Theoretical Studies on the Local Structure and Spin Hamiltonian Parameters for Single Cu^{2+} Ion in BaF₂

J.Z. LIN*, P. XIAO, Y. ZHAO, B.F. ZHANG AND Y. YANG

Department of Physics, Suzhou Institute of Technology, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology,

Zhangjiagang, 215600, P.R. China

(Received August 2, 2015; revised version March 21, 2016; in final form April 4, 2016)

The spin Hamiltonian parameters (g-factors and the hyperfine structure constants) and local structure are theoretically studied for single Cu^{2+} ion in BaF₂ from the high-order perturbation formulae of these parameters for $\operatorname{3d}^9$ ions in tetragonally elongated octahedra. In the calculations, the ligand orbital and spin–orbit coupling of the impurity Cu^{2+} are taken into account, based on the cluster approach. Due to the Jahn–Teller effect and size mismatching substitution, the impurity Cu^{2+} is found to be located at a distance of about 0.2 Å from the nearest fluorine plane. The signs of the hyperfine structure constants A_{\parallel} and A_{\perp} are suggested. The theoretical spin Hamiltonian parameters based on the above local structure are in good agreement with the observed values.

DOI: 10.12693/APhysPolA.129.1173 PACS/topics: 75.10.Dg, 76.30.Fc

1. Introduction

Fluorite-type crystals MX_2 (M = Sr, Ba, Ca, Cd, X = F, Cl) are of simple cubic structures, and the divalent cation M^{2+} is coordinated by eight X^{-} anions which locate at the corners of the unit cell. Many paramagnetic ions (such as Cu²⁺ [1–4], Ag²⁺ [5], Ni⁺ [6, 7], Cr²⁺ [8], Mn^{2+} [9], Fe³⁺ [10, 11], Ce³⁺ [12], Ti³⁺ [13]) have been introduced into cubic fluorite-type crystals to study the local structure of the host lattice and the electronic properties of paramagnetic impurities in these crystals by means of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) technique, which would be useful to understand the properties (such as optical and luminescent properties) of crystals containing ions. Based on the EPR experiments and theoretical studies, it is found that the local structures around many paramagnetic centers in these crystals are of tetragonal symmetry or orthorhombic symmetry [8]. For example, Hoffmann et al. have studied the EPR spectra of individual Cu^{2+} ion in BaF_2 crystal and got the spin Hamiltonian (SH) parameters $(g_{\parallel}, g_{\perp}, A_{\parallel}, A_{\perp})$ [3]. Based on the EPR results, it is found that the impurity Cu²⁺ ions replacing the central cations do not occupy exactly the host cation site but have a large offcentre shift along C_4 axis [3]. As a result, the impurity Cu^{2+} would be much more close to the fluorine plane in the cube and the near square planar $[CuF_4]^{2-}$ cluster (i.e., the Cu²⁺ has a small distance ΔZ from the plane) is formed. (Note: similar situations are also found in other fluorine-type crystals doped with paramagnetic ions, e.g. Cu^{2+} in $SrCl_2$ and SrF_2 [1, 2, 4], Ni⁺ in CaF_2 and SrF_2 [6, 7].) Till date, however, the above experimental results have not been satisfactorily interpreted. For instance, the SH parameters were not theoretically explained, and the relationship between the SH parameters and the local structure is not clarified. Since the microscopic mechanisms of the EPR spectra and information about local defect structures would be useful to understand the properties of these materials containing transition ions, theoretical studies on the SH parameters and the local structures of Cu^{2+} ions in BaF₂ crystals are of fundamental and practical significance.

In present studies, the high-order perturbation formulae of the SH parameters for $3d^9$ ions under tetragonally elongated octahedra are adopted for analysis of the above mentioned Cu²⁺ center. In these formulae, the contributions from the ligand orbital and spin–orbit coupling interactions are taken into account from the cluster approach and the energy denominators are correlated with the local structure around the impurity Cu²⁺ center and hence the local structure of Cu²⁺ in BaF₂ can be quantitatively determined on the basis of the EPR analysis. The results are discussed.

