Microstructural Characterization and Thermal Properties of Aluminium Titanate/Spinel Ceramic Matrix Composites
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Spinel (MgAl$_2$O$_4$) ceramics possesses a high melting point, high hardness, a relatively low density, excellent transmittance, a high strength, a relatively low thermal expansion coefficient, high thermal shock resistance and high chemical inertness. Aluminium titanate (Al$_2$TiO$_5$) exhibits extremely good thermal shock resistance and low thermal conductivity coupled with good chemical resistance in molten metals. In the present work, aluminium titanate/spinel ceramics composites with different percentages of Al$_2$TiO$_5$ were prepared using powder metallurgy techniques. The microstructural, mechanical and thermal properties were characterized using X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, differential scanning calorimetry, dilatometer and hardness. Thermal shock resistance behaviour under water quenching of the as-prepared ceramics was also evaluated. Results revealed that the addition of aluminium titanate to spinel matrix improves the properties of the aluminium titanate/spinel ceramics.
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1. Introduction

Magnesium aluminate spinel, which is the only stable compound in the MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$ system, has long been considered an important ceramic material. Many studies have reported its properties, applications and different processing methods [1]. Magnesium aluminate spinel (MgAl$_2$O$_4$) is an excellent refractory oxide of immense technological importance as a structural ceramic. It possesses useful physical, chemical and thermal properties, both at normal and elevated temperatures. It melts congruently at 2135 °C, shows high resistance to attack by most of the acids and alkalis and has low electrical losses. Due to these desirable properties, it has a wide range of application in structural, chemical, optical, and electrical industry. It is used as a refractory in lining of steel-making furnaces, transition and burning zones of cement rotary kilns, checkers of the glass furnace steel-making furnaces, regenerators, sidewalls and bottom of the steel ladles, glass furnaces and melting tanks. Synthesis and fabrication of spinel MgAl$_2$O$_4$ is known since long. A number of techniques such as conventional solid-state-reaction (SSR), sol–gel, spray drying (atomization) and organic gel-assisted citrate complexation have been extensively employed [2].

Aluminium titanate ceramics (Al$_2$TiO$_5$) is a synthetic ceramic material of potential interest for many structural applications, owing to its high melting point, low thermal conductivity and excellent thermal shock resistance. However, a critical feature, which greatly limits the mechanical properties of polycrystalline Al$_2$TiO$_5$, is considerable intergranular microcracking, which occurs due to the high thermal anisotropy of individual grains [3].

In this study, binary spinel–aluminium titanate composites at various compositions were obtained and microstructural, physical, mechanical, and thermal properties were characterized.

2. Experimental

In this study, spinel (MgAl$_2$O$_4$) was produced by using alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$, Merck, Germany) and magnesia (MgO, technical purity), and aluminium titanate (Al$_2$TiO$_5$) was produced by using alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$, Merck, Germany) and titania (TiO$_2$, Merck, Germany) powders. Starting materials that we use are regarded as 99.9% percent pure. After the alumina and magnesia were weighed in terms of mole ratio (1:1) of spinel, with 1:1 molar ratio mixtures were dispersed in acetone and milled as wet with alumina balls of Ø 10 mm for 3 h. The materials containing Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ were prepared in the same way by wet ball milling. Al$_2$TiO$_5$ ceramics were synthesized by reaction sintering of an equimolar mixture of Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ powders. The powders were first homogenized by wet ball milling. The resulting mixtures were then sintered at 1550 °C for spinel (MgAl$_2$O$_4$) and 1600 °C for aluminium titanate (AT) in air for 2 h.

Then, additions of AT in weight proportions of 0, 5, 10 wt% were made to the spinel + aluminium titanate (SAT) mixture (hereafter these mixtures are denoted SAT0 (100% spinel), SAT5 (95% spinel, 5% aluminium titanate) and SAT10 (90% spinel, 10% aluminium titanate), respectively). Each lot was again wet mixed according to the procedure described above. Then, mixtures were pressed at 200 MPa into 30 × 30 × 5 mm$^3$ specimens. The compacts were sintered in air using a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$, soaked at 1700°C. Bulk density, porosity and water absorption of the sintered samples were measured. Microhardness (Shimadzu, HMV) was measured on the polished surface of the samples at room temperature. At least six individual tests with a
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peak load of 2000 g and a loading time of 20 s were performed for each set of composites. After sample sections were mechanically polished and then thermally etched at 1500°C for 4 h in air, the microstructural characterization of the sintered samples was carried out using scanning electron microscopy (Leo 440). The morphological parameters of the various phases were characterized by using a semiautomatic image analyzer, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and the formed phases were analyzed by X-ray powder diffractometer (Rigaku, Dmax, IIIC) using Cu $K_{\alpha}$ radiation. The thermal behavior of the SAT composition was characterized by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Shimadzu, DSC-60). Thermal expansion coefficients were measured by using dilatometer (Anter, USA).

