

Complete Diagonalization Calculation of the Optical and EPR Data for the Tetragonal Cu²⁺ Center in Cu²⁺-Doped ZnSe Nanocrystals

XIAO-XUAN WU^a AND WEN-CHEN ZHENG^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Physics, Civil Aviation Flight University of China, Guanghan, People's Republic of China

^bDepartment of Material Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China

(Received April 24, 2014; in final form December 12, 2014)

By using the complete diagonalization (of energy matrix) method based on the cluster approach (where the admixture between the d orbitals of d^n ion and p orbitals of ligands due to covalence effect is considered), the optical and electron paramagnetic resonance data (three optical band positions and four EPR parameters g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} , A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp}) are calculated for the tetragonal Cu²⁺ center in Cu²⁺-doped poly vinyl alcohol (PVA) passivated ZnSe nanocrystals with the defect model of Cu²⁺ in the interstitial octahedral site in ZnSe. The calculated results are near the experimental values. The tetragonal elongation of the Cu²⁺ octahedral cluster in ZnSe nanocrystals is obtained. The results are discussed.

DOI: [10.12693/APhysPolA.127.795](https://doi.org/10.12693/APhysPolA.127.795)

PACS: 76.30.Fc, 71.70.Ch, 78.40.Fy, 75.10.Dg

1. Introduction

II-VI semiconducting nanocrystals or nanoparticles, which have the different characteristics from the corresponding bulk crystals, have been extensively studied to explore their unique properties and potential applications [1–8]. The properties (particularly, the optical, electronic and magnetic ones) of nanocrystals and crystals can be modified by impurities (e.g., transition metal ($3d^n$) ion impurities). The modifications of property are connected with the defect model and defect structure of impurity centers. The spectroscopic techniques are the important methods to study the defect model of impurity centers in nanocrystals and crystals. So, various spectroscopic measurements for $3d^n$ ions in II-VI semiconducting nanocrystals and crystals have been made [9–16]. Among them, since the wide direct band gap II-VI semiconductor ZnSe is chemically more stable and has the applications in light-emitting diodes, photo-detectors and full color display [16–18], the spectroscopic studies of ZnSe nanocrystals doped with $3d^n$ ions have attracted attention [13–15, 19].

Recently, the optical and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra of Cu²⁺-doped ZnSe nanocrystals prepared by simple chemical method at room temperature with poly vinyl alcohol (PVA) as the passivating (or capping) agent were measured by Begum et al. [15]. In these measurements, a tetragonal Cu²⁺ center with three optical absorption bands at about 8345, 12192, 14573 cm⁻¹ and four spin-Hamiltonian (or EPR) parameters (g factors g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} and hyperfine structure constants A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp}) was found [15]. Since the cubic crystal

field parameters Dq of Cu²⁺ tetrahedral clusters in crystals, e.g., II-VI semiconductors [20, 21], are about half those of Cu²⁺ octahedral clusters in crystals [22, 23], the optical band positions of Cu²⁺ tetrahedra are lower than 8000 cm⁻¹ [20, 21] and those of Cu²⁺ octahedra are in the range 8000–18000 cm⁻¹ [22, 23]. So, Begum et al. [15] suggested that Cu²⁺ in the PVA capped ZnSe nanocrystals occupies the interstitial octahedral site rather than the substitutional tetrahedral site. The latter is more frequently found for $3d^n$ impurities in ZnSe bulk crystals [24, 25] (with the tetragonal symmetry due to the Jahn-Teller effect) and some ZnSe nanocrystals synthesized by other methods [19, 26, 27] (note: the different $3d^n$ impurity sites in ZnSe nanocrystals may be caused by the different synthesized methods. In fact, similar to Cu²⁺, for Fe³⁺- and Mn²⁺-doped PVA capped ZnSe nanocrystals, Fe³⁺ and Mn²⁺ were found to be on the interstitial octahedral sites by Begum et al. [13, 14]). Until now, no theoretical calculations with the above defect model (i.e., Cu²⁺ site) have been made for the optical and EPR data of the tetragonal Cu²⁺ center in Cu²⁺-doped PVA capped ZnSe nanocrystals. In this paper, we calculate these optical and EPR data together by using the complete diagonalization (of energy matrix) method. The results (including the tetragonal distortion of Cu²⁺ center due to the Jahn-Teller effect) are discussed.