2. Calculations

For Cu²⁺ (3d⁹) ions in tetragonally elongated octahedra, the lower ²E irreducible representation may be separated into the orbital singlets ²B₁($|x^2 - y^2\rangle$) and ²A₁($|z^2\rangle$), with the former lying lowest, while the upper ²T₂ representation would split into an orbital singlet ²B₂($|xy\rangle$) and a doublet ²E($|xz\rangle$, $|yz\rangle$) [14]. In an octahedral cluster, the total single electron wave functions including the contributions from *p*- and *s*-orbitals of ligands may be written as [15, 16]:

$$\psi_t = N_t^{1/2} (\phi_t - \lambda_t \chi_{pt}),$$

$$\psi_e = N_e^{1/2} (\phi_e - \lambda_e \chi_{pe} - \lambda_s \chi_s).$$
(1)

Here, the subscript γ (= t and e) denotes the irreducible representation of O_h group. ϕ_{γ} is the d-orbital of the $3d^n$

1 10

^{*}corresponding author; e-mail: jizi_lin@hotmail.com

an

ion. $\chi_{p\gamma}$ and χ_s are the *p*-orbital and *s*-orbital of ligand. N_{γ} denotes the normalization factors and λ_{γ} (or λ_s) are the orbital mixing coefficients, they are determined from the approximate relationships [16, 17]:

$$N^{2} = N_{t}^{2} [1 + \lambda_{t}^{2} S_{dpt}^{2} - 2\lambda_{t} S_{dpt}],$$

$$N^{2} = N_{e}^{2} [1 + \lambda_{e}^{2} S_{dpe}^{2} + \lambda_{s}^{2} S_{ds}^{2} - 2\lambda_{e} S_{dpe} - 2\lambda_{s} S_{ds}] (2)$$
d the normalization conditions [16, 17]:

$$N_t (1 - 2\lambda_t S_{dpt} + \lambda_t^2) = 1,$$

$$N_e (1 - 2\lambda_e S_{dpe} - 2\lambda_s S_{ds} + \lambda_e^2 + \lambda_s^2) = 1.$$
 (3)

 $N_e(1 - 2\lambda_e S_{dpe} - 2\lambda_s S_{ds} + \lambda_e^2 + \lambda_s^2) = 1.$ (3) Here, $S_{dp\gamma}$ (and S_{ds}) are the group overlap integrals. Nis the average covalency factor, characteristic of the covalency effect (or reduction of the spin-orbit coupling coefficient and the dipolar hyperfine structure parameter) of the central ion in crystals. The orbital mixing coefficients increase with increase of the group overlap integrals, and one can approximately adopt proportionality relationship $\lambda_e/S_{dpe} \approx \lambda_s/S_s$ between the orbital mixing coefficients and the related group overlap integrals within the same irreducible representation e_g [16, 17]. Thus, from Eq. (1), the spin-orbit coupling coefficients and the orbital reduction factors can be derived and given as follows [16, 17]:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= N_t (\zeta_d^0 + \lambda_t^2 \zeta_p^0/2), \\ \zeta' &= (N_t N_e)^{1/2} (\zeta_d^0 - \lambda_t \lambda_e \zeta_p^0/2), \\ k &= N_t (1 + \lambda_t^2/2), \\ k' &= (N_t N_e)^{1/2} [1 - \lambda_t (\lambda_e + \lambda_e A)/2]. \end{aligned}$$
(4)

 $k' = (N_t N_e)^{1/2} [1 - \lambda_t (\lambda_e + \lambda_s A)/2].$ (4) In the above formulae, ζ_d^0 and ζ_p^0 are the spin–orbit coupling coefficients of the free $3d^n$ and ligand ions, respectively. A denotes the integral $R \langle ns | \frac{\partial}{\partial y} | np_y \rangle$, where R is the impurity–ligand distance of the studied system.

