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Hydrogenated amorphous carbon films with diamond like structures have been formed on different substrates at very low energies and temperatures by a plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition process employing acetylene as the precursor gas. The plasma source was of a cascaded arc type with Ar as carrier gas. The films were grown at very high deposition rates. Deposition on Si, glass and plastic substrates has been studied and the films characterized in terms of sp³ content, roughness, hardness, adhesion and optical properties. Deposition rates up to 20 nm/s have been achieved at substrate temperatures below 100 °C. The typical sp³ content of 60–75% in the films was determined by X-ray generated Auger electron spectroscopy. Hardness was found to vary from 4 to 13 GPa depending on deposition conditions. Adhesion was significantly influenced by the substrate temperature and in situ DC cleaning. Hydrogen content in the film was measured by a combination of the Fourier transform infrared and Rutherford backscattering techniques. Advantages of these films are: low ion energy and deposition temperature, very high deposition rates, low capital cost of the equipment and the possibility of film properties being tailored according to the desired application.

PACS: 81.15.–z, 52.77.–j

1. Introduction

In many engineering and medical applications, materials which are mechanically most suited for the production of application specific devices, in many circumstances, will not fulfil the criteria of, for example, low friction and wear, environmental compatibility or bio-compatibility. Hence, the requirement for surface coatings. Interest in deposited amorphous carbon thin films has been growing over the last two decades due to their unique properties of high hardness, chemical inertness, low friction and low wear. Hydrogenated films proved to be particularly useful, since although the ultimate density and hardness may be lower than for unhydrogenated films they tend to be more mechanically compliant and less liable to delaminative failure. Hydrogen also enhances the deposition rates and transforms sp² bonds into sp³ bonds in the process of hydrogenation [1]. Thus hydrogenated amorphous carbon films (a-C:H) are an ideal candidate for the coating of medical devices [2–7]. In addition, the physical and chemical properties of a-C:H can be tailored to give optimum short term compatibility with their specific environment by alteration of deposition conditions and doping.

A major advantage of the a-C:H films discussed here is that they can be produced at low ion energy of less than 0.5 eV, very high deposition rates and low surface temperature, thus minimising damage to temperature sensitive substrate materials, such as plastics. According to the existing deposition models, high sp³ content material should not be formed at these low energies. Sub-plantation models, proposed by Robertson [8] and Lifshitz et al. [9], assumes that subsurface implantation of energetic species generates an increase in density, which leads to an increase in sp³ bonding. In his review of the subject, Robertson [8] suggests that the best quality unhydrogenated material is produced at an optimum energy of around 100 eV per incident carbon atom. The model assumes that at energies lower than 30–40 eV penetration of the surface does not occur and therefore diamond-like carbon material (high sp³ content) should not be formed.

An alternative thermal spike model, proposed by Hof-sass [10] assumes that all excess energy generated by ion impact is converted to thermal energy which then diffuses outwards, favouring sp³ bonding during atomic re-arrangements. According to this model, the required energy for sp³ bond formation falls in the range 50–2000 eV. McKenzie et al. [11] suggested that the compressive stress generated during film growth is the cause of the high sp³ bond content in the films, where the optimum energy for formation lies in the interval 15 to 70 eV. In the model proposed by Koponen et al. [12] the formation of diamond-like bonding is attributed to transient high pressure created by the energetic ion impact. The relaxation to energetically favoured graphitic state is assumed to proceed through a succession of metastable states.

Even the more recent molecular simulations by Marks [13] show that a threshold energy of around 6 eV is required for the formation of sp³ σ bonding in these
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films. These simulations are based on a model of surface insertion in which energetic burial leads to the simultaneous processes of $sp^3$ promotion, densification, stress generation and surface growth.

All the above models apply to the formation of unhydrogenated films. In the case of hydrogenated films, the film growth mechanism is more difficult to model, but it is assumed to resemble the unhydrogenated growth models, and the energies involved (per carbon atom) are assumed to be similar [8].