2. Calculation

In consideration of the strong covalence of ZnSe, the complete diagonalization method should be based on the cluster approach, where the admixture between the d orbitals $|d_{\gamma}\rangle$ of central $3d^n$ ion and p orbitals $|p_{\gamma}\rangle$ of ligand is taken into account. Thus, the one-electron basis functions for a d^n octahedral cluster in crystals should take the form [28]:

*corresponding author; e-mail: zhengwc1@163.com

$$|\psi_y\rangle = N_y^{1/2} (|d_y\rangle - \lambda_y |p_y\rangle), \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma = t$ or e stands for the irreducible representation of O_h group. The normalization coefficient N_γ and orbital mixing coefficient λ_γ are the molecular orbital (MO) coefficients. The one-electron basis functions can lead to two spin-orbit parameters ζ , ζ' , two orbital reduction factors k , k' and three dipolar hyperfine structure constants P , P' , P'' , i.e.

$$\zeta = \zeta_{tt} = N_t (\zeta_d^0 + \lambda_t^2 \zeta_p^0 / 2),$$

$$\zeta' = \zeta'_{te} = (N_t N_e)^{1/2} (\zeta_d^0 - \lambda_t \lambda_e \zeta_p^0 / 2),$$

$$k = k_{tt} = N_t [1 - 2\lambda_t S_{dp}(t_{2g}) + \lambda_t^2 / 2],$$

$$k' = k'_{te} =$$

$$(N_t N_e)^{1/2} [1 - \lambda_t S_{dp}(t_{2g}) - \lambda_e S_{dp}(e_g) - \lambda_t \lambda_e / 2],$$

$$P = P_{tt} = N_t P_0,$$

$$P' = P'_{te} = \sqrt{N_t N_e} P_0, \quad P'' = P''_{ee} = N_e P_0, \quad (2)$$

where the subscripts tt , te , and ee indicate the interactions within t states, between t and e states and within e states, respectively. ζ_d^0 and P_0 are the corresponding parameters of free d^n ion. ζ_p^0 is the spin-orbit parameter of free ligand. For ZnSe: Cu²⁺ under consideration, we have $\zeta_d^0(\text{Cu}^{2+}) \approx 829 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [29], $P_0(\text{Cu}^{2+}) \approx 388 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [30] and $\zeta_p^0(\text{Se}^{2-}) \approx 1659 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [31]. $S_{dp}(\gamma)$ are the group overlap integrals which can be calculated from the Slater-type self-consistent field (SCF) functions [32, 33] with the mean metal-ligand distance R_0 . In the host ZnSe crystal, the distance R_h between the interstitial site and Se²⁻ ion is 2.835 Å [34]. When Cu²⁺ occupies the interstitial site, the Cu²⁺-Se²⁻ distance R_0 should be smaller than the distance R_h because of the electrostatic attraction between Cu²⁺ and Se²⁻ ions. We assume the distances $R_0 \approx 2.63 \text{ \AA}$, the sum of ionic radii of Cu²⁺ and Se²⁻ ions [34]. Thus, we have $S_{dp}(t) \approx 0.0075$ and $S_{dp}(e) \approx 0.0238$.

Based on the cluster approach, the complete Hamiltonian for calculating the optical and EPR data of a d^9 ion in tetragonal crystal field and under an external magnetic field H_M (required by the EPR measurement) is written as

$$H = H_f + H_{SO}(\zeta, \zeta') + H_{CF}(Dq, Ds, Dt) + H_{Ze}(k, k') + H_{hf}(P, P', P'') \quad (3)$$

with

$$H_{Ze} = \mu_B [(k, k') \mathbf{L} + g_s \mathbf{S}] \cdot \mathbf{H}_M, \quad (4)$$

$$H_{hf} = (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}', \mathbf{P}'') \left\{ \mathbf{L} + \left(\frac{4}{7} N(E) - \kappa \right) \mathbf{S} - \frac{1}{7} [(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S})] \right\} \cdot \mathbf{I}. \quad (5)$$

The five terms in Eq. (3) are, respectively, free ion, spin-orbit interaction, crystal field interaction, magnetic

(or Zeeman) interaction and hyperfine interaction terms. μ_B is the Bohr magneton. $g_s \approx 2.0023$ is the free-electron g value. \mathbf{L} , \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{I} are, respectively, the orbit, spin, and nuclear spin momenta. $N(E)$ (≤ 1) is a parameter indicating the effective Jahn-Teller reduction in the hyperfine structure constants [28, 35] and κ is the core polarization constant.