Based on the perturbation theory and the cluster approach [18, 19], the high-order perturbation formulae of the SH parameters of the ${}^{2}B_{1}$ ground state for $3d^{9}$ ions in tetragonally elongated octahedra can be derived and given as follows:

$$\begin{split} g_{\parallel} &= g_s + 8k'\zeta'/E_1 + k\zeta'^2/E_2^2 + 4k'\zeta'\zeta/E_1E_2 \\ &+ g_e\zeta'^2(1/E_1^2 - 1/2E_2^2) - k\zeta\zeta'^2(4/E_1 - 1/E_2)/E_2^2 \\ &- 2k'\zeta'(2/E_1E_2 - 1/E_2^2)/E_1 \\ &- g_e\zeta\zeta^2(1/E_1E_2^2 - 1/2E_2^3), \\ g_{\perp} &= g_s + 2k'\zeta'/E_2 - 4k\zeta'^2/E_1E_2 + k'\zeta\zeta'(2/E_1 \\ &- 1/E_2)/E_2 + 2g_e\zeta'^2/E_1^2 + \zeta\zeta'(k\zeta' - k'\zeta)/E_1E_2^2 \\ &- \zeta\zeta'(1/E_1 - 2/E_2)(2k\zeta'/E_1 + k'\zeta/E_2)/2E_2 \\ &- g_e\zeta\zeta'^2(1/E_1^2 - 1/E_1E_2 + 1/E_2^2)/2E_2, \\ A_{\parallel} &= P(-\kappa - 4/7) + P'[(g_{\parallel} - g_s) + 3(g_{\perp} - g_s)/7], \\ A_{\perp} &= P(-\kappa + 2/7) + P'[11(g_{\perp} - g_s)/14]. \end{split}$$

Here, $g_s \approx 2.0023$ is the spin-only value, and k', k, ζ , and ζ' are the orbital reduction factors and the spin-orbit coupling coefficients mentioned in Eq. (4), respectively. P and P' are the dipolar hyperfine constants related to the interaction within t_{2g} states and the interaction between t_{2g} and e_g states. They can be given in terms of the corresponding free-ion values, i.e., $P = N_t P_0$ and $P' = (N_t N_e)^{1/2} P_0$, the free-ion value P_0 of ${}^{63}\text{Cu}^{2+}$ is $388 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [20]. κ is the core polarization constant. The denominators E_1 and E_2 are the crystal field energy levels, they can be expressed in terms of the tetragonal field parameters D_s and D_t and the cubic field parameter D_q :

$$E_1 = E(^2B_2) - E(^2B_1) = 10D_q,$$

$$E_2 = E(^2E) - E(^2B_1) = 10D_q - 3D_s + 5D_t.$$
 (6)

 $E_2 = E({}^{2}E) - E({}^{2}B_1) = 10D_q - 3D_s + 5D_t.$ For single Cu^{2+} ion in BaF_2 , as mentioned before, the Cu^{2+} ions do not occupy the host Ba^{2+} site exactly, but have a large off-centre shift along the C_4 axis due to the Jahn–Teller effect and the size mismatching substitution (the ionic radius (≈ 0.73 Å [3]) of Cu²⁺ is much smaller than that (≈ 1.56 Å [3]) of the replaced Ba²⁺ ion), as a result, the impurity Cu^{2+} would be much more close to the fluorine plane in the cube and the near square planar $[CuF_4]^{2-}$ (the Cu²⁺ has a small distance ΔZ from the plane) is formed. The rest four ligands in unit cell are much further from the impurity and their influence may be neglected for simplicity. Thus, the crystal field parameters D_q , D_s and D_t in Eq. (6) can be calculated from the point-charge model [21–23] and the geometrical relationship of the studied impurity centers, and can be determined as follows:

$$D_{q} = -eq\langle r^{4} \rangle / (6R'^{5}),$$

$$D_{s} = -2eq\langle r^{2} \rangle (3cos^{2}\alpha - 1) / (7R'^{3}),$$

$$D_{t} = -eq\langle r^{4} \rangle [35\cos^{4}\alpha - 30\cos^{2}\alpha + 3]$$

$$-7\sin^{4}\alpha] / (42R'^{5}),$$
(7)

where q (= -e) is the effective charge of the fluorine ligand. In view of the admixture (or covalency) between the central ion and the ligand orbitals [21–23], the expectation values $\langle r^2 \rangle$ and $\langle r^4 \rangle$ of the radial wave function of the $3d^n$ orbital in crystals can be reasonably expressed in terms of the corresponding free-ion values [24] and the average covalency factor N:

$$\langle r^2 \rangle \approx 3.11 N \text{ [a.u.]}, \langle r^4 \rangle \approx 44.80 N \text{ [a.u.]}.$$
 (8)