The one common factor connecting all of the models is that energies far greater than those generated in our experiments are necessary for the formation of $\sigma$ bonding in these amorphous carbon films. However, this approach only considers the physical interactions, whereas in fact chemical processes may be the driving factor at very low impact energies. Recent studies have shown that the growth of good quality hydrogenated carbon films at very low energies is a result of the presence of specific radicals ($C_3$, $C_4H$). The unsaturated bonds of these radicals are preserved by the absence of high energy bombardment. Thus films are produced with low defect density and relative high hardness (12–15 GPa) due to the transformation of polymeric $sp^3$ C-H bonds into $sp^3$ C-C bonds that form an interconnecting $sp^3$ network [14].

In the work described here hydrogenated a-C:H films have been formed at ion energies of less than 1 eV, at high deposition rates and low substrate temperatures (less than 100°C), using a cascaded arc plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) process. The films were deposited on Si (100), glass and plastic (PET, PEEK) substrates using acetylene as precursor gas, injected in two different positions, at different acetylene to argon flow rates and at two different substrate temperatures. The films were analysed as a function of the plasma parameters in terms of $sp^3$ fraction, hardness, reduced modulus, hydrogen content and adhesion.

2. Experimental setup

The deposition cell is shown in Fig. 1. The plasma source is a cascaded arc employing Ar as the carrier gas. This creates an expanding thermal plasma (ETP) in the vacuum chamber [15–17]. When the precursor gas (acetylene) is injected via an injection ring the gas molecules are dissociated and ionised and this gives rise to a high flux of particles (neutrals and ions) directed towards the substrate. Typical ionisation in the plasma jet is between 2 to 10% of the total carrier gas volume, depending on the power injected in the cascaded source and the flow of the carrier gas [1]. The setup has the advantage of separating the functions of production, transport and deposition, which facilitates doping, adjusting type, quality and growth rates for the deposited films.

Pressures and flow rates during each experimental run were maintained in the vacuum chamber by a roots blower pump. The pumping speed was varied with a frequency inverter which resulted in operating pressures during deposition of 0.5–0.6 Torr. The Si (100) and glass substrates (dimension $8 \times 8 \text{ mm}^2$) were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water, neutrocon detergent and isopropyl alcohol. They were then secured to a substrate holder with silver paste and inserted into the vacuum chamber. The sample holder was constructed of nickel plated copper, due to the incompatibility between acetylene and copper. All the substrates (Si, glass, PET, PEEK) were ion cleaned in situ, prior to the deposition process, with a DC plasma air glow discharge for 15–20 min. The vacuum chamber was pumped down to a base pressure of less than $5 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar using a turbomolecular pump. The injected power in the plasma was varied from 1.2 to 3 kW. The separation between substrate and source could be varied up to a maximum of 90 cm. Two different positions for the injection of the precursor gas could be used, i.e. via the injection ring ($\Phi = 8 \text{ cm}$) situated at 9 cm from the nozzle of the source or half way between source and substrate, at a distance of 37 cm away from the nozzle. At a later stage a new substrate holder was designed, capable to hold larger substrates (dimensions $50 \text{ mm} \times 50 \text{ mm}$). The holder was resting on the bottom of the vacuum chamber and an additional steel sheet was slid vertically from the top. The samples were secured with silver paste to this steel sheet, to maintain a good temperature control.

The deposition time was kept under 60 s for most of the samples, to maintain a small variation in sample temperature during the deposition process. This still allowed films with a thickness of 400–500 nm to be formed.

The plasma Ar jet was analysed using a Hiden Mass/Energy analyser and a Hiden Langmuir electrical probe to monitor the species, concentrations and energy of the ions. Measurements during the deposition process were not possible, due to the very fast deposition rates, which effectively covered the entrance aperture of the energy analyser and the tip of the Langmuir probe in seconds.
The topography and surface roughness of the films was mapped with a Thermo Microscopes M5 atomic force microscope. The instrument was used in contact mode and the scanned area varied from 10 µm × 10 µm to 100 µm × 100 µm.