The 10×10 energy matrix of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) is founded in terms of the strong field basis functions [36]. Diagonalizing the energy matrix, the eigenvalues corresponding to the crystal field energy levels and hence the optical band positions, the Zeeman splittings $\Delta E_{Ze}(j)$ (under the external magnetic field along the j direction) and hyperfine splittings $\Delta E_{hf}(j)$ (with the operators in Eq. (5) along j direction) can be obtained. The spin-Hamiltonian parameters are calculated by using the following formulae:

$$g_{\parallel} = g_z = \frac{\Delta E_{Ze}(z)}{\mu_B H_z}, \quad g_{\perp} = g_x = \frac{\Delta E_{Ze}(x)}{\mu_B H_z},$$

$$A_{\parallel} = A_z = \Delta E_{hf}(z), \quad A_{\perp} = A_x = \Delta E_{hf}(x). \quad (6)$$

The energy matrix contains the parameters in Eq. (2), the crystal-field parameters Dq , Ds , Dt , and the parameters $N(E)$ and κ . The calculations of parameters in Eq. (2) need the values of MO coefficients. They can be connected by the normalization relationships obtained from Eq. (1):

$$N_\gamma [1 - 2\lambda_\gamma S_{dp}(\gamma) + (\lambda_\gamma)^2] = 1. \quad (7)$$

Thus, the coefficients N_γ can be calculated from the values of λ_γ . The coefficients λ_t and λ_e are taken as the adjustable parameters. For decreasing the number of adjustable parameter, we assume $\lambda_t \approx \lambda_e \approx \lambda_\gamma$ because the studies for $3d^n$ octahedral clusters in crystals show that the value of λ_t is close to that of λ_e [37-39]. The parameters Dq , Ds , and Dt are generally calculated from the superposition model [40]. According to the model [40], we have

$$Dq \approx \frac{4}{3} \bar{A}_4(R_0),$$

$$Ds = \frac{4}{7} \bar{A}_2(R_0) \left[\left(\frac{R_0}{R_{\perp}} \right)^{t_2} - \left(\frac{R_0}{R_{\parallel}} \right)^{t_2} \right],$$

$$Dt = \frac{16}{21} \bar{A}_4(R_0) \left[\left(\frac{R_0}{R_{\perp}} \right)^{t_4} - \left(\frac{R_0}{R_{\parallel}} \right)^{t_4} \right], \quad (8)$$

where t_k ($k = 2, 4$) and $\bar{A}_k(R_0)$ are the power-law exponents and intrinsic parameters (with the reference distance R_0), respectively. We take $t_2 \approx 3$ and $t_4 \approx 5$ [28, 40-42] here. By means of the studies of the crystal field parameters with superposition model in the optical and EPR data for $3d^n$ ions in a number of crystals, the ratio $\bar{A}_2(R_0)/\bar{A}_4(R_0) \approx 8-12$ was found [28, 41-44]. We take $\bar{A}_2(R_0)/\bar{A}_4(R_0) \approx 11$ here. R_{\parallel} and R_{\perp} are the metal-ligand distances along and normal to C_4 axis in the studied octahedral cluster. The site symmetry of interstitial site in ZnSe is cubic. When a Cu²⁺ ion occupies

this site, the ground state of Cu^{2+} (d^9) ion in cubic octahedron is orbit doublet 2E . The degenerated ground orbit state is unstable and the static Jahn–Teller effect leads the cubic octahedron to become tetragonal one because the 2E state can be split by the tetragonal crystal field into two-orbit singlets ${}^2B_1(|d_{x^2-y^2}\rangle)$ and ${}^2A_1(|d_{z^2}\rangle)$. Thus, the ground orbit state of Cu^{2+} (d^9) ion in tetragonal octahedron may be 2B_1 or 2A_1 , corresponding to the tetragonally-elongated or tetragonally-compressed octahedron. The considered $(\text{CuSe}_6)^{10-}$ octahedron in ZnSe nanocrystals is tetragonally-elongated because the observed g factors $g_{\parallel} > g_{\perp}$ [15]. So, R_{\parallel} and R_{\perp} due to the Jahn–Teller effect can be given as