 $R' \approx (R_{fc}^2 + \Delta Z^2)^{1/2}$ represents the Cu²⁺-F⁻ bonding length from the distance ΔZ between the impurity and the fluorine plane, R_{fc} (≈ 2.192 Å) denotes the distance between the face-center site and the corner of the same fluorine plane and can be obtained from the crystal structure parameter of the host lattice [3]. The $\alpha \approx \arccos(\Delta Z/R')$ is the bonding angle between the C₄ axis and the Cu²⁺-F⁻ bond in [CuF₄]²⁻. From the distance $R \approx 2.685$ Å (here R is taken as the metal– ligand distance in host lattice) [3] and the Slater-type SCF functions [25, 26], the group overlap integrals $S_{dpt} \approx$ 0.00031, $S_{dpe} \approx 0.00171$, $S_{ds} \approx 0.00058$ and the integral $A \approx 1.6647$ are obtained. For Cu²⁺ ion in SrF₂ which is isomorphous with BaF_2 , the average covalency factor $N ~(\approx (k_{11} + k_{21} + k_{22})/3 \approx 0.82)$ was obtained based on the theoretical analysis of the spin Hamiltonian parameters including partial ligand orbital contributions to these parameters [27], considering that neglecting the ligand orbital contributions may lead to larger spin-orbit coupling coefficient and the orbital reduction factor (see Eq. (4) and hence to larger *g*-factors (Eq. (5)), the average covalency factor $N \approx 0.76$ which is little smaller than that (≈ 0.82) for Cu²⁺ ion in SrF₂ can be approximately estimated for present system. Then the molecular orbital coefficients $N_t \approx 0.766$, $N_e \approx 0.767$, $\lambda_t \approx 0.553$, $\lambda_e \approx 0.524$ and $\lambda_s \approx 0.178$ are calculated from Eqs. (2) and (3). The parameters $\zeta \approx 661 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\zeta' \approx 611 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $k \approx 0.883$ and $k' \approx 0.594$ can be determined from Eq. (4) and the free-ion values $\zeta_d^0(\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}) \approx 829 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [17] and $\zeta_p^0(\mathbf{F}^-) \approx 220 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [28].

Thus, by using the formulae of g factors and hyperfine structure constants, and fitting the calculated results to the experimental data, we have

$$\Delta Z \approx 0.2 \text{ Å}, \ \kappa \approx 0.343. \tag{9}$$

The corresponding SH parameters are shown in Table I. For comparison, the theoretical results based on the local structure parameter in Eq. (9) but neglecting the ligand contributions (i.e. $\zeta' = \zeta = N\zeta_d^0$ and k = k' = N) are also given in Table I.

TABLE I The g-factors and hyperfine structure constants (in 10^{-4} cm⁻¹) for ${}^{63}Cu^{2+}$ in BaF₂.

	g_{\parallel}	g_\perp	$A_{\parallel} \ [10^{-4} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$A_{\perp} [10^{-4} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$
	2.680		-52.7	14.8
	2.511		-107.4	7.1
$\exp^{[3]}$	2.511	2.092	98.3	7

Calculation based on the local structure parameter ΔZ , neglecting^{*a*} and including^{*b*} the ligand contributions.

3. Discussion

From Table I, one can find that the theoretical SH parameters based on the high-order perturbation formulae adopted in this work by considering the local structure parameter ΔZ as well as the ligand orbitals and spin– orbit coupling contributions show good agreement with the experimental values. This suggests that the formulae and the related parameters adopted here can be regarded as reasonable and the EPR spectra of single Cu²⁺ ion in BaF₂ are satisfactorily interpreted.