The thickness and refractive index of the films were measured by a Rudolph Research Auto EL III ellipsometer with a 632.8 nm wavelength laser. The films grown at very fast growth rates (more than 10 nm/s) are optically absorbent and ellipsometry is not a good technique for analysing these absorbent films. Therefore thickness was measured by masking a small area of the sample with a temperature resistant tape during deposition and then measuring the step formed using a profilometer.

$sp^3$ fractions were determined by X-ray Auger electron spectroscopy (XAES) technique [18–23] in a Thermo Fisher 250i spectrometer. The X-ray source was Al $K\alpha$ (1486.6 eV). The C 1s and O 1s peaks were collected with a pass energy of 20 eV at steps of 0.1 eV/channel, the C KLL spectra collected with a pass energy of 20 eV in steps of 0.2 eV/channel. The samples were stored in plastic boxes after deposition and then inserted in the X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) analysis chamber in batches of 6. The analysed area was $2 \times 3$ mm$^2$ for each sample and the base pressure during the analysis was less than $5 \times 10^{-9}$ mbar.

The relative hydrogen content in the films was measured with a FTIR Bruker Vector 22 spectrometer. The software used to collect and analyse the spectra was Opus 4.0. First a virgin silicon sample was measured, to find a background level, then the absorption spectra were collected for all samples and the silicon signal subtracted. Care was taken when preparing the samples for analysis, i.e. all traces of silver paste were carefully removed from the back side of the silicon sample, because silver peaks interfere with the a-C:H peaks. The absolute hydrogen content was measured for few samples (with different relative hydrogen content) using the Rutherford backscattering (RBS) technique. The results were then compared and the relative values converted to absolute ones.

Hardness and reduced modulus was measured with a Micro Materials NanoTest 500 instrument using a Berkovich indenter. The indentation depth was kept to 10–20% of the film thickness to minimise the influence from the Si substrate [24–27]. The temperature in the cabinet was kept constant at 2 degrees above the room temperature. The samples were glued with superglue to a stub and removed by heating afterwards. The indenter was periodically calibrated and standard tests were performed daily. Scratch tests were performed on each sample with a Rockwell indenter. The scratch length was 500 µm and the maximum force applied during the tests was typically 70–200 mN. To quantify the adhesion of the film to the substrate the critical loading divided by the film thickness was calculated and compared for each sample.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Plasma analysis

The energy analyser indicated that the energy of the Ar ions in the region close to the sample holder was around 0.3 eV, with small variations related to the process pressure. The Langmuir probe current–voltage characteristic showed that the Ar ions density in the downstream region was $3.5 \times 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$, comparable to the values found by others [1, 28].

#### 3.2. AFM topography

AFM mapping of the film’s surface revealed that Ra values of the film surfaces generally followed the Ra values of the substrates. Figure 2 shows typical examples of films formed on different substrates.

Fig. 2. AFM images of (a) virgin silicon substrate, (b) a-C:H film on silicon, (c) virgin glass substrate, (d) a-C:H film on glass, (e) virgin PET substrate, (f) a-C:H film on PET, (g) virgin PEEK substrate, and (h) a-C:H film on PEEK.

#### 3.3. Deposition rates and optical properties

The growth rates increased with the relative flow of C$_2$H$_2$ to Ar. The deposition rates are higher when acetylene is injected with the ring close to the source (9 cm from the nozzle) by a factor of at least 2. This is because when the injection ring is in its lower position (37 cm from nozzle) the plasma jet is attenuated by the ring itself. Deposition rates as a function of C$_3$H$_2$:Ar flow are
Fig. 3. Deposition rates against C₂H₂:Ar flow rate at 0.5 Mbar operating pressure for films grown on Si substrate at deposition temperatures of < 100 °C and 300 °C with injection ring at 9 and 37 cm from the nozzle of the source.

shown in Fig. 3. In the next phase of the project an injection ring with wider diameter will be used for the lower position.

The refractive index was measured with the ellipsometer only for the films deposited on Si at low C₂H₂:Ar flows and gave values of 1.85–1.95. The films deposited at higher flow rates are denser and have a refractive index higher than 1.95.