$$R_{\parallel} = R_0 + 2a, \quad R_{\perp} = R_0 - a, \quad (9)$$

where a indicates the tetragonal distortion. Thus, in the energy matrix, five parameters λ_{γ} , $\bar{A}_4(R_0)$, a , $N(E)$ and κ are unknown and left as the adjustable parameters. By changing these adjustable parameters systematically with a fitting routine until the best matches between the calculated (with the complete diagonalization method) and experimental optical and EPR data are obtained, we find for $(\text{CuSe}_6)^{10-}$ octahedron in ZnSe nanocrystals

$$\lambda_{\gamma} \approx 0.366, \quad \bar{A}_4(R_0) \approx 900 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad a \approx 0.1 \text{ \AA},$$

$$N(E) \approx 0.8, \quad \kappa \approx 0.43. \quad (10)$$

The MO coefficients N_{γ} obtained from λ_{γ} and hence the parameters in Eq. (2) are collected in Table I. The calculated optical and EPR data are compared with the experimental values in Table II.

TABLE I

MO coefficients, spin-orbit parameters (in cm^{-1}), orbital reduction factors and dipolar hyperfine structure constants (in 10^{-4} cm^{-1}) of Cu^{2+} center in Cu^{2+} -doped PVA ZnSe nanocrystals.

N_t	N_e	ζ	ζ'	k	$k\tau$	P	$P\tau$	$P\pi$
0.8862	0.8956	833	640	0.9406	0.8210	344	346	347

TABLE II

Optical band positions (in cm^{-1}) and spin Hamiltonian parameters g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} , A_{\parallel} and A_{\perp} (A_i are in units of 10^{-4} cm^{-1}) of the tetragonal Cu^{2+} center in Cu^{2+} -doped PVA ZnSe nanocrystals.

${}^2B_1 \rightarrow$	${}^2A_{1g}$	${}^2B_{2g}$	2E	g_{\parallel}	g_{\perp}	A_{\parallel}	A_{\perp}
Calc.	7413	11992	14730, 15585	2.3357	2.0610	155	47
Expt.[15]	8345	12192	14573	2.3347	2.1225	150	48

3. Discussion

The core polarization constant κ (≈ 0.43) obtained from the above calculations is within the range 0.2–0.8 found from the studies for the hyperfine structure constants of Cu^{2+} ions in many crystals [28, 45–48] and seems to be reasonable.

The tetragonal elongation $R_{\parallel} - R_{\perp}$ ($= 3a$) due to the Jahn–Teller effect acquired for Cu^{2+} center in ZnSe nanocrystals is about 0.3 Å. The elongation is close to those (≈ 0.1 –0.3 Å) of the tetragonal or approximately tetragonal Cu^{2+} octahedral clusters in some pure crystals containing Cu^{2+} (i.e., Cu^{2+} ion is the host ion rather than an impurity) [49–52]. In these pure crystals, the structures of crystals and hence the tetragonal elongations of Cu^{2+} octahedra are exactly measured by X-ray diffraction, so the tetragonal elongation obtained in our calculation can be regarded as rational.

By using the above suitable parameters and with the defect model of Cu^{2+} on the interstitial octahedral site with tetragonal symmetry due to the Jahn–Teller effect, the calculated three optical band positions and four spin-Hamiltonian parameters g_{\parallel} , g_{\perp} , A_{\parallel} , A_{\perp} from the complete diagonalization method are close to the experimental values (see Table II). So, the defect model suggested in Ref. [15] is confirmed, the defect structure (i.e., tetragonal elongation $R_{\parallel} - R_{\perp}$) is obtained and the optical and EPR data for Cu^{2+} center in Cu^{2+} -doped PVA capped ZnSe nanocrystals are explained reasonably.