1. The distance $\Delta Z ~(\approx 0.2 \text{ Å})$ of the impurity Cu²⁺ from the fluorine plane based on the analysis of the spin Hamiltonian parameters in this work is consistent with that ($\approx 0.23 \text{ Å}$) based on the Fourier transform (FT)-electron spin echo (ESE) spectrum [3]. Our calculations further confirm that the Cu^{2+} ion is displaced out of the centre approaching to the nearest fluorine plane along the C_4 axis in the lattice. Thus, the near square planar $[CuF_4]^{2-}$ is formed. In fact, for other fluorite-type crystals doped with d^9 ions, the large off-centre shift (\approx $3.10 \text{ Å}/2 - \Delta Z \approx 1.35 \text{ Å}$ for the studied system) was also found, e.g. Cu^{2+} ion $SrCl_2 (\approx 1.35 \text{ Å})$ [2], Ni⁺ ion in CaF₂ ($\approx 0.99 \text{ Å}$) [6] and $SrF_2 (\approx 1.08 \text{ Å})$ [7]. Therefore, the local structure parameter ΔZ of the impurity Cu^{2+} center in BaF₂ obtained in this work can be regarded as reasonable. Actually, when the host Ba^{2+} is replaced by the much smaller Cu^{2+} , the impurity may be unstable at the host site and then suffer a large displacement due to the size mismatching substitution. As a result, the impurity Cu^{2+} is very close to the fluorine plane and this center can be conveniently described as $[CuF_4]^{2-}$. The above local structure model and formulae are also applied to analyze the EPR results and the local structures for Ni^+ in CaF_2 and SrF_2 which may further demonstrate the validity and applicability of the present calculations. According to our calculation, the local structure parameter ΔZ is about 0.35 Å and 0.36 Å for Ni^+ in CaF_2 and SrF₂, respectively, based on the cubic field parameter $D_q \approx 400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $[\text{NiF}_4]^{3-}$ (it should be noted that $D_q \approx 600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ was obtained for the octahedral $[NiF_6]^{5-}$ cluster in Ref. [29]) and the average covalency factor N (≈ 0.774 and 0.797 for Ni⁺ in CaF_2 and SrF_2 , respectively). The distance ΔZ for $[NiF_4]^{3-}$ is consistent with those (≈ 0.37 Å [6] and 0.365 Å [7] for Ni⁺ in CaF₂ and SrF₂, respectively) obtained in previous works based on the simple second-order perturbation formulae of g-factors for $3d^9$ ion (Ni⁺) under tetragonal symmetry and that (≈ 0.33 Å for Ni⁺ in CaF₂) obtained in the literature [30] based on the density functional theory (DFT). The above results further prove that the defect structure obtained in this work is valid. In addition, the energy separations $E_1 \approx 6042 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ and } E_2 \approx 9134 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ are obtained}$ based on the ΔZ and the analysis of g-factors and one can compare them with those $(E_1 \approx 8600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and $E_2 \approx 9500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) of Cu²⁺ ion in SrF₂ [27]. Considering that the crystal-field strength around the impurity Cu^{2+} ion may mainly depend upon the distances between the impurity ion and the nearest ligand ions (see Eq. (7), e.g., $D_a \propto R'^{-5}$) and that the metal-ligand distance $(R' \approx 2.20 \text{ Å})$ obtained in this work) for Cu^{2+} ion in BaF_2 is larger than that $(R' \approx 1.97 \text{ Å} [4])$ for Cu^{2+} ion in SrF_2 , the energy separations can be understood and also support the validity of the local structure parameter ΔZ . Thus, the relationship between the SH parameters and the local structure is clarified.