3.4. sp³ fraction (XAES)

The derivative of the XAES spectra was obtained by a Savinsky–Golay method, after a smoothing with 27 points, 2 passes was performed. The difference between the mean of the positive and negative peaks in the differentiated XAES spectra \( D \) was monitored for all films, examples of this are shown in Fig. 4. From the analysis of a Nixon industrial diamond and a pure graphitic sample two extreme values for \( D \) of 14.3 eV and 22.5 eV were found, respectively, identical to those reported by Lascovich [19]. The \( sp^3 \) fractions were then deduced for all the a-C:H films assuming a linear dependence between the diamond and graphite values [19].

The C 1s and O 1s X-ray photoelectron spectra were also collected and smoothed with 9 points and 10 passes. A typical surface contamination of 7–10% atomic concentration of oxygen was found for all the a-C:H films analysed. The C 1s peak was fitted assuming a difference of 0.7–0.8 eV [20] between the deconvoluted \( sp^2 \) and \( sp^3 \) peaks. The values for \( sp^3/sp^2 \) ratio from the XPS C1s fit were found to be in good correlation with the ones found from the Auger first derivative spectra, even though the penetration depth is 7.5 nm in the case of XPS, compared to only 2.0–2.5 nm for the XAES method [29, 30].

As it can be seen from the graph in Fig. 5, all the films showed relatively high \( sp^3 \) fractions, decreasing from low C₂H₂:Ar relative flows (2%) to medium/high C₂H₂:Ar relative flow (6–10%).

Fig. 4. Integral \( N(E) \) XAES spectra of graphite, diamond and a hard a-C:H film (left image); derivative \( dN(E)/dE \) XAES spectra of graphite, diamond and a hard a-C:H film (right image).

3.5. Hydrogen content

The relative hydrogen content in the film was calculated assuming that the area of the absorption spectrum for each sample is directly proportional to the number of C–H bonds and thus to the hydrogen content of the film [1]. The relative values were then transformed to absolute values, by comparing the results of two identical films, analysed with the Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and RBS, respectively.

A clear trend can be observed from Fig. 6. The hydrogen content of the films decreased with the increase in the relative C₂H₂:Ar flow from low values (2%) to medium/high values (6–10%). This decrease is more abrupt for the films grown when acetylene is injected in the “ring up” position.

Fig. 5. \( sp^3 \) fraction for films grown on silicon from acetylene injected at two different ring positions (9 and 37 cm away from the nozzle) and at two different deposition temperatures as calculated by XAES.

Fig. 6. Integral \( N(E) \) XAES spectra of graphite, diamond and a hard a-C:H film (left image); derivative \( dN(E)/dE \) XAES spectra of graphite, diamond and a hard a-C:H film (right image).
3.6. Hardness, reduced modulus and adhesion

The software provided with the Nano Tester automatically analyses the loading-unloading curves and calculates the hardness and reduced modulus of the films at the desired indentation depth. The results are presented in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

![Fig. 7. Hardness against C$_2$H$_2$ relative flow for films grown from C$_2$H$_2$ injected via the ring (a) on different substrates under different conditions at temperatures < 100°C and (b) on silicon at 300°C deposition temperature.](image)

The analysis showed hardness and reduced modulus to increase to a maximum at a C$_2$H$_2$ to Ar ratio of about 6% in the first set of experiments performed on silicon substrates, at 100°C and 300°C substrate temperatures. In the second set of experiments, when the best conditions were applied to different substrates at low temperatures (< 100°C), the hardness remained at a value of around 10 GPa, except for the PES substrate. This was due to the very soft substrate which probably deformed before the film did. The films deposited at higher temperatures (300°C) on Si showed an increased hardness, clearly observed in the experiments with acetylene injected through the ring situated at 37 cm. The same trends were observed for the reduced modulus, the only significant difference being that the elastic properties of both films deposition on plastic (PES, PEEK) were influenced by the softer substrate.