It is noted that there are small disparities between the calculated and observed optical and EPR data for Cu^{2+} center in ZnSe nanocrystals (see Table II). An important cause is, in our opinion, that the observed optical and EPR data of d^n ion in crystals at various temperatures come from two contributions, the static or implicit contribution due to the crystal field acting the central Cu^{2+} (or other d^n) ions and the vibrational or explicit one caused by the electron–phonon interaction [53–58]. In the above calculations, we take into account only the important static contribution. So, the small disparities seem to be comprehensible.

References

- [1] D.D. Sarma, R. Viswanatha, S. Sapra, A. Prakash, M. Garcia-Hernandez, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **5**, 1503 (2005).
- [2] P.E. Lippens, M. Lannoo, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **6**, A157 (1991).
- [3] O. Chen, Y. Yang, T. Wang, H. Wu, C. Niu, J. Yang, Y.C. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 17504 (2011).
- [4] X. Cai, J.E. Martin, L.E. Shea-Rohwer, K. Gong, D.F. Kelly, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **177**, 7902 (2013).
- [5] W.J. Parak, T. Pellegrino, C. Plank, *Nanotechnology* **16**, R9 (2005).
- [6] S. Coe, W.K. Woo, M. Bawendi, V. Bulovic, *Nature* **420**, 800 (2002).
- [7] P.V. Kamat, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 18737 (2008).
- [8] J. Lim, S. Jun, E. Jang, H. Baik, H. Kim, J. Cho, *Adv. Mater.* **19**, 1927 (2007).
- [9] A.J. Reddy, M.K. Kokila, H. Nagabhushana, J.L. Rao, B.M. Ngabhusana, C. Shivakumara, R.P.S. Chakradhar, *Spectrochim. Acta A* **79**, 476 (2011).
- [10] J. Huang, Y. Yang, S. Xue, B. Yang, S. Liu, J. Shen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **70**, 2335 (1997).