3. Result and discussion

Table shows physical properties of the sintered SAT ceramics obtained for the different mixtures prepared. Both the bulk and true densities of the composites decreased with increasing aluminum titanate content. Note that the theoretical density of spinel is 3.58 g cm$^{-3}$ whereas the density of Al$_2$TiO$_5$ is 3.20 g cm$^{-3}$ [4, 5]. Also evident in Table is that the porosity and water absorption decreased with from 0 to 10 wt% Al$_2$TiO$_5$ content and sintering temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical properties of sintered samples.</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{bulk}}$ [g/cm$^3$]</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{true}}$ [g/cm$^3$]</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{relative}}$ [%]</th>
<th>Porosity [%]</th>
<th>Water absorption [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sintered at 1700°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT0</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>96.64</td>
<td>3.352</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT5</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>96.91</td>
<td>3.089</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT10</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>97.17</td>
<td>2.824</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 is representative of SEM micrographs taken from the surface of the sintered SAT0, SAT5, and SAT10 samples. It can be seen that SAT10 appears to be denser and fewer pores than the sample SAT5, and SAT5 appears to be denser and fewer pores than the sample SAT0. As received, denser structure was observed with increasing aluminum titanate content. The addition of aluminum titanate also influences the grain morphology as is observed in the microstructures of the composites. The grain size is growing as the amount of aluminum titanate increases. EDX shows that microstructure of SAT10 sample was used for phase analysis. Region C consists of two main phases (spinel and Al$_2$TiO$_5$) because it contained Mg, O, Al, and Ti. Region B consists of Mg, O, Al, and Ti. Region A is consisting of Mg, O, Al, and Ti. Titanium content was found as 0.58% in the general EDX analysis (C), 0.32% in within the grain structure (A) and 6.16% at the grain border (B). Hence, aluminum titanate is more intense at grain borders. The average grain size was determined as 4 $\mu$m for SAT0, 7.5 $\mu$m for SAT5, and 13.50 $\mu$m for SAT10.
The X-ray diffraction patterns of the sintered samples are shown in Fig. 2. XRD analysis of the as-sintered samples revealed that main phases are spinel and aluminum titanate.

The thermal behavior of the spinel, AT and SAT10 ceramics were characterized by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) in Fig. 3. After the initial adsorbed water loss, the spinel and SAT10 samples displayed regions of mass loss within 350–370 °C corresponding to endothermic peaks in the DSC curve.

The thermal expansion behavior of SAT0 and SAT10 ceramics is shown in Fig. 4. SAT0 has a coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of $7.16 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ for spinel in the range 25–1000 °C [6]. $\text{Al}_2\text{TiO}_5$ has a coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of $1 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ [7]. Addition of AT is observed to decrease the CTE of samples. Samples SAT0 and SAT10 have CTE of $7.6 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ and $6.94 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$, respectively, in the range 25–1000 °C. It is well known that a low CTE is one of the requirements for improving thermal shock resistance at elevated temperatures.

4. Conclusion

Aluminum titanate/spinel ceramics with different percentages of $\text{Al}_2\text{TiO}_5$ was prepared, microstructural and thermal properties were characterized. More denser and fewer pores structure was observed with increasing aluminum titanate content. The addition of aluminum titanate also influences the grain morphology as is observed in the microstructures of the composites. The grain size is growing as the amount of aluminum titanate increases. Spinel-AT ceramic composites show increased hardness depending upon the AT content. Sample SAT0 has a hardness of 1415 Hv, which increases further to 1530 Hv on addition of 10 wt% AT. Finally, the hardness increased as aluminum titanate content increased. XRD analysis of the sintered samples revealed that main phases are spinel and aluminum titanate ($\text{Al}_2\text{TiO}_5$). The CTE of the spinel decreased as AT was added into it. Samples SAT0 and SAT10 have CTE of $7.6 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ and $6.94 \times 10^{-6} \, ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$, respectively, in the range 25–1000 °C. It is well known that a low CTE is one of the requirements for improving thermal shock resistance at elevated temperatures.
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