Comparing the topography of the surface before and after each scratch allowed the identification of the critical loading. This value was then divided by the thickness of each film. The results are shown in Fig. 9. The adhesion was found to improve significantly (by a factor of ≈ 2) when the in situ cleaning DC glow discharge was employed for films deposited at low temperatures (< 100°C). For the films deposited on plastics (PEEK, PES) a critical loading value could not be determined, the scratch pattern being completely different to the pattern observed on silicon or glass substrates.

![Fig. 8. Reduced modulus against C$_2$H$_2$ relative flow for films grown from C$_2$H$_2$ injected via the ring (a) on different substrates under different conditions at temperatures < 100°C and (b) on silicon at 300°C deposition temperature.](image)
substrates.

The hardness of the films is determined by the C–C sp-like properties that have been deposited using acetylene as a precursor gas at very low energies and low deposition temperatures on different substrates. The structure and properties of the films formed on different substrates were similar, as revealed by the AFM examination; XAES derived sp³ fractions and hardness measurements. Scratch tests showed similar results for the adhesion of films on deposited silicon and glass substrates. For the films deposited on the plastics PET and PEEK results were difficult to interpret quantitatively due to deformation of the substrates, but subjectively it was felt that good adhesion was also exhibited on these materials.

The growth rates for these films were very high, \( \approx 20 \text{ nm/s} \) which is up to 100 times greater than other PECVD methods. The surface of the roughness of the films was found to be at least as good as the substrate on which they were deposited, of the order of 2 nm Ra for Si. The refractive index, an indicator of film density, was high (> 1.95), the sp³ fraction was 60–75% and the hardness between 9 and 11 GPa at deposition temperatures below 100°C. The reduced elastic modulus for these films was found to be in the range 90–110 GPa. The adhesion to substrates was found to be good at high deposition temperatures on Si and glass and to increase to similar levels to this for all materials by in situ cleaning prior to deposition at low deposition temperatures. The films were, however, found to have a practical thickness limit of \( \approx 1.5 \mu \text{m} \) above which delamination from the substrate occurred due, presumably, to internal compressive stresses.

All of the above properties are comparable with good quality films deposited by other PECVD methods. The advantages, however, are the low energy and temperature of deposition, ensuring that no damage is done to sensitive substrates; very high deposition rates and relatively low capital cost of the equipment required. Also the ease of adjustment of plasma parameters will allow film properties to be tailored according to the desired application (different hardness, hydrogen incorporation, doping).

4. Conclusion

Hydrogenated amorphous carbon films with diamond like properties have been deposited using acetylene as a precursor gas at very low energies and low deposition temperatures on different substrates. The structure and properties of the films formed on different substrates were similar, as revealed by the AFM examination; XAES derived sp³ fractions and hardness measurements. Scratch tests showed similar results for the adhesion of films on deposited silicon and glass substrates. For the films deposited on the plastics PET and PEEK results were difficult to interpret quantitatively due to deformation of the substrates, but subjectively it was felt that good adhesion was also exhibited on these materials.

The growth rates for these films were very high, \( \approx 20 \text{ nm/s} \) which is up to 100 times greater than other PECVD methods. The surface of the roughness of the films was found to be at least as good as the substrate on which they were deposited, of the order of 2 nm Ra for Si. The refractive index, an indicator of film density, was high (> 1.95), the sp³ fraction was 60–75% and the hardness between 9 and 11 GPa at deposition temperatures below 100°C. The reduced elastic modulus for these films was found to be in the range 90–110 GPa. The adhesion to substrates was found to be good at high deposition temperatures on Si and glass and to increase to similar levels to this for all materials by in situ cleaning prior to deposition at low deposition temperatures. The films were, however, found to have a practical thickness limit of \( \approx 1.5 \mu \text{m} \) above which delamination from the substrate occurred due, presumably, to internal compressive stresses.

All of the above properties are comparable with good quality films deposited by other PECVD methods. The advantages, however, are the low energy and temperature of deposition, ensuring that no damage is done to sensitive substrates; very high deposition rates and relatively low capital cost of the equipment required. Also the ease of adjustment of plasma parameters will allow film properties to be tailored according to the desired application (different hardness, hydrogen incorporation, doping).
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