- [11] U.S.U. Thampy, C.R. Krishna, C.V. Reddy, B. Babu, Y.P. Reddy, P.S. Rao, R.V.S.S.N. Ravikumar, *Appl. Magn. Reson.* **41**, 69 (2011).
- [12] N.R.J. Poolton, G.M. Smith, P.C. Riedi, J.W. Allen, A.V. Firth, D.J. Cole-Hamilton, E.J.L. McInnes, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **242**, 829 (2005).
- [13] S.M. Begum, M.C. Rao, Y. Aparna, P.S. Rao, R.V.S.S.N. Ravikumar, *Spectrochim. Acta A* **98**, 100 (2012).
- [14] S.M. Begum, G. Nirmala, K. Ravindranadh, T. Aswani, M.C. Rao, P.S. Rao, R.V.S.S.N. Ravikumar, *J. Mol. Struct.* **1006**, 344 (2011).
- [15] S.M. Begum, M.C. Rao, P.S. Rao, R.V.S.S.N. Ravikumar, *J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym.* **23**, 350 (2013).
- [16] T. Matasuoka, *Adv. Mater.* **8**, 469 (1996).
- [17] S.K. Hong, E. Karts, J.H. Chang, T. Hanada, M. Oku, T. Yao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **78**, 165 (2001).
- [18] J. Wang, D.C. Hutchings, A. Miller, E.W. Vanstryland, K.R. Welford, I.T. Muirhead, K.L. Lewis, *J. Appl. Phys.* **73**, 4746 (1993).
- [19] R. Xie, L. Li, Y. Li, L. Liu, D. Xiao, J. Zhu, *J. Alloys Comp.* **509**, 3314 (2011).
- [20] I. Broser, H. Maler, H.J. Schulz, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A2135 (1965).
- [21] I. Broser, U. Scherz, M. Wohlecke, *J. Lumin.* **1–2**, 39 (1970).
- [22] M.A. Hitchman, T.D. Waite, *Inorg. Chem.* **15**, 2150 (1970).
- [23] B.J. Reddy, J. Yamauchi, R.V.S.S.N. Ravikumar, A.V. Chandrasekhar, M. Venkataramanaiah, *Radiat. Eff. Def. Solids* **159**, 141 (2004).
- [24] D.D. Kramushchenko, L.V. Ilyin, V.A. Soltamov, P.G. Baranov, V.P. Kalinushkin, M.I. Studenikin, V.P. Danilov, N.N. Il'ichev, P.V. Shapkin, *Phys. Solid State* **55**, 269 (2013).
- [25] A.T. Lonchakov, S.M. Podgornykh, V.I. Sokolov, N.B. Gruzdev, G.S. Shakurov, *Phys. Solid State* **48**, 1703 (2006).
- [26] P. Kumar, K. Singh, *J. Nanopart. Res.* **13**, 1613 (2011).
- [27] D.J. Norris, N. Yao, F.T. Charnock, T.A. Kennedy, *Nano. Lett.* **1**, 3 (2001).
- [28] W.C. Zheng, Y. Mei, Y.G. Yang, H.G. Liu, *Philos. Mag.* **92**, 760 (2012).
- [29] J.S. Griffith, *The Theory of Transition Metal Ions*, Cambridge University Press, London 1964.
- [30] B.R. McGarvey, *J. Phys. Chem.* **71**, 51 (1967).
- [31] S. Frage, K.M.S. Saxena, J. Karwowski, *Handbook of Atomic Data*, Elsevier, New York 1970.
- [32] E. Clementi, D.L. Raimondi, *J. Chem. Phys.* **38**, 2686 (1963).
- [33] E. Clementi, D.L. Raimondi, W.P. Reinhardt, *J. Chem. Phys.* **47**, 1300 (1967).
- [34] C.R. Weast, *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton (FL) 1989, pp. B-191, F-187.
- [35] D. Ball, J.E. Lowther, *Phys. Lett.* **A61**, 333 (1977).
- [36] S. Sugano, Y. Tanabe, H. Kamimura, *Multiplets of Transition Metal Ions in Crystals*, Academic Press, New York 1970.
- [37] J.J. Chen, M.L. Du, J. Qin, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **174**, K15 (1992).
- [38] M.L. Du, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 5274 (1992).
- [39] M.L. Du, M.G. Zhao, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **153**, 249 (1989).
- [40] D.J. Newman, B. Ng, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **52**, 699 (1989).
- [41] W.C. Zheng, Y. Mei, L. He, *Philos. Mag.* **90**, 1701 (2010).
- [42] C. Rudowicz, Z.Y. Yang, Y.Y. Yeung, J. Qin, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **64**, 1419 (2003).
- [43] C. Rudowicz, Y.Y. Zhou, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **111**, 153 (1992).
- [44] T.H. Yeom, S.H. Choh, M.L. Du, M.S. Tang, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 3415 (1996).
- [45] Y. Celik, E. Bozkurt, I. Ucar, B. Karabulut, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **73**, 1010 (2012).
- [46] B. Karabulut, A. Tufan, *Spectrochim. Acta A* **65**, 285 (2006).
- [47] P. Dwivedi, R. Kripal, M.G. Misra, *J. Alloys Comp.* **499**, 17 (2010).
- [48] E. Kalfaoglu, B. Karabulut, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **505**, 154 (2011).
- [49] R. Valiente, F. Rodriguez, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 9423 (1999).
- [50] S. Ray, A. Zalkin, D.H. Templeton, *Acta Crystallogr. B* **29**, 2748 (1973).
- [51] C.J. Simmons, M.A. Hitchman, H. Stratemeier, A.J. Schultz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **115**, 11304 (1993).
- [52] S. Takagi, P.G. Lenhert, M.D. Joesten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **96**, 6606 (1974).
- [53] A.A. Kaminskii, *Laser Crystals: Their Physics and Properties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1981.
- [54] W.C. Zheng, B.X. Li, G.Y. Feng, H.G. Liu, *J. Lumin.* **138**, 214 (2013).
- [55] W.C. Zheng, S.Y. Wu, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 1117 (1996).
- [56] R.S. Rubins, J.D. Clark, S.K. Jan, *J. Chem. Phys.* **67**, 893 (1977).
- [57] W.C. Zheng, S.Y. Wu, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **8**, 4539 (1996).
- [58] T. Gregorkiewicz, S.W. Biernacki, *J. Phys.* **C13**, 1285 (1980).