2. The studied system exhibits significant covalency and impurity–ligand orbital admixtures, characteristic of the covalency factor $N ~(\approx 0.76 < 1)$ and the obvious mixing coefficients ($\lambda_t \approx 0.553$, $\lambda_e \approx 0.524$, $\lambda_s\,\approx\,0.178)$ obtained from the cluster approach. Meanwhile, the ratios $(\zeta + \zeta')/2/\zeta_d^0$ (≈ 0.77) and the average $(k + k')/2 ~(\approx 0.74)$ in present work approximately account for the covalency effect. From Table I, one can find that the theoretical SH parameters are not in accordance with the experimental results when the ligand orbital and spin-orbit coupling contributions are ignored. Particularly g_{\parallel} is larger than the experimental data. In fact, neglecting the ligand orbital contributions may lead to larger spin-orbit coupling coefficient and the orbital reduction factor (see Eq. (4)) and hence to larger q-factors (Eq. (5)). Based on the studies, inclusion of the contributions from the spinorbit coupling coefficient and the orbitals of the ligands lead to the variations of about 0.07 and 0.04 for the calculated g_{\parallel} and g_{\perp} , respectively. Therefore, for present system, the ligand contributions to SH parameters may not be ignored due to the significant covalency effect, although the ligand spin-orbit coupling coefficient ($\approx 220 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [28]) is smaller than that ($\approx 829 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [17]) of the central Cu^{2+} ion (similar situations are also found in other crystals doped with Cu^{2+} ions [31, 32], e.g. Cu^{2+} ions in ZnO[31]).

- 3. It is difficult to determine the signs of the hyperfine structure constants in EPR experiment. Therefore, although the A-values of Cu²⁺ in BaF₂ obtained from EPR experiment are positive [3], they are actually absolute values. Our calculations show that A_{\parallel} is negative while A_{\perp} is positive (see Cal^b in Table I). The signs of A_{\parallel} and A_{\perp} suggested here for tetragonal Cu²⁺ in BaF₂ crystal are also supported by the theoretical results for Cu²⁺ ions in crystals [17, 20, 33, 34] and can be considered as reasonable. Moreover, the core polarization constant κ (\approx 0.343) adopted here in the formulae of Aconstants is closed to the expectation value 0.3 for $3d^n$ ions in crystals [20, 35] and can be regarded as valid.
- 4. The error analysis of the present treatments can be illustrated as the following points. Firstly, the high-order perturbation formulae of the SH parameters based on the cluster approach may cause some errors. Secondly, the metal-ligand distance in host lattice is adopted in the calculations of the group overlap integrals, this can give rise to certain errors. If the actual metal-ligand bonding length (e.g., $R' \approx 2.20$ Å obtained in this work) is utilized, the errors for the quantities (k', k, ζ) and ζ') are not more than 0.4%. Thirdly, the displacements of the fluorine ligands around the impurity Cu^{2+} are neglected in the analyses. In fact, these F^- ions may shift slightly towards the center of the cube with respect to large off-centre displacement of the impurity Cu^{2+} . However, these shifts

in magnitude should be much smaller than the offcentre displacement of the impurity Cu^{2+} [4]. For the sake of simplicity and reduction of number of the adjustable parameters, the errors caused by neglection of the above ligand shifts may be taken as absorbed in the distance ΔZ and covalency factor N in present studies. Therefore, the distance ΔZ (≈ 0.2 Å) obtained in this work can be considered as the effective distance between the impurity and its nearest ligand plane, similar treatments are also adopted in previous work to investigate the local structures of some transition metal ions in crystals (e.g., KTaO₃:Ni³⁺ [36]) [36, 37].

4. Conclusions

The local structure and the spin Hamiltonian parameters for the single Cu²⁺ center in BaF₂ crystal are theoretically investigated from the high-order perturbation formulae of these parameters including the ligand contributions. It is found that the impurity Cu²⁺ locates at a distance of about 0.2 Å from the nearest fluorine plane, i.e., the [CuF₄]²⁻ cluster is expected. The negative sign of A_{\parallel} and positive sign of A_{\perp} are suggested.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Foundation of the College Natural Science Research Project of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. 15KJD140003), the PhD Startup Fund of Jiangsu University of Science and Technology (Grant No. 120140010) and the Youth Foundation of Zhangjiagang campus of Jiangsu University of Science and Technology (Grant No. 126111432).

