase and rutile titanium dioxide. As a matter of fact, our formula to compute the *n*-dimensional Debye functions, occurring in Eq. (6), is faster with respect to other known proposed approaches. The accuracy of analytical method is acceptable and can be suggested for evaluation of other thermal properties of titanium dioxide.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to referee for valuable discussion and comments.

References

- W. Naffouti, T.B. Nasr, H. Meradji, N. Kamoun-Turki, J. Electron. Mater. 45, 5096 (2016).
- [2] Y.Y. Yu, W.C. Chien, Y.H. Ko, S.H. Chen, *Thin Solid Films* **520**, 1503 (2011).
- [3] J. Ben Naseur, R. Mechiakh, F. Bousbih, R. Chtourou, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **257**, 10699 (2011).
- [4] S.N. Ding, B. Gao, D. Shan, Y. Sun, S. Cosnier, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **39**, 342 (2013).
- [5] D. de Ligny, P. Richet, E.F. Westrum, Jr., J. Roux, *Phys. Chem. Miner.* **29**, 267 (2002).
- [6] L. Liu, D. Peng, Q.L. Ma, Z.G. Jiang, J.W. Wang, J.H. Qian, *Micro-Nano Lett.* **11**, 1 (2016).
- [7] T. Mitsuhashi, Y. Takahashi, Yogyo-Kyokai-Shi 88, 305 (1980).
- [8] C.H. Shomate, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69, 218 (1947).
- [9] M. Saeedian, M. Mahjour-Shafiei, E. Shojaee, M.R. Mohammadizadeh, J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 9, 616 (2012).
- [10] T.R. Sandin, P.H. Keesom, *Phys. Rev.* 177, 1370 (1969).
- [11] L.J. Zhang, D.J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 81, 245119 (2010).
- [12] S. Azam, S.A. Khan, F.A. Shah, S. Muhammad, H.U. Din, R. Khenata, *Intermetallics* 55, 184 (2014).
- [13] D. Bayerl, E. Kioupakis, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 165104 (2015).
- [14] M.A. Blanco, A. Martin Pendas, E. Francisco, J.M. Recio, R. Franco, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.) 368, 245 (1996).
- [15] B.M. Askerov, M. Cankurtaran, *Phys. Status Solidi* B 185, 341 (1994).
- [16] M. Cankurtaran, B.M. Askerov, *Phys. Status Solidi* B **194**, 499 (1996).
- [17] I.I. Guseinov, B.A. Mamedov, Int. J. Thermophys. 28, 1420 (2007).
- [18] E. Francisco, M.A. Blanco, G. Sanjurjo, *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 094107 (2001).
- [19] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshits, *Statistical Physics*, Pergamon Press, London 1980.
- [20] I.S. Gradsteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Sums, Series and Product, 4th ed., Academic Press, New York 1980.
- [21] S.J. Smith, R. Stevens, S. Liu, G. Li, A. Navrotsky, J. Boerio-Goaten, B.F. Woodfield, 236 Am. Mineral. 94, (2009).