References

- [1] H. Bill, *Phys. Lett. A* 44, 101 (1973).
- [2] S.K. Hoffmann, V.A. Ulanov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 12, 1855 (2000).
- [3] S.K. Hoffmann, J. Goslar, S. Lijewski, V.A. Ulanov, J. Chem. Phys. 127, 124705 (2007).
- [4] V.A. Ulanov, M. Krupski, S.K. Hoffmann, M.M. Zaripov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 15, 1081 (2003).
- [5] M.V. Eremin, V.A. Ulanov, M.M. Zaripov, *Appl. Magn. Reson.* 14, 435 (1998).
- [6] J.C. Gonzales, H.W. Hartog, R. Alcala, *Phys. Rev. B* 21, 3826 (1980).
- [7] P.J. Alonso, J. Casas-Gonzales, H.W. Hartog, R. Alcala, *Phys. Rev. B* 27, 2722 (1983).
- [8] P.B. Oliete, V.M. Orera, P.J. Alonso, *Appl. Magn. Reson.* 15, 155 (1998).
- [9] S. Lijewski, S.K. Hoffmann, J. Goslar, M. Wencka, V.A. Ulanov, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 20, 385208 (2008).
- [10] E.R. Zhiteitsev, V.A. Ulanov, M.M. Zaripov, *Phys. Solid State* **49**, 845 (2007).
- [11] S.V. Nistort, E. Goovaerts, D. Schoemaker, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 6, 2619 (1994).
- [12] A. Kiel, W.B. Mims, *Phys. Rev. B* 6, 34 (1972).

- [13] V.A. Ulanov, E.R. Zhiteitcev, A.G. Varlamov, *J. Mol. Struct.* 838, 182 (2007).
- [14] A. Abragam, B. Bleanely, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions*, Oxford University Press, London 1970.
- [15] S. Sugano, Y. Tanabe, H. Kamimura, Multiplets of Transition-Metal Ions in Crystals, Academic Press, New York 1970.
- [16] X.Y. Gao, S.Y. Wu, W.H. Wei, W.Z. Yan, Z. Naturforsch. 60a, 145 (2005).
- [17] H.M. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 73, 846 (2012).
- [18] S.Y. Wu, X.Y. Gao, H.N. Dong, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 301, 67 (2006).
- [19] H.M. Zhang, J.H. Duan, W.B. Xiao, X. Wan, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 425, 173 (2015).
- [20] B.R. McGarvey, J. Phys. Chem. 71, 51 (1967).
- [21] M.G. Zhao, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **20**, 2229 (2003).
- [22] M.G. Zhao, J. Chem. Phys. 109, 8003 (1998).
- [23] M.G. Zhao, Y. Lei, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 9, 529 (1997).
- [24] Y.P. Huang, L.J. Wang, W.L. Feng, *Radiat. Eff. De-fect. S.* 164, 183 (2009).
- [25] E. Clementi, D.L. Raimondi, J. Chem. Phys. 38, 2686 (1963).
- [26] E. Clementi, D.L. Raimondi, W.P. Reinhardt, J. Chem. Phys. 47, 1300 (1967).

- [27] V.A. Ulanov, O.A. Anikeenok, M.M. Zaripov, I.I. Fazlizhanov, *Phys. Solid State* 45, 1906 (2003).
- [28] S.Y. Wu, X.Y. Gao, Wei Zi Yan, Spectrochim. Acta A 60, 2531 (2004).
- [29] J.A. Aramburu, M. Moreno, M.T. Barriuso, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 4, 9089 (1992).
- [30] J.A. Aramburu, P. Fernandez, M.T. Garcia, M. Moreno, *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 020101 (2003).
- [31] G.L. Li, S.Y. Wu, Z.H. Zhang, C.C. Ding, X.F. Hua, *Physica B* 457, 160 (2015).
- [32] C.C. Ding, S.Y. Wu, G.L. Li, Y.Q. Xu, *Physica B* 459, 129 (2015).
- [33] C.Y. Li, H. Ying, Z.X. Mei, *Physica B* 456, 125 (2015).
- [34] H.M. Zhang, S.Y. Wu, Z.H. Zhang, Condens. Matter Phys. 14, 23703 (2011).
- [35] J.S. Griffith, The Theory of Transition-Metal Ions, Cambridge University Press, London 1964.
- [36] S.Y. Wu, H.N. Dong, W.H. Wei, Z. Naturforsch. A 59a, 203 (2004).
- [37] W.C. Zheng, S.Y. Wu, Z. Naturforsch. A 57a, 925 (2004).